52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "The Hour of 9:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Members will be at their chair. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Richard P. Wenneborg, Pastor, Chatham Christian Church. Reverend Wenneborg is a guest of Representative Karen Hasara. The guests in the gallery may wish to rise and join us for the Invocation."
- Reverend Wenneborg: "Shall we pray? Father we thank You so very much for the blessings You've given to us in this great land of ours. We thank You for the confidence You've shown to us in giving us the privilege and responsibility of making fair and just laws. And we pray today that You enable these men and women to be good stewards of the time they have and to faithfully fulfill the duties You have given to them, in Jesus name. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson, to lead us in the Pledge."
- Olson et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Matijevich, are there any absences excused on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "None on this side, Ar. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the record show Representative

 Tuerk is excused."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so show. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 117 Members having answered to the Call of the Quorum, a quorum is present. Senate Bills first Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 41, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House (sic -

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Senate) Bill 278, William Peterson, a Bill for an Act ŧο the Illinois Highway Code. First Reading of the amend Bill. Senate Bill 384, Rea, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Bil1 441, Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 468, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Animal Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. That was 467, a Bill for an Act to amend the Animal Control Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 488. Deleo, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the United States Olympic Committee. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 548, Slater, a Bill for an Act to amend the Flood Control Act. First Reading Senate Bill 596, Mulcahey, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. 641, Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Hasara, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil 780. Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate 908. Slater. а Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to recorders. First Reading Senate Bill 923. Hennlund, a Bill for an Act to the Bill. amend the Illinois Highway Code. First Reading of the 1223, Frederick, a Bill for an Act to Bill. Senate Bill amend an Act in relation to income taxation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 14... Senate Bill 1439, McGann, a Bill for an Act in relation to the borrowing of community college districts as a result of the enactment of Reform Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate the Tax Bill 1484, Slater, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Parcells in the Chair."

Speaker Parcells: "Mr. Speaker. Today we have House Resolution

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

440 to honor the young people you see down in front here. student interns who come from high schools all over the state to intern in various They have worked for ... in, as I say, in many government. different areas here, but among the Representatives they worked for were Representative Hartke, Phelps, Curran, myself, Hasara, Mays, Parke and Tate. He've all been honored to have them work for us. They've been super young people. They learned a lot. They added a lot to our lives, and I hope we've added to theirs, and if the Clerk would like to read the Resolution. Do you want to read the Alright. I move for the passage. Resolution? 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. favor sav The Resolution is adopted."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. And thank you, young people. We all appreciate your work and efforts on our behalf.

Alright, Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. directed to inform the Speaker, I am House Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills Nos. 711, 713, 714, 716, 717, 720, 724, 736, 742, 745, 749, 756, 760, 762, 767, 771, 772, 776, 777, 782, 787, 790, 794, 796, 798, 801, 804, 814, 822, 827, 831, 833, 834, 841, 848, 854, 855, 856, 861, 865, 873, 875, 883, 884. 886. 896. 897. 898. 903. 905. 907. 909. 910. 914. 920. that's 820, 917, 924, 925, 926, 931, 935, 937, 938, 939, 940, 947, 952, 953, 957, 962, 968, 972, 977, 981, 982, 986, 988, 990, 994, 995, 999, 1000, 1001, 1003, 1013, 1020. 1022, 1032, 1041, 1043, 1047, 1050, 1057, 1056, 1074, 1076, 1080 and 1089, passed by the Senate May 21, 1987. Hawker, Secretary."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "On page 20, 20 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Special Order Pensions, appears House Bill 331. Out of the record. On this Order appears House Bill 2224. Mr. Hallock, are you prepared... do you wish... out of the record. On this Order of Business appears House Bill 2710. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2710, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Pension Code. This Bill has been read a Second
 Time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Harris."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Capparelli, thank you. We will take
 this out of the record in accordance with your request.

 Thank you. Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from
 Cook, Mr. Cullerton, seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, well, Mr. Speaker, while you are doing your Conference Committee on the podium, while we are waiting, I just thought I'd make a few more announcements with regard I think the Cardinals are still in to baseball scores. first place by percentage points. The Cubs are ... are right there, right behind them, just waiting for their Cardinals to wilter in that July heat down there Louis. And if they happen, if the Cardinals happen to win, though, it's the second best team in the division. ĭ don't mind them in that city winning because there's, you think about it, there's really not much else to do in that town, in St. Louis, except to cheer for the Cardinals, so whereas up in Chicago, we've got a lot οf other diversions, so they are my second best... second favorite team and it's nice to see they're on top. You never it gets real hot down there, and real sweltery, in July, they might be in... might be in big trouble in July."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Ar. Flinn."

Flinn: "Hell, I appreciate the report Representative Cullerton gave us on the baseball status. The St. Louis Post Dispatch didn't get into town today, I wasn't able to find out, but I did notice during the prayer that Representative Cullerton was saying a little prayer of his own. It went something like this. 'Holy Mary, full of grace, wny are the Cubs still in second place?'"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran."

Curran: "Mr. Speaker. I feel that the Gentleman from St. Clair
missed his cue and I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: *Agreed Resolutions.* Death Resolutions.*

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 443, offered by Anthony Young,
with respect to the Memory of Levita Greer."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, moves for the adoption. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Death Resolution is adopted. On page 18 of the Calendar, on the Order of Vehicle Code, House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 2526. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2526, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rea, Goforth and Phelps."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, on
Amendment 2."

Rea: "Mr. Chairman, I withdraw Amendment 2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representatives

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Rea, Phelps and Goforth."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, on
 Amendment #3."
- Rea: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what Amendment 3 does is restore the Bill plus it eliminates the area in... pertaining to the fine of \$500.00 for any violation. It eliminates that Section. I would move for adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin moves for the adoption of Amendment 3. On that, is there any discussion?

 The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- "Thank vou. Just so the floor knows. McCracken: Mr. Speaker. this Amendment essentially puts the Bill back in original It allows 80,000 pound trucks, so long as form. the operator of the truck has purchased a natural resource at a cost of \$200.00 a year. This Amendment also nullifies an Amendment adopted yesterday, which would reduced the motor fuel tax allotment to counties in which these trucks operate by the amount of damage the trucks The Department of Transportation is inflict on the roads. opposed to the Bill. This Amendment is... becomes the Bill, and I stand in opposition to adoption o f the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Parcells."

I want to remind you all that "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Parcells: yesterday you did pass an Amendment which I offered. overwhelmingly, which said that the... they would... those funds down there would be reduced for their road work, was said by the Sponsors of the Bill that actually they didn't tear up the roads, but we know that last the vear southern Illinois Legislators ask for 5 million in a Rural Revival Bill, and two years ago they asked for funds in southern Illinois because they had torn up their They also said that their roads down there were so

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

bad their busses could hardly... they couldn't get their children to school because the bus... the roads were bad shape the school busses couldn't travel on them. The Sponsors talk about the state's truck weight this is bad for their business down there because the truck weights here are much lighter than they are in other states, but that is true. But what do we do? In Kentuckv. their roads are a mass because of the truck gave you those statistics yesterday, that 53% of their bridges are a mess in Kentucky. So maybe we should carry 200,000 pounds and really tear the roads up. You adopted a very fine Amendment yesterday of mine, overwhelmingly, and this takes that Amendment out. This just allows tear up the roads and go ahead and ask for funds and who is pay for that extra road money and the damage caused by these heavier trucks, the trucking industry or the taxpayers? A 'no' vote is proper on this Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, to close."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In fact, the
 Department of Transportation was opposed to Amendment 1.

 This takes that out, and they were also opposed to leaving
 the penalty in, and this takes that out, so this is along
 the lines of... of... that we felt in terms of the
 Department of Transportation, and I would ask for an 'aye'
 vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion... all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Kirkland, one minute to explain your vote."
- Kirkland: "Maybe the Sponsor can shake his head. How does IDOT feel about Amendment 3?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 43... 46 'ayes', 58 'nos', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2526, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, on House Bill 2526."
- Rea: "Take the Bill out of the record."
- Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 82, offered by Representative Hensel; 83, by Pullen; 84, Hasara; House Resolutions 431, Rea; 432, Tate; 433, Tate; 434, Terzich; 435, Barger; 436, Wyvetter Young; 438, Rea; 441, Hensel; 442, Barnes."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes'... and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolutions 439. Shaw."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 437, Flinn, with respect to the memory of Al N. Young."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Alright, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to try and complete the Second Readings of the Consent Calendar. There are a few

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Bills left on the Order of Second Reading that are on the Consent Calendar. Moreover, there are some Bills on the Order of Third Reading now on the Consent Calendar will have to be brought back for Amendments. Reminding you whatever Amendment might be put on, might certainly that change it from being on the Consent Calendar, so that you are interested in the Bill remaining on the Consent Calendar, your Amendment should be not very ambitious. Now. a Member has a Bill on the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading and must bring the Bill back to Order of Second Reading for an Amendment, please come down to the well and give the Clerk the number of your 3111 that we may call those Bills that are on the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading that need to be returned the Order of Second for an Amendment. We are now going to proceed on the Order of Consent Calendar Second Reading and that is the Bills that are in the printout now being Ar. Clerk, on the Order of Consent Calendar distributed. Second Reading appears House Bill 113, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 113, a Bill for an Act to create the
Illinois Live Entertainment Performance Crowd Safety Act.

This Bill has been read a Second Time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

DeLeo."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DeLeo, on Amendment #2."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #2 increases the penalty for scalping tickets and also raises the limit on the original Bill from 25,000 to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

75,000. I ask for its adoption. It's been... the Bills... it's been agreed by the Bill's Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DeLeo, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 113. And on that the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Homer: "Representative DeLeo, this is the Bill that has to do with crowd control, and, correct?"

DeLeo: "That is correct."

Homer: "And to avoid what happened like at the Ohio 'Who' Concert
and the Bruce Springsteen and the rest of them, and as I
understand it, what the Bill does is say that no more than
20% of the tickets may be general admission."

DeLeo: "That is correct."

Homer: "But by increasing the threshold to 75,000, you're also increasing the number of people who will be allowed to have general admission substantially, aren't you?"

DeLeo: "Well, it's been a... it was amended in Committee from 10,000 to 25,000, to accommodate certain facilities in Cook County, 'Ravina', Poplar Creek. This is designated at a large... for a large facility where general seats... numbered seats are not available. This is geared for Soldier's field or a large..."

Homer: "Is that about... is that about the only one you can think of, Soldier's Field, that would hold 75,000. Alright, but 20% of 75,000 is a lot of people. Is it contemplated that that this going to be manageable?"

DeLeo: "I'm sorry, Tom."

Homer: "20% general admission of 75,000 tickets is a lot of tickets."

DeLeo: "Well, it's 15,000."

Homer: "Alright, have you considered that by raising the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

threshold you are also raising the number of people who will be allowed general admission?"

DeLeo: "Well, currently we have no provisions, so this is...

we've had rock concerts in the past at Soldier's Field

that's had 60 or 70,000 g.a. seats. This would restrict

it to a special area."

Homer: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, does anyone ever get picked up for scalping tickets?"

DeLeo: "Quite frequently. They are arrested in front of facilities in Chicago all the time. In front of the Chicago Stadium, in front of the Cubs park and in front of the Sox park. They hawk tickets on the street."

Ropp: "Okay, I just thought there was a Bill introduced that would just... "

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall...' yes, Mr. Johnson?"

Johnson: "Part of Judge Steigman's package this year was a Bill that would have eliminated criminal penalties all together for what we call scalping tickets. I almost introduced the Bill, and I thought the University probably wouldn't like that, but I never figured out exactly why it should be a crime at all to sell something for more than you bought it for. You do that in futures, in stock and everything else in the world, why is this a crime? If you're smart enough to buy early or buy it at the appropriate time and to the right event, why shouldn't you be able to sell it for whatever the market will bring?"

DeLeo: "According to provision that we now have in the state, you may not sell or exchange any ticket with a respective license number. What happens is we have tickets that are

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

bona fide, and then there are bogus tickets. The tickets shall bear the stamp of every license. The tickets shall not be sold or resold by any place of entertainment without the printed face value. We have... you are able to sell tickets outside the facility, or outside the promoter, if you are a licensed ticket broker in the State of Illinois."

Johnson: "No, my question was, if I happen to know or figure out nine months ahead of time that the Illinois-Indiana game is going to be a sell-out near the end of the season and I want to go out and risk the fact that both teams may be in last place by the last game of the season, nine months ahead of time and buy those tickets, why shouldn't I, if I've made the right guess, why shouldn't I be able to sell those for twice their face if somebody will pay for them? What is there... when I ask you what the law is, I'm asking what it is that prompts us as a policy making body to say that's criminal conduct?"

DeLeo: "Well, we lose the tax on it, for number one."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

McCracken: "Currently there is no licensing requirement for ticket agencies, is that right, or ticket outlets?"

DeLeo: "No. There's licensed ticket brokers."

McCracken: "Oh, there are. This is a completely new Act, isn't

it, that you're proposing, relative to the ticket

regulation?"

DeLeo: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Well, what is the current law regarding regulation of ticket outlets?"

DeLeo: "Tom, I'm unfamiliar with the current... I'm not familiar with the current."

McCracken: "Well, what problem has come to your attention which

. 52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

requires this type of regulation?"

DeLeo: "Well, like I mentioned earlier, we've had problems where these tickets are being bought. Somebody will wait in line and the public is not able to get the tickets. We've had people waiting in line buying 10 or more tickets and reselling them out in front of the facilities for three, four times the face value."

McCracken: "Well, but that's not the ticket company or the ticket outlet that's doing that, that's a private individual, unrelated to the ticket outlet. Isn't that right?"

DeLeo: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Well then, how would this effect that person? He'd still be allowed to buy 10 or 20 tickets and he would still be breaking the law if he were standing outside selling them for more than face value. What does regulating the ticket company itself have to do with scalping at a game, or something like that. I mean, how does that stop that?"

DeLeo: "Well, this will put a penalty on it."

McCracken: "A penalty on the scalper?"

DeLeo: "Yes."

McCracken: "Hell, that's already in the law. Do you raise the

DeLeo: "It's... it will be a Class A Misdemeanor."

McCracken: "Okay. I think that's the current law. Okay, to the Bill. I'm not sure what prompts the Sponsor to propose this regulation. It's already against the law to scalp tickets. It's a Class A Misdemeanor, and the reason it's against the law is not because... well, the reason it's against the law is because the person doesn't pay sales tax on the resale, so I'm not sure what the purpose of proposing to register and regulate these ticket agencies when they have nothing to do with the scalping. Apparently the raising of the attendance, which relates to the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

original Bill from 25 to 75, was agreed to by the Sponsor. I don't know if he's agreed to this regulation, but I just don't think it's necessary to regulate the ticket outlets when all we're trying to do is deal with the scalper."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment adopted? • A11 those in favor signify by ... I'm sorrv... signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'... all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Ðη this voting "aye", 43 voting there are 63 *no* • 1 votina 'present' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 871. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 871. This Bill has been read a Second

Time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

DeLeo."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House of Representatives. Amendment #1, in the original Bill, the penalties were given to me by the Department of Veteran Affairs, which were quite extensive. We reduced the theft of a flag from a Class 4 Felony to a Class 8. I was asked to do this in Committees, reducing the penalties."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 (sic — Amendment #1) to House Bill 871. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' In favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Further

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1047. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 1047. This Bill has been read a Second Time previously. Amendment \$2 was adopted in Committee. 1 and 2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment &2, offered by Representative Jones."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Jones, on Motion to table Amendment #2. Ms. Jones."
- Jones: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and House Members. I tabled Amendment 2, and there should be an Amendment 3."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves to table Amendment #2.

 On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

 Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Question. Could she tell us who the Sponsor of Amendment #2 was and who... what the Amendment does?"
- Jones: "The Sponsor of Amendment 2 was myself. In Committee there was Amendment 1 and 2, and there was a problem with both of them because they both... they didn't really understand them. Amendment &3 straightens that problem out, which makes it Chicago only."
- Piel: "So Amendment #2, which removed the downstate school districts from the closed campus policy, will be add... you're taking... you're putting it back onto the Bill and then with Amendment #3 you're putting it back into the Bill. Is that correct?"
- Jones: "No. Downstate is not going on it. Amendment #3 actually states that it's Chicago only and it's not a mandate closed campus, but a closed campus study, for Chicago schools only."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Piel: "Fine. Thank you very much. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the... moves to table

 Amendment 2. Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the

 opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment &2 is

 tabled. Mr. Clerk, is there a Motion with respect to

 Amendment &1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1, by Ms. Jones."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves to table Amendment #1, and on that is the Lady from Cook, Ms. Jones."
- Jones: "The same problem with Amendment 1 was with 2. It was in Committee. It was very controversial as to what Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 did. Amendment #3 straightens out all sort of problem with Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the ... moves to table Amendment #1. and on that. is there any discussion? There the question is. 'Shall Amendment being none. Those in favor 'aye', opposed tabled? 1001 Īη the of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. opinion Amendment #1 is Clerk, are there further Amendments?" tabled. Mr.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Ms. Jones."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Jones, on Amendment
- Jones: "Amendment #3 requires all school districts to establish,
 implement and enforce a closed campus policy study
 throughout the regular school day of each attendance center
 in the district, and this is Chicago only."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by voting... by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 Hoffman."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, on

 Amendment #4. Amendment #4 withdrawn. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House 8ill 1113. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to jurors. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a Second Time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Countryman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 would make this Bill apply to counties in which the population is three million or less. This is a good Bill, however Cook County would have a hard time accepting it right at this time, and therefore I have agreed to take Cook County out and let the rest of the counties go with it and that's all this Amendment would do."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?" Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1228, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1228, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. This Bill has

 been read a Second Time previously. Amendment #1 was
 adopted in Committee."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Wojcik, on Amendment #2. Yes, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I'm a Cosponsor of that Amendment. I'd like to proceed."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Proceed, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. This Amendment provides that any person who refuses to participate in the educational programs which this Bill sets up, will be denied or deemed ineligible to receive public aid assistance. This will be a requirement in order to receive public aid assistance; I move its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1228, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rice."

Rice: "I refuse that. It will change the direction of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Young."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I to rise in opposition to Amendment #2. It would not only defeat the intent of the Bill, but it would take any discretion out of the hands of the Department of Public Aid. Right now they have the ability to remove people who don't follow the programs they are insisted upon. I think when you put this Amendment on the Bill, it changes the Sponsor's intent and it would be very difficult for the Sponsor to keep this Bill on the Consent Calendar if this

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment were adopted. I move... "

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,

Mr. AcCracken to close. All those in favor signify by
saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair the 'nos' have it and the Amendment fails. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: \$"No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1253. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1253, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to legalize and validate appropriations and tax levies. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Berrios and Steczo."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios... Mr. Steczo, do you wish to take that?"

Steczo: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed. Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of
Amendment \$1. House Bill 1253 deals with the validation of
tax levy and appropriation ordinances in forest preserve
districts under 300,000, which includes DuPaye and Kane
Counties. Amendment \$1 includes Cook. I would move for
its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves the adoption of Amendment 1, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Just ask the question of why Cook wasn't included in the original Bill and why should they be included now?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Representative Kirkland, the Bill which concerned Cook

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

County did not come out of Committee, and after the parties got together, they decided that it was probably best to have both considered in the same Bill rather than duplicate the effort twice, anyway."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House
Bill 1392. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to county zoning. Second
Reading. This 3ill has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendment \$1.0"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1463. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

"House Bill 1463 a Bill for an Act to amend Clerk O'Brien: the Environmental Sections of Protection Act. Second Reading οf the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1513. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1513, a Bill for an Act authorizing the conveyance of certain state property in the City of

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Jacksonville, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments.

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1537. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1537, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1556. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1556, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Bill of Rights of Victims and Witnesses of

Violent Crime Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1590. Read the Bill. Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1590, a Bill for an Act in relation to registration of underground storage tanks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order there appears

House Bill 1632. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1632, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Motions with respect to Amendment #1."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Ronan."
- Speaker Greiman: "Hell... Yes, Mr. Young, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Young: "For leave to handle the Amendment for Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Leave is granted. Mr. Young, on the Amendment."
- Young: "Amendment #2 makes technical changes only and was suggested by the Department of Transportation."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall... shall this Amendment be adopted?' The Gentleman from DuPage, Ar. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Would the Sponsor briefly describe again the
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Young."
- Young: "I'm looking in the Bill, Tom, to see exactly what language it deletes. The original Bill cited the wrong Section of the Statute and the Amendment cites the right Section."
- McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1735. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1735, a Bill for an Act to authorize funding for rail safety programs under the Illinois Commercial Transportation Law. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

- 52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1744. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 1744, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of an Act in relation to domestic relations and
 domestic violence and domestic violence shelters. Second
 Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Homer."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment #1."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to withdraw the
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment's withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1770. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 1770. This Bill has been committed to Interim Study by the Sponsor."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk... Mr. Rea, for what purpose did you seek recognition?"
- Rea: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I had filled out a form earlier to place this on Interim Study. I would ask for leave to place on Interim Study, House Bill 1770."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Yes. We're advised that you... the
 Bill's already been removed and is on Interim Study. Thank
 you. On this Order appears House Bill 1828. Mr. Clerk,
 read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1828, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1896. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1896, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to state
treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 1925. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Housing Authority Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2105. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2105. a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2116. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2116, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2142. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2142, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Public Utilities Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2206. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House 9ill 2206, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Anthony Young."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Young, on Amendment #1."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 is the Amendment that was agreed to by the Department of Human Rights that puts the Bill in acceptable form to the Department. What it does is it deletes the provision that a respondent must file his response within 120 days and it authorizes Default Judgment where the response was not filed within 220... within 210 days. In the original Bill it was 90 days. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to 2206, and on that the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

McCracken: "Originally, this Bill provided for 60 days within which to file the response to charges, and you're extending that by the Amendment to 210 days. Now, is that in which the... is that period the time in which the respondent must

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

file his response? Or is that 210 days within which the complaint may be brought after the Human Rights Commission decision?"

Young: "No. That's the time within which to file his response."

McCracken: "That sounds too good to be true. Why is that such...

that sounds like such a long time."

Young: "I agree."

McCracken: "Oh, okay."

Young: "It's too long, but the Department objected to the Bill when we had it a shorter period."

McCracken: "Okay. I thought I misunderstood. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House
Bill 2315. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2315, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Coal and Energy Development Bond
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2316. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2316, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to provide for the management,
operation, control and maintenance of Southern Illinois
University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22. 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2321. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2321, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
 the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2327. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2327, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Phelps."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Saline, Mr. Phelps, on Amendment #1."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Black and Cullerton."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Black, on Amendment #2."
- Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Withdraw Amendment #2, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Countryman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman, on Amendment #3."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 establishes

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the definition of all-terrain vehicles to eliminate confusion between all-terrain vehicles and other similar vehicles. It also clarifies the position with regard to another Bill which we previously passed. It also clarifies the position of tail lights on farm tractors and technical corrections. This Amendment addresses concerns the Illinois Farm Bureau and raised hv John Deere Corporation and I move for its adoption."

Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the Speaker adoption o f Amendment #3 to House Bill 2327. There nο discussion, the question is, *Shall the Amendment he adopted?* Those in favor 'aye', opposed *no*. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is Are there further Amendments?" adopted.

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2373. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2373, a Bill for an Act in relation to agricultural licensing. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there... are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Boone, Mr. Wait. Mr. Wait. and I don't Giorgi is not in the chamber know you... what is your pleasure. Perhaps we could take this out of the record for a moment and come back tο Alriaht. Let's take it out of the record. Mr. Giorgi, we had just called House Bill 2373. Mr. Wait has an Amendment to that Bill. You were not on the House We * re floor going to continue on... Alright. We'll call the Bill again. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2373. Mr. Clerk.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

2373."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2373, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act in relation to county zoning. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2376. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2376, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Weight and Measurements Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2377. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2377, a Bill for an Act in relation to agricultural license. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Wait."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Boone, Mr. Hait."

Wait: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #1 simply brings this into compliance with the
Federal government and now all sides are in agreement."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Boone, Mr. Wait, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 2377. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2540. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2540, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the 3ill. No
 Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook... I'm sorry... are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Piel and Cullerton."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, on Amendment \$\pmuller\times \text{Mr.} Cullerton, \text{did you wish to take that? Did you wish to handle the Amendment, Mr.... \text{Mr.} Cullerton? \text{Mr.} \text{Cullerton.} on Amendment \text{\$\psi l.}"
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill involved the in the State of Illinois and the retail merchants and there agreement between those two. This embodies that agreement. And all it does is tο add one, really one phrase, 'a depository institution'. It deals with the issue of bad checks and the fees that can or cannot be charged. This basically allows the fees to be charged between two banks. Retail Merchants are in of it as well as the bankers. I appreciate your support."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 2540 and is there any discussion? The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you. Did you say the Retail Merchants agree to this Amendment?"

Cullerton: "Yes, to 1, they want it."

Stephens: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22. 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2560. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2560, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading

 of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representatives

 Hojcik and McCracken."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Wojcik, on Amendment
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Actually, what this Amendment will do it would reduce the fiscal impact of the Bill and assure that the money spent on the special Emergency Assistance Program is spent for the emergencies specifically decried for..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2560, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Parliamentary Inquiry. I believe that the Amendment may be out of order. The Amendment %2 states that new language shall be inserted immediately after the word 'pending'. I believe that the word 'pending' was struck by Committee Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "I would note that the... if there's any question as

 to which Amendment I'm talking about, this is the one
 prepared by the House Republican Staff."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Cullerton. Yes. The Amendment is out of order. Are there further Amendments? Ms. Wojcik? Are there further Amendments?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2591. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, let me remind you that if you have a Bill on the Consent Calendar, Order of Third Reading, which requires Amendments, so that we can move along, you should be given this number to the Clerk by this time so that we may go to this Order immediately upon concluding the Order of Consent Calendar Second Reading. And now, Mr. Clerk, 2591."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2591, a Bill for an Act to add

Sections to the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk D'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears House Bill 2636. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 26... House Bill 2636, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, on Amendment 2."

Saltsman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Withdraw Amendment 2, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment £2 withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Saltsman, Giglio and McPike."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, on

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment 3."

Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Hr. Speaker. All that this Amendment does. It is the Bill. It's agreed legislation between the towing operators in the northern part of the state and the downstate towing operators and both staffs have looked at this legislation and it's all agreed legislation and I ask for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage... for the adoption of Amendment #3, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" Those in favor "aye", opposed "no".

The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Breslin in the Chair. On the Order of Second Reading Consent Calendar appears House Bill 2674, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O*Brien: "House Bill 2674, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to define the punishment of crimes
contributing to the delinquency and neglect of children and
to the delinquency of children. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 267... 2746,

Representative Flinn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2746, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to
counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Hultgren."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hultgren. Representative Hultgren on Amendment #1."

Hultgren: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #1. Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. Amendment 32 adds the provisions of various Bills extending the county lapse period and authorizes the stipend for county health board members. Both changes apply to collar counties and makes... makes the DuPage County Tourism Bureau eligible for state grants along with other tourism pureaus."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2746, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "I heard a magic word. What was the magic word?"

McCracken: "Tourism?"

Cullerton: "No. It was DuPage. Is this a DuPage Amendment?"

McCracken: "No."

Cullerton: "What does it do?"

McCracken: "It's an Amendment for the people. John."

Cullerton: "How much money for DuPage?"

McCracken: "No money. It allows that Tourism Bureau to participate in the DCCA grant program."

Cullerton: "So there's no money. It just allows them to participate. Maybe they'll give money to DCCA."

McCracken: "I don't know that that will happen, but we would just participate as all other tourism bureaus participate, including the Chicago Bureau."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Cullerton: "And what does it do to the Bill? Does it keep the
Bill or strip the Bill? It will be deleting everything
after the enacting clause, as sort of unfriendly treatment
of the original Sponsor's Bill. Unless you..."

McCracken: "I'm going to have to look at it to see if it in fact deletes it. It may..."

Cullerton: "Was it prepared by the House Republican Staff?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Does it change the title?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Would it go back to First Legislative Day, if someone asks? Haybe there might be another time for this Bill to pass, more propitious."

McCracken: "I guess you'll have to do that, then."

Cullerton: "Well, I... my... the only question I have now is,
does... does... does it incorporate the Bill, the original
Bill, somewhere in the Amendment, or does it strip it?
Hang on a second."

McCracken: "No, it doesn*t. The first page of the Amendment restates the Bill."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative

Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A couple of weeks ago I got up and opposed one of the Democrats Amendments against a Republican Bill, and my statement was essentially this. In all the time I've spent in the Legislature, I have never introduced a Bill which would strike the enacting clause or strike everything after the enacting clause and put on my own Bill. I think it's a cowardly way to attack a Bill. I think a Bill... a Sponsor ought to have a chance to have his Bill voted up or down. If a Sponsor has a Bill he can't get out of the Committee, or one he'd like to have

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

passed, then let him use some other method. Let him go to the Senate and find a Bill someone is willing to put their... his Amendment on. But to do this is gutting a Bill of mine. I've never done it to anybody else, and I hope you don't do it to me. I would like to see a 'no' vote on this Amendment. Kill the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken to close."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill does not gut the... or this Amendment does not gut the Bill. It restates on the first page of the Amendment, the Bill in its entirety. But if this will jeopardize the Gentleman's Bill, I will withdraw it."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #2. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2825, Representative

Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2825, a Bill for an Act in relation to deceptive practices against the state and debts owed to the state. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Countryman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 changes the expiration date on the garnishment summons until the expiration of the employers payroll period ending immediately prior to the 180 days after service of summons. It deletes other language which could be confusing and that's all it does. It's a technical Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825, and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2850, Representative

Kirkland. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2850, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Order of House Calendar Sup. On the Order... the House Calendar, Supplemental No. 1. For those Bills on the Consent Calendar on Third Reading that need to be brought back for an Amendment. He're only dealing with those Bills that need to be brought back for Amendment. The first Bill is House Bill 429, Representative Rea. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 429, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea asks leave to return this

Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an

Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave.

Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 deletes Chicago from the Bill. I move 52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- for its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 429. Is there anyone standing in opposition? The Gentleman from Rea... Representative... Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Not in opposition, Addam Speaker, but just to say that the original intent was only to deal with the downstate firemen, so this, I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

 McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Young, did
 you say the magic word? Are you removing Chicago from the
 application of this aill?"
- Young: "This Amendment makes the Sponsor's intent clear."
- McCracken: "It's important that Chicago not be subject to state
 law, we all understand that."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 361, Representative LeFlore. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 361, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of making a Motion. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Mr. Clerk, are there any Motions on file?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #3, by Representative

 LeFlore and Parke."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Madam Chairman, I'd like to

 Motion to table Amendment #3."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3.

 Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 522, Representative

 Parke. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Representative Parke asks

 leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading

 for the purposes of an Amendment. Are there any

 objections? Hearing none, Mr. Clerk, the Bill is on Second

 Reading. Are there any Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 McCracken."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: ™Thank you• Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 in response to a request from the Judiciary Committee, which agreed to pass the Bill out, if I were to amend it requested. Somehow this got on the Consent Calendar without that Amendment. This would amend the Bill so in sex case prosecutions, where the witness is a minor under 18 years of age, in ruling on any Motion for Continuance, the Court shall consider and give weight to the adverse impact the delay may have on the well being the child."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment... adoption of Amendment &1 to House Bill 522.

Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye' those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House 3ill 868, Representative

 Parke. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 868, a Bill for an Act in relation to park and forest preserve districts funds. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parke asks leave to return this
 Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an
 Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the
 Bill is on Second. Are there any Amendments filed, Mr.
 Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Parke."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Amendment #3 complies with
 the staff pointing out that the Amendment #2 had the wrong
 line item. We have corrected that and now added the..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 868, and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1867, Representative

Kulas. Clerk, read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1867, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to environmental protection.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas asks leave to return this

 Bill to the Order of Second Reading. Hearing no
 objections, the Bill is on Second. Are there any

 Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Kulas and B. Pedersen."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 rewrites the Bill, deletes the enacting clause and rewrites the Bill. It's the omnibus for the EPA Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House 3ill 1867 and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Peterson."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson."
- Peterson: "Madam Speaker, withdraw Amendment 4."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #4. Are there any further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
 Peterson."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment 5 changes the date for the completion of the Department study from September 1, *88 to December 1, *89, and changes the date

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

for the adoption of the regulations from December...

September 1, '88 to December, '89. I move for adoption of Amendment 5."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption Amendment #5 to House Bill' 1867. Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none. the question •Shall is. Amendment #5 adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', he opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2113, Representative Bowman? Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2113, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman asks leave to return this
Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an
Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave.
The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any
Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Rowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 was prepared in consultation with Rights Commission, which is the Agency that would administer the law if this Bill passes. With this they have no objections to the Bill and it Amendment. basically addresses problems and questions that arose in Committee. It makes the language of the Bill more crisp and I move for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2113 and on that question, is

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2855, Representative Rea. Clerk, read the Bill. 2655. Read the Bill, Ar. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2655, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea asks leave to return this

Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an

Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave.

Are there any Amendments filed. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rea, Ryder and McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Withdraw Amendment 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #2. Are there any further

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Rea, Ryder and McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This Amendment amends the Vehicle Code. This Amendment represents an agreement among the various parties, including the Secretary of State's Office, Cook County State's Attorney's Office, the licensed Auto Recyclers and Rebuilders and Repairers of Illinois, the Auto and Truck Recyclers of Illinois, the Illinois New Car and Truck Dealers Association and the Scrap Processors.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the Spring of *94 we passed legislation which established procedures to address the chop-chop operations in this state and we added new definitions to the Vehicle Code, licensing, inspection, and record keeping policies, and then last Spring a measure to make technical corrections and clarifications developed through these negotiations. So this is an agreed Amendment."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2655. Is there any objection. Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2821, Representative
 Wojcik. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2821, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections... certain Sections of the Illinois Clinical

 Laboratory Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik asks leave to return this

 Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an

 Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Lady has leave. Are
 there any Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. What

 Amendment is, it's an agreement between the Medical Society

 and the Department of Public Health. It's agreed to and
 all people have been together and talked about it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2821, and on that question, is

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say

'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are

there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 942. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Steczo."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 942, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to energy generation from solid waste. Second... Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike Steczo. Representative Steczo, on the Amendment. Excuse me, Representative Steczo asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. Are there any Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 McPike and Steczo."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Please withdraw Amendments #1
 and #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #1 and #2. Are there any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Steczo and Churchill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 to House Bill 942 amends the Bill dramatically and puts it in the shape that we've agreed upon, and that's the shape of a vehicle which can be used should agreement be reached on the issue of co-generation. This Amendment in this posture has been agreed to by both sides of the aisle and I would move for

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo asks... moves for the adoption of Amendment \$3. Does anyone stand in opposition?

Hearing... the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Not... not in opposition, Madam Speaker. Just a brief question. All this does is authorize units to act in conjunction, if they so choose, relative to this matter. Is that right?"

Steczo: "In its present form, yes."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, on one Motion we would like to move these Bills... or we would ask leave to have these Bills heard on Third Reading today, the same day that they were amended. So the Motion is, 'Shall the House suspend the appropriate rules so that House Bills 361, 429, 522, 868, 942, 1867, 2113, 2655, 2821 can heard this same day?* Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and leave is granted. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to page 11 on your Calendar, to the Order of Public Health. The first Bill on that Order on Third Reading - Public Health, House Bill 566, Representative McGann and O'Connell. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 566, a Bill for an Act to amend the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann."

- McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

 House Bill 566, is a Bill to amend the Illinois Hospital

 Licensing Act. In the definition of perinatal, to specify

 that it covers the period after conception to the end of

 first months after birth of an infant. This was put into

 the part of the perinatal package, but the clarification

 and definition, we want to add into it. And I would ask

 for the approval and be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 566. Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing The question is, 'Shall House Bill 566, pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk, will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye, one voting 'no' and This Bill, having received none voting 'present'. the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 627, Representative Farley. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 627, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Toxic Substance Disclosure to Employees Act. Third Reading

 of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. This Bill is on Third Reading. I'll take this Bill out of the record, with leave to come back to it. House Bill 736, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 736, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois AIDS Registry. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The AIDS... the issue of AIDS, is clearly the most important issue before this legislature. You pick up

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

pick up yesterday's paper, you turn on the todays paper. television and on every page is an article or discussion in terms of AIDS. Our constituents are calling on us to deal with this very very critical problem. House Bill 736 represents a approach to dealing with problem that has received the support across the board from almost everybody who is involved in this issue. House Bill 736 saves money in terms of AIDS and provides the services and the programs that we need to deal with this critical disease. T+ saves money, in that there are four separate provisions in this Bill encouraging long term care for AIDS If you saw the New York Times this morning, saw the statement from the Director of the New York State Public Health Department. That State is clase bankruptcv in its medical program because of the number of AIDS patients and because of the cost to the State dealing with this problem. House Bill 736, by getting the State of Illinois into the provision of long programs. Is going to save this State a substantial amount of money and prevent us from ending up where potentially is. in the State of bankruptcy. About a year ago there was a study by the Center for Disease Control, in which they projected that the average cost of treating patient would be \$150,000. When they went out to see ATDS what the actual costs were, they found in those State's have long term care programs the costs were less than \$40,000 a patient. And it is very simple, the are very simple as to why. A hospital bed costs in excess of \$400 a day. Long term care costs less than a quarter of So the major portion of House Bill 736 deals the long term care. It provides for a pilot program, in terms of long term care programs. be they using hospital beds, be they using nursing homes or be they using

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

residential care settings. It opts us into the sixth omnibus Federal Reconciliation Act, under which we can receive 50% reimbursement for the cost of treating medicald long as we certify that the community as base care for the AIDS patient is cheaper than a does a number of other things in terms of care. T+ also So this is a long term care. good investment. It's something that the professionals say makes sense, something patients themselves say makes sense. And going to something that is save us money. Additional this Bill provisions of deal with the training of government... State government employees."

- Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Okay... Thank you. Who deal with the public and need to have a basic knowledge of that... of AIDS and how it is transmitted, so they can better deal with the public. This legislation also has on it, as a result of the compromise Amendment worked out with the Republican side of the aisle, the provision dealing with blood trusts for families. If there are specific questions, I'd be happy to answer them. I just encourage your support for a Bill that you can take back to your constituents and say we did something significant in terms of this very dreaded disease."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage House Bill 736. And on that question, does anyone stand in Anyone standing in opposition? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey. No. The question is 'Shall House Bill 736 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook, Representative One minute to explain your vote."

Pullen: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

House. I believe that it is important that we take a step forward toward the care of AIDS patients. in that we provide for long term care and hospice care for people. It is an extremely costly disease, it is extremely costly nn our society. And I commend the Gentleman for bringing this Bill before us. I do have some reservations about a few of the provisions, but he has made very substantial progress in producing a very fine Bill and its original intent even was excellent. And so I stand in support of his Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. There are 114... 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Greiman in the Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "On this Order appears House Bill 627. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 627, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Toxic Substance Disclosure to Employees

 Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."
- Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 627 was amended. And what Amendment #2 did was strike everything that was originally in the Bill and just put in a... a provision exempting commercial or hardware store operations on requiring disclosure of toxic substance on the tinting of paint cans. I don't know of any opposition to this and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Greiman: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

 There being no one, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. And this is final action.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen. One minute to explain your vote. Alright. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 115... 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present. This Bill having, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 12 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 1225. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1225, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Critical Health Problems and Comprehensive Health Education

 Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara. Alright, we'll just take this out of the record. We'll come back to it. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading, Public Health, appears House Bill 1268. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1268, a Bill for an Act in relation to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am handling this Bill on behalf of the Gentleman in the Chair. This Bill provides for the disposal of blood that is found to be infected and record keeping in terms of how the blood was disposed of. It also provides that blood may be used by a research facility instead of being disposed of, but records have to be kept of where and when it went to a research facility and what it's final disposition was. It also relieves liability for those persons in the health care system who are responsible for informing persons being tested of the results of their tests. And I urge favorable consideration of House Bill 1266."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... the Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 1268, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, did you pledge, before you left the

 General Assembly, that you would cosponsor a Bill with

 Representative Pullen?"
- Speaker Greiman: "I always deemed it an impossibility... "
- Cullerton: "I think it's time for you to... I think it's time for
 you to leave. When you and Pullen get together on a Bill,
 I think it's time for you to leave. I think it's very
 appropriate."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Cullerton. The question is *Shall this Bill pass?* All those in favor signify by 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this is final action. Have all voted who voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Mr. Saltsman, 'ave'. Mr. Saltsman. So on this question there are 117 voting 'aye', 'aye'. none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this 3i11. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill Mr. Clerk. take the record." (sic - read the Bill)
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act in relation to

 Abortions. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the Right of Conscience Act and also the Illinois Abortion Law. And as to the Right of Conscience Act, specifies that a physician is not required to perform, assist, counsel, suggest, recommend, refer or participate in diagnostic testing to defect fetal abnormalities, which may lead to an abortion. The other portion of the Bill requires that when

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

an abortion is performed and the fetus is viable, that it be performed in a hospital. This Amendment was discussed at some length on the floor within the last few days. I move the passage of House Bill 1415."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McNamara (sic — McCracken), moves for the passage of House Bill 1415. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young."

Young: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Young: "Does this Bill alter the definition of when a fetus is viable?"

McCracken: "No, that's current."

Young: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is. 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting This is final action. Have all voted who is now open. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 79 'aye', 35 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We'll take out of the record. momentarily. We'll be back to it later. On 1471. On this Order appears House Bill 1623. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1623, a Bill for an Act to amend the Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1623 simply requires the Department of Alcohol and Substance Abuse to establish a statewide education program, to provide dissemination of information

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

to state employees relating to alcohol abuse and drug abuse. I move for favorable adoption."

- "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Speaker Greiman: Bill 1623. Does anyone stand in opposition to that There being no one. The question is *Shall this Bill All those in favor signify by voting pass?* 'aye'. opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Oh. I'm sorry. As. Breslin. Have all voted who wish? take the record. On this question there are 113 Clerk. voting 'ave', 4 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. having received the Constitutional Majority. hereby declared passed. Yes, the Gentleman from Mr. Curran. for what purpose to do seek recognition?"
- Curran: "Mr. Chairman, for purposes of an announcement. In Room
 400, in about one-half hour, Milton Friedman, will be
 proved wrong. There will be a free lunch. Courtesy of the
 Illinois Education Association, Room 400, for Democratic
 Members of the House of Representatives. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I would just like the record to reflect that there is

 no free lunch and I have been joined in that by ten other

 people."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On this Order appears House Bill 1471. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1471, a Bill for an Act to amend the Professional Service Corporation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "And on that the Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House
 Bill 1471 would merely provide that professional nurses may
 incorporate for professional reasons in the State of
 Illinois and join with other medical providers in a joint

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- operation. I'd be happy to answer your questions. And I would appreciate your support for House Bill 1471."
- Speaker Greiman: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

 The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, traditionally the professional corporations have consisted of Members of a single profession and this would allow then to join together in a single professional service corporation. The Illinois State Medical Society is absolutely opposed to this Bill.

 And this consists of a very substantial departure from previous law. And I stand in opposition to it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "This is not on this bill. I just only want to rise and say if the IEA can't feed the Republicans, they're not going to feed me either."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Well. let me just say to you that they are going to feed the Republicans today at the same time in Room 300."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Ryder. One minute to explain your vote."
- Ryder: "I only rise to indicate that if it gets a requisite amount of votes, that we're going to ask for a verification, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Sure. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate.

 Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr.

 Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation. This is not really a controversial piece of legislation. This simply

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

doctor's who wish to incorporate and to incorporate the nursing staff into the same corporation. so they can participate in profit sharing plans and those kind do that. of things. It allows them to Currently. the Professional Services Corporation Act will not permit that. It's not a controversial 3ill. I don't know anybody who is really opposed to this Bill and it's a good Bill for the nurses. They have asked for this Bill. And I think w a should accommodate them and put 60 green votes up there so they can participate in professional corporations doctors."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, one minute to explain your vote."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Chairman and Members of the House. Simply to indicate that not all doctors in this State are In fact, two years ago one of the this legislation. Institutions in my district came to me. People in the medical profession came to me, to ask me to introduce The State of Indiana provides a similar legislation. much means for intergrating more comprehensive the various health providers in order to have a delivery system will make a team effort. And for that reason, I think that we should support this legislation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. If we believe in the totality of the health care system, surely the nurses are a part of that system. And I... you know... I really think, the only reason, that this could fail is that doctors would be greedy. I don't think the doctors are that greedy. I think doctors realize that nurses provide health care, just as doctors provide health care. So, I would urge the Members of this Body to include the nurses into that system."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take On this question there are 48 voting 'no', 45 I'm voting 'ave'. 45 votina *no*• 23 votina the Bill will be placed on the Order of 'present'. And Consideration Postponed. On this Order appears House Bill Excuse me, I see Mr. McNamara is in the Chamber, so 2044let's go back to 1225. Mr. Clerk, on this Order is House Bill 1225. Read the Bill, Sir."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1225, a Bill for an Act to amend the Critical Health Problems and Comprehensive Health Education Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. McNamara."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1225 amends the Critical Health Problems and Comprehensive Health Education Act. And it includes sexual abstinence until marriage as an educational area curriculum base. I urge it's adoption. I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
 Bill 1225. And on that, does anyone stand in opposition?
 The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I
 think this particular Bill is a bad one. I think that when
 you are talking about teaching of abstinence, I think that
 should start at home. And I think that this is a bad
 setting for it. And I oppose the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, the Members of the Assembly. In my district in Collinsville, in the junior high schools there, they are teaching a course they call 'Sex Respect'. And the essence of the course is that it is okay to say no to sex. It's okay to practice abstinence

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

until you are married. The kids are very receptive. They feel encouraged that adults, teachers and parents, have the courage to say to them, 'that there are bounds that you must live within'. This is a good Bill for Illinois. I urge those who believe in strong families, basic Christian and Judeo beliefs, that you support House Bill 1225."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'm sorry, I let this 3ill get away from me on Second
Reading. I think if this Bill had been properly amended, I
could vote for it. I think as a precondition to teaching
abstinence before marriage, we should make the teachers
sign an affidavit saying that they themselves did not have
sex before marriage. I think under the circumstances, we
open the door to hypocrisy in our schools. And I stand in

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Kubik: "Representative, is this a mandate? A mandated portion?"

Speaker Grieman: "Mr. McNamara, your on."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is a shame that when we take a look at some of the things..."

Kubik: "Mr. Speaker."

opposition."

McNamara: "That make common sense. Those things like you teach moral responsibility and the fact that you say that abstinence before marriage is permissible. Its right. Its good. Now, let everyone else do what they wish but these are things that are important... Oh I'm sorry."

Kubik: "Mr. McNamara, I was asking a question. I just wanted to know whether this would be a mandated program?"

Kubik: "Yes, it is."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Young."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Young: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Young: "Representative, just by looking at the Bill, it would lead me to believe that you think that abstinence is not already taught in the schools, in sex and in health education classes."

McNamara: "If that is a question, I believe one of the things is that if you really look at the Bill, then it would lead you to believe that under this Section maybe human ecology and health are not taught in the school. Human growth and development are not taught in the school. Prevention and control of disease are not taught in the school. Because these are all other things that are mandated under this Section as logical things to be taught. The basics, so, if that would lead you to believe in that area then it would naturally lead you to believe that perhaps the rest of these items are not taught in the schools."

Young: "Representative, Representative, do you have a fear that maybe sexual promiscuity is being taught in this school or...? I don't understand the reason for this Bill, Representative. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

McNamara: "••• Was that a question? Do you wish me to answer

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Young."

Young: "It was a rhetorical question. To the Bill. If I were an educator, I would be grossly offended by a Bill like this, because it carries an implication that either this is not being done, or in fact, perhaps the opposite is being done. I wonder what we think that is being, what is being taught in our schools today. Of course sexual abstinence is already being taught and encouraged and all of the sex education or health clinics, at least in the Chicago Public School system."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate Representative Stephens and Representative McNamara for finally getting it in the curriculum. And this was in the curriculum in the first schools of America. The first schools in America were private schools; then came the public schools. I want to congratulate them for getting back to the curriculum that was taught in early America... in the first school's of America. Congratulations, fellows."
- "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Speaker Greiman: those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take voted the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'ave', 17 voting 'present'. *no** This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Breslin, for what purpose do you oassed-Ms. recognition?"
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To.... I to, seek recognition to clarify the record, because of the technical problems with voting when one is in one's chair, or when one is at the Speaker's program. On occasion, I have been voted incorrectly, and that has happened again today. And I would like to correct the record. On House Bill 1415, I was recorded as voting 'no', but wish to have been recorded as voting 'aye'. On House Bill 432, I wish to be recorded as voting 'no'. And House Bill 2480, I wish to be recorded... to have been recorded as voting 'aye'. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Let the record... let the transcript so reflect. Now on this Order of Business appears House Bill 2044. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Srien: "House Bill 2044, a Bill for an Act to amend the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the a Majority of the Members of the House think agree that it is time for us to take steps to protect from a very deadly epidemic. One of the main public purposes for State Government is to protect the health. And in the situation of the AIDS epidemic, it does not appear that the state has been responding to responsibility. House Bill 2044 seeks to protect the uninfected. It is a Bill that received much discussion the Amendment stage the other day. So I will just briefly mention what its provisions are. It makes for one who knows that he or she is an AIDS carrier to donate blood. It requires blood banks and hospitals institute blood trust programs to allow prospective blood recipients to recruit their own donors, so that that blood may be reserved for them for up to seven days, and then released to the general pool. It requires marriage license applicants to be tested for the AIDS virus. the testing of convicted sex offenders and convicted IV It requires infected health care workers drug abusers. notify their employers and the employers to remove them from direct patient care. Ιt requires public health notify public school superintendent and board president of any school age child infected with AIDS virus. And it tightly restricts access to data within the Department of Public Health's AIDS computer program. About provision. there was some confusion when we this last adopted that Amendment, and I would like to assure the Members of the House that this Amendment has been researched and does, in fact, restrict within the Department of Public Health's computer. It does

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

not open it in any way. I think it is time for us to move forward to protect the public from the infection. The... this Bill is a reasonable, moderate, prudent, approach. It is not punitive. It has confidentiality built into it, wherever that is needed. And this Bill is needed today. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen, moves for the passage of House Bill 2044. And on that, the Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Hembers of the House. I rise in opposition to House Bill 2044. Politicians stepping in where experts fear to tread. The Speaker appointed a medical advisory panel to help us deal with the spread of this dread epidemic disease. AIDS. Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome. Virtually every item in House Bill 2044 was rejected unanimously by this panel on the that these measures will not help to prevent the spread of this disease, will not help educate and inform the public they might protect themselves against the spread of this disease. We'll spend money, individual taxpayers individuals' money from their own pockets, in ways that will not be helpful in combatting this disease. that the measure before us is one that carries with it an inappropriate message to the folks hack home. T + will not help to stop the disease; it will not help to protect people from the disease on the basis o f testimony from the Speaker's Medical Panel. Further, it will give people back home a sense that they are protected, that we have done something that will help stop the spread this disease. That sense of security, the false sense of security that is inherent in passage of House Bill 2044, is perhaps the best reason to stop it in its tracks. would urge you to support the medical experts who know a

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

lot more than we as politicians do about the nature of diseases that are contagious. I would urge you to say 'no' to House Bill 2044."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin. "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Clearly, this Legislature and every single Member House. is under pressure from constituents around the State to do something about AIDS. Unfortunately, legislation always the solution to every problem. Unfortunately. legislation sometimes can make a situation worse. We heard extensive testimony in Subcommittee on many of and we heard that they make the situation worse. and not better. We heard that an open ended play havoc with our blood supply system and the availability of blood to those that need it. He heard that marriage license testing, as Representative Currie indicated, would create a false sense of security, and also impose probably about a hundred dollar extra expense every time a young couple goes to get married. And we heard focusing on the wrong population in imposing this requirement. So Ī suggest that other legislation appropriate. is able to give us the ability to go back to constituents and say, •We have done this issue. This Bill will come back to significant on haunt us. It will not help the situation. Legislation not always the best solution to a problem. I urge a *no* vote on 2044."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill does something that is very basic again. It says that we are going to find out what causes the disease of AIDS and who has the disease of AIDS and try to prevent that disease from wiping out our race. I think

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

vou know. one point that was brought up is, it was that. done in the area of syphilis, where we found out exactly happens with that disease, and then eventually, were able to conquer that disease. What's more logical that? What else can we do, other than to protect our people. our constituents with at least the medical knowledge that there is something being done here by this General Assembly, and I think it's the most responsible thing that we can do in this General Assembly. At least to them those protections that are so important that we were sent here to give them. This is a very is a very strong measure that says we are going to try to do something about AIDS. We're not condemning. trying to help people. We're trying to save lives. It's important, it's right, and your 'aye' vote should definitely appear on that board. Thank vou."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I also rise to support House the House. Bi 11 2044. Ŧ think problem today is our here а difference in perspective. I think we ought to error on the being safe rather than sorry tomorrow. I urge your support of House Bill 2044."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Stern."

and Members of the House, I think this is a Stern: "Mr. Speaker very grave and serious problem. I, too, am a Hember of the AIDS Subcommittee and heard the experts, and I will the remarks of my colleagues, but I think we have repeat before us an example of someone that we should all emulate. I have a new hero. I think 'Dr. C. Everett Coop*. the impeccable conservative Surgeon General. а man Ωf credentials... not any more, says my colleague across the I think we see in him, a man who is not going to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

allow himself to be stampeded against his better judgment into programs that are not going to have an effect. And I believe that this Bill is one such program. He have asked our experts for their opinion and they have unanimously rendered it. And this Bill flies in the face of every one of those recommendations. I think this is serious. And I think that we have to remain cool and evaluative and careful and I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is now open. This is final action. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens. One minute to explain your vote."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill simply addresses the problem of public health with public health, responses.

 It's a legitimate Bill. And I'm glad to see that it's going to pass."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 91 'aye', 19 'no', 6 'present'. This 3ill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order, appears House Bill 2070. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2070, a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of Local Government Mental Health Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill as amended, provides for a study group to be formed dealing with Mental Health Services within the community and asking especially for concentration on services to the mentally ill. The original Bill is... was controversial, but by the Amendment we are simply asking that the issue of how we

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

go about providing funds in the community for mental health services will be studied by representatives of various state agencies. I would be happy to answer any questions on the issue."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign moves for the passage of House Bill 2070. Does anyone stand in opposition to that? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

Hallock: "How will this legislation interplay with the different regional Mental Health Facilities that the State runs around the State?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, this is simply a study and so any recommendations that would come out of this study group might impact on that, but until the study is done there would be no impact. And I would assume that if the study recommends a legislative change we would have to come back and approve that."

Hallock: "But this study does not at any point in time, at least currently, bind or require any private provider or the State to do any certain things in this area."

Satterthwaite: "I'm sorry, I didn't get all of your question."

Hallock: "Does this Bill require the private providers or the State to take certain actions at this point in time?"

Satterthwaite: "The only action that they would have to take is, if they are designated as members of this Committee, they would meet and study the issue... designing a system for funding the community mental health services."

Hallock: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the record. On this question there are 110 voting "aye",

2 voting "no", 3 voting "present". This Bill, having
received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
passed. On this Order appears House Bill 2274. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2274, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code. Third

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill allows for telephone communication between examining clinical psychologist and other people, who are then allowed by telephone to communicate rather than... no conversations or examinations at all. Its not quite as strong as was recommended originally. It's been toned down a little bit and I would ask for its passage."

"The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Speaker Greiman: 2274. Does anyone stand in opposition to that Bi 11 question is, 'Shall this Bill There being no one. The All in favor of signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting... 112 voting 'aye', 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill 2276. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House 3ill 2276, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of

the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

House. House Bill 2276 is basically Amendment #1 which authorize the Department of Commerce and Community would Affairs to make available grants and low interest loans owners who suffered damage to their homes as a result of flooding in the month of October. 1986. There declared a Federal disaster in the State of Illinois during that month and a lot of homeowners in various sections all over the State had damage to their foundations and to their heating systems and to the structures of their homes. And the Federal Program. the SBA program... loans and grants program, did not cover many of these people because were pensioners, they were elderly, they were sick and had various disabilities that would not make them eligible So this Bill proposes that a small fund of loana \$500,000 be set up that would make available grants loans to those categories of people who were not eligible under the other disaster programs. And I move for the passage of the Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair moves for the passage of House Bill 2276. Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Representative yield for some questions?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."
- Churchill: "Representative Younge, can you explain how... what portion of the State does this cover?"
- Younge: "It covers certain northern areas of the State and southern areas of the State. There was a large... many counties who were... which were declared disaster areas in the October 86 flood. And under this Bill those areas would be available for grants and loans to persons who are homeowners, who had structural damages to their homes. There were quite a few pensioners, there were quite a few

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

elderly people, there were quite a few people who did not qualify for one reason or another to disaster assistance, or it wasn't sufficient to cover the foundations and the heating systems in the very drastic damage that they suffered. And this Bill is an effort to set up a small fund that would make available some assistance to them. Many of these people are elderly people who have seriously damaged foundations and they need our help."

Churchill: "Yes, I understand all of that, but is this Bill specifically limited to just East St. Louis?"

Younge: "No the Amendment makes it statewide."

Churchill: "Which Amendment is that?"

Younge: "Amendment #1."

Churchill: "Okay. My records indicate that Amendment &1 applies specifically to the residents of East St. Louis."

Younge: "No, I'll read the Amendment to you. It says the 'Department shall make grants and low interest loans available to homeowners who suffered damage to their homes as a result of a flood in the month of October 1986. It doesn't say anything about East St. Louis."

Churchill: "But the original Bill is only for East St. Louis, correct?"

Younge: "The original Bill has been changed by Amendment 1 to make it statewide."

Churchill: "And that's done in Amendment #1?"

Younge: "Yes."

Churchill: "Amendment #1 doesn't say anything about making it statewide. It just amends that portion of the Bill that already exists, which is just for East St. Louis. So as it stands this is a program just for East St. Louis."

Younge: "The Amendment deletes everything by deleting lines 8 thru 13, which are the lines of the major portion of the Bill, the body of the Bill, and therefore the Amendment

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

makes it statewide."

- Churchill: "How many homes were damaged during the flood?"
- Younge: "In my area, I know that there were about 6,000 residences. We believe that the cases involved in this situation are about 110. I don't know the numbers in other parts of the State."
- Churchill: "Do you have any idea of what the cost of this program is going to be?"
- Younge: "Yes. I have prepared an Amendment which would request that the fund be set up for \$500,000."
- Churchill: "And do you think \$500,000 is sufficient to repair all of the flood damage from the flood last year across the State?"
- Younge: "I think that that is what ought to be made available
 during this term in order to help persons who are similarly
 situated. In reference to the intent of this Bill."
- Churchill: "In Lake County alone there were millions of dollars of flood damage. How are you going to cover millions of dollars of flood damage with \$500,000?"
- Younge: "The issue, Representative Churchill, quite a few of those persons who were damaged by the flood received loans because they work or receive various types of grants, or the amount of the damage to their homes might have only been what they were given. I'm talking about a limited group of people who are homeowners, who received... had substantial damage to their foundations, to their heating systems and the help that they received was not adequate to keep them in their homes and straighten their situation out."
- Churchill: "Does this Sill set an upward limit on the cost?"
- Young: "We do that through the appropriations process. My

 Amendment would establish only a half-million dollars in

 the fund for this."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Churchill: "And so if somebody amended your Amendment or your appropriation so that it was \$100,000,000, then, that would be the upper limit?"
- Younge: "Well, our process here is that the General Assembly decides what the amount that is finally approved. And I am telling you what it is and I think it would be an appropriate amount. But, our process is that we limit what is finally approved."
- Churchill: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker to the Bill. I would rise in opposition to this Bill. I fail to see at this point is applicable to the whole State. But I suppose that even if it is applicable to the whole State it becomes more expensive than just if it is limited to the city o f This is a blank check. We're writing a blank check for damages from the flooding of last year. me. the people of my area would love to have a recovery system for the damages that they suffered last year. But I think before we go in and write a blank check, we ought tα find out what the damages are and set out exactly what the program should be to help those people. I don't think ought to be in the business of writing a blank check to all of the people who suffered damages for last year. is a bad Bill to pass at this time."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I wonder if we could just interrupt the presentation of this Bill for a few moments for the introduction of a distinguished Illinois Senator.

 Mr. Madigan. in the Chair."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, excuse me for the interruption, especially in the middle of a Bill. But we are very happy to have with us one of our United States Senators. A man who served in this Chamber and in the Senate for several years, before serving in State Office.

 And now representing us at the Capital of the United

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

States. Our good friend, United States Senator Alan Dixon."

- Alan Dixon: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I thank you for that friendly reception. It's great to have an opportunity visit in the Illinois House where I spent so many happy years. I was elected to the House in November of 1950. the year that Everett McKinley Dirksen defeated Scott Lucas and came here in January of 51, served twelve years in the House. And may I say Mr. Speaker, we never worked on a Friday in May in all the time I was Legislature in either the House or the Senate. In fact, we adjourned in the middle of June in 1951, with all our work I used to speak all the time against annual Sessions and say that the cost of government would rise in proportion to the number of days that the General Assembly was in Session. And I just want to tell you that was here as a freshman Member of the Illinois House, Adali Stevenson was Governor, the budget for two years was one billion dollars, and we thought it was a lot of money. Ыe drop that on the floor in Washington, nowadays. But may I say to you, that it was a great privilege to be here, a great experience in my life. I think about it always with warmest and the greatest memories and I appreciate the opportunity to come back and to say to every one individually and as a group. You have my highest personal regards and my best wishes and my office is always open. And I want to be of service to you at every opportunity. God bless you all and thank you for letting me come and say hello."
- Speaker Grieman: "I think that the Senator was entering a Motion to adjourn there. Alright. Yes, Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "On behalf of somebody else, I was going to ask Alan.

 Is there any truth to the rumor that he's Jim Edgar's

father? But I was going to say myself that we appreciate

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

that big smile of Alan, Senator Dixon. We haven't seen a board smile like that since Judy Koehler left the House." "Alright. Ladies and Gentlemen, Representative Mr. Churchill had stood Younge had presented her Bill. and questioned her with respect to that Bill. opposition There are a number of folk's who wish to speak on the Bill. I wonder if we might, take the Roll and have Members speak, on... as explanation of vote. If I could have 1 eave that? Therefore, accordingly, Hs. Younge to Briefly."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members Younge: o f the There is no question but that during the recent National Disaster... the Federal Disaster, in terms There were a number of homeowners that simply fell through the cracks in terms of the federal programs. were not able to get SBA Loans because they were senior citizens, or because they didn't have the income or they were approaching retirement or because they were ill or they were blind or some other reason. These people experienced damages to their foundations, to their floors, to the sidewalks, to their heating systems. simply are living in houses which are almost uninhabitable. The questions are clearly verified that there is a great need and I think what we ought to do is to establish this program in the Department of DCCA, as а program and establish a small fund in order to help a number of the And I move for the passage of this Bill people this year. for those reasons."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff. One minute to explain your vote."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, I rise to support this Bill. This is a reason Bill. It's well balanced and it shows a great deal of compassion on the part of this Lady. And of course there are always a great number of people, for whatever the reason, who fall between the cracks. That's what this Bill attempts to do, to try to go back and have a tertiary effect on trying to give some benefit of those people, for reasons beyond their control, as to why they were left out of the program."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 67 'aye', 45 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order, appears House Bill 2594. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2594, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the care and custody of minors. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Request leave to bring the Bill back to Second, for purposes....."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman, asks leave of the House, to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading, for the purpose of an Amendment? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 is being offered by Representative Wolf."
- Speaker Greiman: The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Number 3, withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, being offered by Representative #olf."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf, on

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment #4."

- Wolf: "Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, is strictly a technical

 Amendment. And, I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? There being none. The question is 'Shall it be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes', have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf, asks leave to have this considered... this Bill considered at this time. Does the Gentleman have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, on Third Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2594, a Bill for an Act in relationship
 to the care and custody of minors. Third Reading of the
 Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of Wolf: the House. House Bill 2594 amends the Juvenile Court Act and the County Shelter Care and Detention Home Act. It authorizes Juvenile Court Judge to place an adjudicated minor in home detention shelter care and also authorizes an increase of the tax levy used to establish and support a shelter care or detention home. The increase in the tax levy is by a referendum only. The Bill also strikes from current law some outdated and inappropriate phraseology is no longer consistent with other Sections of the which I move for passage of House Bill 2594." Code .
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
 Bill 2594. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Just one quick question, to make sure that this is a front door referendum only. Right?"

Wolf: "Front door referendum."

Stephens: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'.

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill 2682. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2682, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to Hemophilia Advisory Committee. Third Reading of the
Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Ar. Speaker, I would appreciate it if I could ask for Representative Stephens to handle the Bill, please. His Amendment has become the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman (sic - Lady) yields her time to

Mr. Stephens. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2682 as amended would require the Department of Public Health to trace the sexual contacts of those persons infected with the AIDS virus. This is a standard public health procedure being done routinely for syphilis and gonorrhea for many years. He debated this issue last evening. I believe, and rather than further explanation I would yield to any questions and I would move for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair moves for the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

adoption... for the passage of House Bill 2682. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Homer: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. We had a question and answer session on this Bill previously and I must rise to oppose the Bill and ask others to consider what the Bill would do and why the experts have advocated, to my understanding, pretty unanimously against this Bill. This is a contact tracing provision which would set mechanism whereby the local health departments would be required, if delegated by the state, to, each time was a case of someone contracting AIDS, to interrogate that about all the other sexual partners that person's had within the previous seven years. And then the department would be required to go out and find and trace every sex partner that that person's had sex with for the past seven years, regardless of where the people have moved to, and can you imagine the expense and the effort that would be associated with such a program, number and number two, the desirability at this point in time of having a program where you could have, for example, a married, happily married with children who gets a letter from the local health department from some county Dear Mrs. Jones: You are hereby advised that saving. we've talked to Mr. Smith, who has AIDS, and he tells us intercourse with him six years ago. that you had How disruptive that type of communication would be, number one, and number two, the type of rumor mill that that create throughout all communities about these kinds of circumstances, so the merit of this kind of program, I know it's well intended, but it's burdensome, it's expensive, and then there's a provision in the Bill that would say that if any disgruntled citizen that lives

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

a local health department feels that the iurisdiction of local health department isn't doing its utmost under obligation, that that individual can go into court, have immediate standing to file a complaint in court, and has to do nothing else, because all the Bill would require. the person files a complaint, and then if the burden, according to this Bill, would be on the health department to prove that it has been doing a good job. Now, that's the of litigation I've ever heard of. Can you imagine setting up a structure where a plaintiff can in and just make some sort of an unfounded claim, and then, putting the burden on the defendant to prove his innocence? What kind of justice or concept is that? And if you adopt it for this kind of a policy, what kind of you establishing for other kind of lawsuits? You talk about frivolous lawsuits. Мν lord. you're opening Pandora's box by establishing a precedent such as this."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This Bill is ... has the audacity to actually trace down a disease and try to prevent other people from getting a disease. How can we do something like that? too much common sense to do that. It's no different than the syphilis tracing, the gonorrhea tracing, the wipe out those dreaded diseases. things that help And also, in the previous remarks, it said that it would burdensome. It would require that a governmental agency Well, I'd like to see a lot of does its job. governmental agencies doing their job, and I think the public has that right to require that. If it is burdensome to SAVE the public, to save a life, then let it be burdensome. piece of legislation that's going to go a long way

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

towards helping to trace, to cure, to help our society rid itself of this scourge, and I urge its passage. Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The question is. this Bill pass?* All those in favor signify by voting *ave*. those opposed vote *no*. Voting is now open. This final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 81 'ave', 30 'no', 3 *present*. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. And now Ladies and Gentlemen, on this, on page 9 of the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table, Public Health, appears House Resolution Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 242 urges the Council on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities of the Citizens Assembly to study the overuse of adolescent psychiatric hospitals and units."
- Speaker Greiman: "And on that the Gentleman from Cook, Ar.
- Williams: "Yes. There is... there have been two Amendments to
 the Resolution to remove objectionable language there that
 was there. We would ask for the Clerk to..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Clerk. are there Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Williams."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Williams on Amendment #1."
- Williams: "Floor... Floor Amendment #1 addresses a problem that
 Representative Sutker had with the Bill... with the
 Resolution and it just removes certain, what I would say,
 classifying language so that the Resolution would expand
 its scope to deal with all families regardless of class

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Wojcik, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Wojcik: "I have an Amendment. Maybe there is a Clerk error?"
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll check. Yes. There is an Amendment filed additionally by Ms. Wojcik... Wojcik and it apparently was listed as Amendment 1 also, so with leave of the House we will allow the... Ms. Wojcik to amend that Amendment on its face. Mr. Clerk, would you advise us of the Reference Bureau number on that?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Amendment offered by Representative Hojcik is HRS85HR0242LKHC01."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. With leave of the House, that will be designated as Amendment #2 and on Amendment #2, Ms. Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. What Amendment #2 does, it urges the Citizens Assembly to not hold their hearings in weeks in which the legislature is in Session; and again I must reiterate the reason for this is because of the lack of attendance on the citizens part. And if we're going to perform this service and truly do it in a professional way, we should do it when the citizens and the Legislators have time to pay attention to what is going on at the committee meetings."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves Amendment 2 and on that the Gentleman from Lee. Mr. Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. This Amendment has been offered a number of times.

 As co-chairman with Senator Kelly of the Citizens Assembly

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, I rise in opposition to this Amendment that comes from the Department of Public Aid, ostensibly. We are best served when we have many of our meetings while we are here. It cuts down on travel time, cuts down on travel costs. An isolated incident that may have happened within the last few weeks should not detract from the activities of the Citizens Assembly. I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I join Representative Olson in opposing Amendment 2 to House Resolution 242. Citizen Council meetings are often set during weeks when the Legislature is in Session in order to save the taxpavers money, in order that we are not round trips to and from Springfield. There was one particular occasion when the House and Senate schedules changed after a particular Citizens Council meeting was That's unfortunate, but that surely should not set. to prohibitions or urgings against holdings rise o f meetings at times when individual schedules and taxpayers might be best served. We do not need to support this Amendment, in fact we should certainly defeat it."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Parcells."

"Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Parcells: We spoke recently... of a recent meeting where Amendment. there was not one single Representative or Senator the Its very rude to those from the private sector who think we are showing a lack of interest, which is not Our agencies of government are asked to come in and true. We should be meeting in the off-season to prepare the things that we want to bring to the Legislature, not trying to do this while we're in Session. There is plenty of time. As far as the cost goes, half of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

up-state, half are down. Either way, somebody is having to move. I urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Wojcik to close."

Woicik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, First of all I would like to clearly state that this Motion or Amendment is not at the urging of Public Aid. This is specifically at my own urging and again, I say we are talking about Well, I saving taxpayers dollars. don't think the tax payers have an input when the Membership does not show up. The elected people and the appointed people are not showing up to these committees. I think the intent is well. feel rather sorry for the staff because the burden of heaviness is placed upon them to make major decisions. That is not their job. The decision making factor out of that committee and it comes out of our intellect as being Legislators and elected people. This is not performed. Perhaps we can review. I really strongly recommend that you look at the Roll Call. That you check the absenteeisms and you see who is making the decisions. And the Public Aid is not suggesting this, I am• Perhaps Public Aid had an input they would make the same statement that I am making now, So I think that there is a that this is my recommendation and it's fallacy here. purely upon experience in being Chairman of the Public Aid Citizens Assembly."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'nos' have it and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Williams on the Resolution."

Williams: "The Resolution 242, principally what it does... "

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Wojcik, for what purpose are you seeking

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

recognition?"

Wojcik: "Mr. Speaker, I would like a Roll Call on that last
Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Wojcik, we'll give you a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no' on the last Amendment. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 44 voting ... Mr. Saltsman votes 'no', Mr. Shaw votes 'no', Mr. Novak votes 'no'. Okay. On this question there are 43 voting 'aye', 69 voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the Motion fails. Mr. Hilliams on the Resolution."
- Williams: "Okav. The Resolution basically asks the Citizens Council to study the situation where you find various youth are being sent, some unnecessarily and some who need it, to psychiatric evaluations in lieu of the... in essence, what becomes the criminal justice system. The question is... is just asking for a study to determine the use of psychiatric care dealing with youth who find themselves in troublesome We've been able to find that many youth are situations. basically allowed to opt into psychiatric evaluation of avoiding the criminal system, while those who may need it and don't have the financial ability to get it, left out and the Bill would just ask for a sometimes are study and I would ask for your favorable support on this in adoption of this Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is. 'Shall the Amendment... sorry, shall this Resolution be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution On this same Order, with leave, we wish to take up at this time House Resolution 360. Mr. House Resolution 360. ar. Clerk. Clerk. there are

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Amendments to House Resolution 360?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$1. is being offered by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "On Amendment #1, the Lady from Cook, #s.

Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Resolution was printed inadvertently, we When Houses that Commission left off. resolved. the nη Cooperation provides staff Intergovernmental research. administrative and logistical support to the Task And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The lady from Cook moves for the adoption of And on that is there any Amendment 1. discussion? *Shall this Amendment be adopted? All in **auestion** is favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. have it. The Amendment is adopted. further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Barnes, on the Resolution."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the Houses House Resolution 360, addresses series that the Intergovernmental Cooperation It was a result of a Senate Resolution Commission is held. that appropriated \$10,000 for the purposes of studing teenage suicides and its effect in Illinois. Aς T said on the House Floor before, we held one hearing at McCormick Place. And at that Conference we had over 700 The second one was held in Springfield, we hundred people. had over 600 hundred in attendance. And a third Policy Committee hearing was held and we had over 400 hundred that was held up in Cook County. This Resolution calls for the Task Force, for 12 Members, three appointed by the Speaker, three by the Minority Leader, three bу President

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

of the Senate and three by the Minority of the Senate. We feel that if this Task Force is in place, that we will come up with some very very suitable solutions to a definite problem that we are facing in the State of Illinois. And I would ask for an 'ave' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the adoption of House Resolution 360. Does anyone stand in The question is 'Shall this Resolution be opposition? adopted? All in favor signify by voting 'ave'. opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Resolution having received the appropriate Majority. is hereby adopted. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Special Call Volunteer Liability, appears House Bill Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Novak, for what 1312. purpose do you seek recognition?"

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded for the record as an 'aye' vote on House Bill 360."

Speaker Greiman: "Transcript on House Resolution 360, the transcript will so reflect."

Novak: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 10 of the Calendar, House Bill 1312, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Not-For-Profit Corporation

Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin on House Bill 1312."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I will open and Representative Richmond will close on this Bill. The Bill in its current form, deals with the problem faced by number of downstate agricultural

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

co-ops. Where members of those co-op's who sit on the board. have to take time off from their farms. hire people to do the work and come to the meeting of the Boards. they do currently is to pay to get reimbursed for When we passed House Bill... Senate Bill their time. last vear. we immunized Members of the Board of Directors for judgement, in terms of negligent conduct. But. we required that they serve without compensation. This legislation attempts to deal with that situation... the unique situation faced by these member co-ops, where the members do have to take the time off, hire somebody in most cases to work on the farm in their absence and It is expected that there will be additional Amendments in the Senate to this legislation. intention at this point is simply to keep this Bill moving."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, moves for the passage of House Bill 1312. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Yeah, Representative, how come you got such a good egg Bill here for a change?"

Levin: "For all of my rural constituents."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is "Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. And this is final action. The Gentleman from Macon, Hr. Tate, one minute to explain your vote."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. After this Roll Call I have an inquiry to the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Tate, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of information. Can the

 Chair indicate to the Membership if and when we will do

 budget's today?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we'll have to check that and be back to you. He will be back to you, Mr. Tate. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going on a Special Subject Matter Call State Government Second Reading and on that appears House Bill 1304. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 3 of your Calendar, House Bill 1304, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Motions with respect to Amendment #1."
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representatives Capparelli and Barger."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, on Amendment #2."
- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, would you table that Amendment, please?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment's withdrawn. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representatives

 Capparelli and Barger."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Capparelli, on Amendment 3."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Capparelli: "Table that one, too."

Speaker Greiman: "Hithdrawn. Further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 Cowlishaw."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw, on Amendment 4."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 was suggested by Representative O'Connell and simply changes the word 'compensation' to the words 'a reasonable honorarium'. Means the same thing."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage moves for the adoption of Amendment 4. And on that the Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Representative Cowlishaw, I recall this Bill being up in the Judiciary Committee. Is that correct?"

Cowlishaw: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "And did it pass out of the Judiciary Committee, or not?"

Cowlishaw: "Yes, sir."

- Cullerton: "And this was an Amendment that we talked about in Committee, as I recall."
- Cowlishaw: "Yes, sir. Representative O*Connell suggested in Committee, that we might want to might want to change the word 'compensation' to 'honorarium' in order to make very clear what the intention was, Tape Malfunction. And then further discussion with Representative O'Connell, he thought be a good idea to put the word 'responsible' in front of it."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Cowlishaw: "You're welcome."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Amendment that I had talked to Representative Cowlishaw about during

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Committee and she accommodated some of my concerns. That it be interpreted as compensation by placing it as reasonable honorarium, I think it avoided the potential improprieties that may have emanated. So I would support the Lady's Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "It is a point of inquiry. Is Amendment #1 on the Bill? Because, that greatly expands the...

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has Amendment #1 been adopted?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 is adopted."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, it has been adopted, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Is that what you want, Representative Cowlishaw?"

Cowlishaw: "Representative Cullerton, Amendment &1 was adopted in the Judiciary Committee and became the Bill."

Cullerton: "It allows any county wide elected official... "

Cowlishaw: "Any County Official elected county wide. That is correct."

Cullerton: "Including Coroners?"

Cowlishaw: "That is correct. Anyone elected county wide."

Cullerton: "Or their designee?"

Cowlishaw: "No. That is not in there. No designees are permitted. Representative Cullerton, you almost have to read Amendment #1, because that becomes the Bill."

Speaker Grieman: "Mr. Olson, the Gentleman from Lee."

Olson: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

Olson: "Mary Lou, who is supporting this initial initiative? Who of the County Officials wants to get into this posture?"

Cowlishaw: "Representative Olson, this legislation was not suggested by any specific county official in my own county or any other. It was suggested by various persons who have to do with various religious persuasions who have members of their congregations who wish to marry someone who is not

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

of the same religious persuasion and have a civil marriage ceremony in a private home or a hotel on the evening, or on a weekend. And since we no longer permit Judges, to accept any compensation honorarium or whatever, for performing civil marriage ceremonies these young couples are unable to find anyone to come and officiate at their wedding."

Olson: "Well, in our small area, the 15th Circuit, our Judges quite readily perform those ceremonies because that's good for them at retention time. And we've had no problems with people of different ethnic and religious persuasions from being married. I was just curious. I think I can speak for our County Clerks, in our District. I don't think they'd be too anxious to get into this. Is this permissive...or would this become statutory?"

Cowlishaw: "It's not required at all. Anyone who does not wish to do this is not compelled to do so."

Olson: "Do the Coroners and the Circuit Clerks and Recorders and Auditors know this legislation is floating around?"

Cowlishaw: "I would suppose so."

Olson: "Thank you."

Cowlishaw: "You are welcome. Mr. Speaker, I move for adoption of this Amendment.

Speaker Greiman: "The question is "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments?"

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady asks leave of the House to allow this Bill to be considered at this time.

Leave using the Attendance Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1304, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Since we no longer permit Judges, whether they still serving as Judges, or are retired Judges to accept any compensation whatsoever for performing a civil marriage ceremony we have found in certain areas of the State and particularly those areas of high population density, that if a young couple who consist of two different persuasions would like to be married and have that marriage ceremony, a civil ceremony which would therefore not emphasize the differences between them, but rather the forward looking sort of togetherness that marriage is the promise of, are unable to find anyone to come and ceremony. Consequently all this 8111 dnes is authorize, and by the way I should add that under current the County Clerk of Cook County has the right to solemnize marriages. But, that is the only county official in the entire State who that has that authority. So. this extend that authority to all the other county clerks in the other 101 counties. And because of the fact that if you are only going to have the County Clerk, it is possible that that person might have a scheduling conflict at the when the wedding was planned. Consequently. we extended this to any county official who is elected county wide and have provided that if this person does this, at some time other than regular business hours, that be entitled to receive a reasonable honorarium for would having gone out on the evening or the weekend to perform a That's all the Bill does. It does not civil marriage. require anyone to do this. It simply authorizes them to do so if they choose to. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, the Lady from DuPage moves for

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the passage of House Bill 1304. And on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sutker."

Sutker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I want to commend for a creative and innovative approach to a very severe problem in the marriage field. It's thoughtful. is something that I think will be used. He know that Judges are avoiding the responsibility Λf conducting Part of marriages for many purposes. it relating to matters that concern them in their capacity as Members the Judiciary and I support her bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have the highest respect for Sponsor of this Bill. I know she is concerned about it, we've talked about this legislation. And vet I have to rise in opposition to her Bill. I think that it bad public policy to permit employees, elected officials, high ranking elected officials, throughout the Illinois the clerks and other county wide elected officials to accept additional private fees paid to them by people who want to use their services. I know in Cook County indeed no problem getting Judges to perform marriages for couples. That's one of the functions they do regularly. I know from personal experience, that Judges go out and are willing to perform those services at somebodys hotel or home when they are having a wedding ceremony. And to permit, in addition to Clerk's, other people to do it is one thing, that's fine. But permitting them honoraria for doing this kind of service, highly inappropriate. In fact what I think we'll find if this becomes law, is that you will find in some οf the find people who are out hustling to do counties, you'll Because if you charge \$25 for these marriages. marriage, you might be able to have a great Saturday by

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

putting together an extra eight marriages, pick up and extra \$200. And you might be known as a county official who's out there trying to say 'don't go to vour me, I'll perform your wedding. We'll have it real nice, any way you want it. I think that's government ought to be about. And while I know the Lady is very sincere, I think it... really should not be we allowing public officials to use their office, official duties of their office, to earn private money."

- Speaker Greiman: "With... since there are no... seeking recognition, with leave of the House we'll take the record and allow Members to explain their vote. The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor sianifv those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This final action. The Gentleman from DeKalb. Mr. Countryman. One minute to explain your vote."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. In all due respect to the Gentleman who just spoke. unfortunately include State Representatives. He might be aut hustling these things on Saturday. Mavbe needs something to do on Saturday, I don't know. But I just don't believe that's the way its going to work. There is a definite problem out there. The Ladv has addressed People who take a Saturday afternoon and attend this sort of a ceremony usually stay, they spend the entire day there. And if they get an honorarium for it. it's appropriate. We authorize ministers to do this and receive an honorarium, and that's just fine. I don't think there is any difference here. In Cook County, the Clerk now. I've talked to the Lady about the Bill do this and suggested that she add all of the officials in case the one official would be unavailable or there

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

conflict of time. I think people are entitled to get married and I think this Bill promotes marriage, promotes proper civil ceremonies when the people want that. And I think it is a good Bill and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Hasara. One minute to explain your vote."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With all due respect to the Sponsor, I rise in opposition. I'm sorry. In explaining my it has nothing to do with the honorarium or anything It has to do with the importance of marriage. else. When I was in the courthouse, I'd watch these people run in at the last minute, scurrying around to find a Judge. think this Bill simply makes it easier for them to scurry around at the last minute without having given thought to the importance of what they are doing. And I think we are just opening up the doors for these fast marriages. T f they had to put it off a little bit and think a little more they would be a little more careful. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp. One minute to explain your vote."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly feel that marriage is kind of a sanctified thing that actually should be done in churches predominantly. Although I do understand that Judges do do it. This really seems like it's kind of an anti-business deal, because if you allow elected officials, look, you're taking away the flaws, you're taking away those people who prepare meals and dinners for all those big weddings. It is just kind of an anti-business kind of a Bill. I certainly would urge everyone to vote against it, because you know the next thing these people may be busy, we may have to go to a gasoline station attendant to perform the ceremony."

Speaker Grieman: "Ms. Cowlishaw, one minute to explain your

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

vote."

- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I want to explain the benefit of Representative Preston. This Bill prohibits these people from accepting any kind of compensation do this in their office during regular... or during regular business hours. It only provides an honorarium they go out on the evening or the weekend to a hotel or a private home or somewhere to perform this ceremony. Ιn case, they've abandoned their family their home to go out and perform this service for someone. And it case that they would be entitled to have an honorarium."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Sutker, you spoke in debate, Sir. Mr. Sutker."
- Sutker: "Does that disqualify me at this time Mr. Speaker? I'd

 like to address the remarks of Representative Preston."
- Speaker Greiman: "The answer is 'yes', but alright."
- Sutker: "Representative Preston, one of the reasons for the problem currently, is because the..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Sutker, there seems to be a complaint. You spoke in debate. Alright. The question is 'Shall... have all voted who wish? Ms. Pullen, one minute to explain your vote."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure whether this was brought out in debate or not but the Cook County Clerk already has the opportunity to do this... I am not addressing you. Mr. Speaker. Excuse me. And this would extend it to the other county clerks. I think that it is a reasonable Bill and I think that we should give the Lady our votes. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on this question there are 46 'aye', 54 'no', 15 voting 'present'. And the

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. On this Order appears House Bill 2768. Mr. Clerk, call the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 4 of the Calendar, House Bill 2768, a Bill for an Act to amend the Health Maintenance Organization Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by

 Representatives Shaw and Greiman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw. Number one withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Shaw and Greiman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment
- Shaw: "Withdraw. withdraw."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2, withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 being offered by Representatives

 Shaw and Greiman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment #3."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment +3, all it does is provide that after June 30, 1987, that no health maintenance organization should continue into effect a management contract with any person whose principal place of business is not located in Illinois. And I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of this

 Amendment. Does anyone stand in opposition to it? The

 Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "I only heard part of that, but could you indicate

 what's the implication of that change?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Shaw: "Well, we feel as though that if health maintenance organizations operating in Illinois, that the principle owners should be Illinois."

Hallock: "Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 being offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw."
- Shaw: "All that is is just a technical Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 4. Does anyone stand in opposition? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, asks leave of the House so that this Bill may be heard at this time on the Order of Third Reading. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2768, a Bill for an Act to amend the Health Maintenance Organization Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw."
- Shaw: "Well, you've basically heard what the Bill does. And basically, the only thing that I could ask for at this time is the passage of this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

 The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish?

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Mr. Preston 'aye'. On this question there are 85 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no'. 4 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Hajority is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill 1556. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Apparently it is on the Consent Calendar, so we will pass that Bill. On the Order of Special Call, State Government, Third Reading, appears House Bill 486. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "On page 14 of the Calendar, House Bill 486, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the 3ill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson, on House Bill 486."
- Didrickson: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like permission to bring this back for a technical Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for an Amendment. Leave is granted. Hr. Clerk, other Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, is offered by Representative

 Didrickson."
- Speaker Grieman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson on Amendment 3."
- Didrickson: "Okay, this is a technical Amendment. On page 1, line 1, by changing 'amend' to 'add' and by changing '14a-4' to 14a-3.1'. Just to get into the order that it needs to be."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, As.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Didrickson, asks leave of the House to use the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time.

Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 486, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 486 requires School Districts to develop a gifted plan throughout the... for all gifted students by 1988-89. Implementation of this gifted program would be delayed, however, until January 31, 1991. whereby we in the General Assembly and the Governor determine the formula and the funding levels and whether or not they are available. I believe very strongly as do many other Members, that we have not done enough in the last on gifted education in the State of Illinois. seeks to set out a four year plan where we will move in a very methodical way to identify those gifted population students in the State of Illinois and meet their The needs of this special group of which have never really been addressed nor met in the State of Illinois. of us recognize that much of the gifted program that local schools are we see in our pretty much pull enrichment programs and not truly gifted. House Bill 486 would seek to correct that. I ask for your "aye" vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bi 11 Does anyone stand in opposition? There being no one, 486. question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. take the record. On this question there are is 108 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. And on this Order of Business, Special Call State Government appears House Bill 1592 on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 11 of the Calendar, House Sill 1592, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Shaw, on Amendment

Shaw: "Withdraw."

Speaker Grieman: "Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Shaw and Olson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment #2."

Shaw: "Yes, Amendment #2, is... deals... provides that the health maintenance organization set up a guarantee fund."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 1592. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Representative Shaw, this is an interesting Amendment.

And I want to make sure all the Members know what this bad

Amendment is trying to do. Representative Shaw, I want you

to describe the Amendment to the Body. What does this

Amendment really do?"

Shaw: "The... as you know, Representative Ronan, the Illinois
Insurance Companies, they have a guarantee fund set up in
case of insolvency. And the only thing that this Amendment
is asking is that the HMO, Health Maintenance Organization
set up the same type of fund that would guarantee its

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

policyholders some type of insurance in case they go ${\tt under} \bullet {\tt "}$

"Well. Representative Shaw, I think you are establishing a Ronan: very difficult and very important piece of public just want to make sure the Members understand what you are trying to do. What you are saying is that there are some HMO's out there that probably aren't delivering the services that should be delivered. So you're going to then demand that the legitimate HMO's, the ones that are delivering services for the residents of the State n f Illinois, the ones that are really providing decent health are going to have to pay for the improper operations of the HMO's that aren't doing a good job. Isn*t misdirected kind of public policy? Shouldnot we be doing a iob policing the whole HMO industry, so that HMO's that aren't doing a good job, shouldn't they be brought in, so that they are not allowed to practice in Illinois as opposed to penalizing the good HMO's?"

Shaw: "No. I don't think so. When you think in terms of 1,500,000 people in this State are now members of HMO's and if you read the papers, there was just one that bankrupt vesterday. And those people have nowhere to go And I think that it is the duty once they go bankrupt. Body to make sure that if the ... this type of service is going to be offered that the people of this State that hold those policies is going to be protected and the guarantee fund would serve as that protection. As vou know the Department of Insurance also introduced their own Bill, saying that it was needed. And I think that we. as Representatives, have to protect the people of this State."

Ronan: "Yeah. Well, Representative Shaw, just so we set the record straight. What you want to do is, you want to raise the cost of people who are in legitimate, good HMO's. What

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

this Amendment does is it raises the costs of people in proper HMO system and penalizes them for the people who happen to sign up with an HMO that goes bankrupt. this is not the way we should establish public policy. That's why I rise against your Amendment defeat. This is not what we should be doing, forcing our people who are in the legitimate HAO's of to pay higher rates, for the few that have State. having gone bankrupt. I think you want to address the issue o f bankruptcy you should do it through a number of other mechanisms that are available and I urge the defeat of this Thank vou." Amendment.

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Representative Shaw is well intended in this amendment, but unfortunately I don't think it's well directed to achieve anything good for the people of Illinois. I with Representative Ronan and I think more particularly, what I am concerned about, is the overall quality of health care in Illinois that would result if we adopted this Amendment to in effect subsidize from well managed HMO's that are providing payment for medical services. line of that being I think, that the ability to pay for and provide good quality medicial services in the State would suffer. I know Representative Shaw is well intended. I know what his intention is, but this Amendment simply doesn't either achieve those objectives and intentions, or work in the interest of the people of the State and HMO's subscribers and well managed that pav for legitimately rendered medical services. So like Ronan, I think that people on both sides of Representative the aisle should look very carefully about what they doing in this Amendment and vote 'no'."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment and I'd also take note and point out that this is essentially an agency Bill. The Department of through Representative Peterson. Insurance. *Bill*. and Myron Olson, introduced this Bill basically, as House 8111 2792 at the recommendation of the Department of Insurance. And all the Bill would attempt to do was to put HMO*s par with all other insurance companies to make the Illinois guarantee fund provisions that are now applicable to all other insurance companies. also applicable to HMO's. And done not just in the interest of the consumer to protect against the case where an HMO goes under, but bolster the integrity of the HMO industry itself. that's in the interest of HMO's 8111 herause the consumers have tο have confidence in the reliability of these organizations. Right now, in Illinois, there are 45 In the last month alone, two of them have gone HMO'S. under and there are another three that are on the brink. we are going to maintain HMO's and their integrity. we need to have them treated no differently than by establishing this guarantee fund. insurance companies So I rise to support the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "There are a number of Members seeking recognition. With leave, we would call a Roll Call vote and let Members explain their vote. Yes, Mr. Olson, to close."

Olson: "Thank you very much. I am a cosponsor of this Amendment.

And as many of you have known over the years,

Representative Shaw and I barely agree on the fact that
today is Friday. But he is now finding that he is on the
other side of the fence from the insurance lobby, who has
worked this hard very floor (sic) and are skulking around

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

to see if they can defeat this Amendment. This is an Insurance Department initiative as Representative Homer described. It was part of the original program and contrary to what is being said this Amendment comes to us from the Department of Insurance and I urge it's adoption."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Ar. Tate, the Gentleman from Macon, one minute to explain your vote."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Contrary to the previous Speaker, not the previous speaker, but the Speaker from the Gentleman on the other indicated this a proconsumer Amendment. This aп anti-consumer Amendment. We sit down. and when you with an HAO you have a certain set rate. contract out 1 f we are going to allow good financially sound HMO's, which consumer decision when they contract out, then we shouldn't have to pay those people that have entered contract, shouldn't have to pay for the poorly the operated. The real issue here is making sure that provide adequate reinsurance. That we provide minimum capitals for these HMO's and if we address... those are the That's addressing the real solution. that the Amendment's not going to pass, but i f it should receive the requisite number of votes I'll ask for verification."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Huff, one minute to explain your vote."
- Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The one thing that disturbed me greatly about the testimony of Representative Ronan and also Representative Johnson, was that while they offered arguments against Representative Shaw's Bill, they still did not do anything

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

to give relief to those people who have already prepaid their medical insurance, only to be thrown abruptly out of a bed, many of whom are cancer patients, to be told subsequently, that they no longer have that insurance because the HMO that they prepaid has gone bankrupt. I think a small guarantee would clear the air greatly and clear out automatically a lot of those HMO's that are shaky. I think that this is a good Bill and it is a modest protection for the consumer. I think that we should all put more "green" votes up there."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Chair merely recommends to the Body that there are many, many Bills to be considered today before the we close down and one should be judicious about seeking recognition. Hr. Pedersen, one minute to explain your vote. "
- Pedersen: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I think the problem with the Amendment is that the insurance industry feels that the... they are competing on an unlevel playing field. HMO's have different mandated benefits, they have different reserve requirements and regulations. And they are all for guarantee fund, but they don't feel that they should be in the same fund even though they are not co-mingled, that if something goes wrong they'll dip into their reserve fund to take care of the HMO's, that would favor a separate guarantee association. I think this needs some work and I recommend that we do that, so that we can come up with something to solve the problem. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "On this question there are 42 'ayes', 66 'nos',
 5 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Are there
 further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Shaw."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment #3."

Shaw: "Amendment #3 is a technical Amendment and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of
Amendment #3 and on that is there any opposition? The
Gentleman from Cook. Mr. Parke."

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to rise against this Amendment. fact. Īη does the same thing that we, excuse me, that Amendment The only difference is, that this applies HMO in Oak Park that has been declared insolvent. after the effect. It's a lot more than just technical. I'm sure the Sponsor misunderstood what he had said. this tries to put an HMO that is already insolvent fact. into the life and health... solvency fund and I think this is the wrong direction to take this kind of legislation. believe it is wrong for the policyholders in both life and health insurance companies in Illinois to have to expenses of a company that did not do well and has now become insolvent. I think this is a bad precedent and for the Members to defeat this Amendment as they did Amendment #2."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also rise in opposition to this. We discussed generally in Amendment 2, the proper procedure for protecting HMO's from insolvency and decided that Amendment #2, in its general approach was the incorrect way to go about this. The Gentleman's Amendment brings into very clear and stark terms exactly whether his proposal in 2 is the right way to go. This proposal in

Amendment 3 would seek to bail out a particular

already gone bankrupt. It's already been insolvent...

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

HMO which

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

declared insolvent and placed in liquidation. This would require HMO membership to in effect, bring this out of insolvency and liquidation. This is not a technical Amendment. The Gentleman may have been referring to Amendment #4 when he said that. But this is certainly not the Amendment we want to introduce."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Shaw, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Shaw: "I would withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Shaw."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw. Withdrawn.
Further Amendments?

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker Grieman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Shaw."

Shaw: "Amendment #5, I would withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Greiman: "Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been amended this day?

Read the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on House Bill 1592. Yes, Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Parliamentary Inquiry. Has this Bill amended today?

Is that what the Chair asked?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Chair asked that question."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

McCracken: "So Floor Amendment &1 was not adopted. There are no Amendments on the Bill?"

Speaker Greiman: "No Amendments were made today apparently."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Shaw?"

Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The only thing this Bill does, it sets up a risk retention fund for small businesses throughout the State. And I ask for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
Bill 1592. And on that the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr.
McCracken, stands in opposition."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, or Mr. Shaw... will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

McCracken: "Thank you. Floor Amendment 1 was never adopted on this Bill. All we have is the original Bill, is that right?"

Shaw: "Right. The same Bill that Representative Tate passed

McCracken: "Okay. And this requires a retention trust fund to be set up for small businesses?"

Shaw: "Right."

McCracken: "Do the small businesses contribute to that, or how is that funded?"

Shaw: "No. It's voluntarily set up... self insurance."

McCracken: "Voluntary? It authorizes the establishment of these trusts by associations formed for small businesses, provides for regulation by the Department of Insurance and participation is permissive, is that correct?"

Shaw: "Right."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Have all... Mr. Clerk, take the record. this question there are 65... 64 'ayes', 50 voting 'no', 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. ••••this Order appears House Bill 2034• Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "On page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, the Gentleman from Cook, or House Bill 2034."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen The Bill is basically embodied in Amendment of the House. that was adopted last night. The Amendment combines three Port District Bills, House Bill 68, Representative House Bill 2317. Representative Phelps and Van Duyne, House Bill 2034, Representative Giglio. The Bill basically then is the omnibus Port District's Bill. Creates Alexander-Pulaski Counties Port District. The powers that are attributed to the Port District include issuing permits construction of wharfs, regulating the moorage and speed of water vessels within the jurisdiction. ability to acquire property and issue obligation bonds or revenue bonds with voter approval, have the ability to levee a tax after voter approval. The Board would be made up of 12 Members. That's with regard to the Alexander-Pulaski County Port District. The Joliet Port District Act, it amends that Act, which is the current law. Right now it provides that only upon successful vote of referendum can the Joliet Regional Port District locate a public airport. This Bill eliminates that requirement the public referendum, so that the Port District would be

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

empowered to locate and maintain the airport facility. believe that's i t there. Ŧ * m Representative Giglio's Bill, 2034+ allows refinance their debt. Be happy to answer any questions." Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage οf House Bill 2034. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Section 36 of this House Bill 2034 attacks the Joliet Regional Port District, attaches to House Bill 68, which was a Bill to eliminate the referendum provisions from the Joliet Regional Port District Act that allows them to build, construct and establish an airport. information, because my law firm represents people who are engaged in litigation, in opposition to the purchase by the Joliet Regional Port District of an airport, which is now before the Third District Appellate Court. This Bill, the provision requiring a referendum was added in 1983 by a unanimous vote of this House. It was put in to protect the people surrounding a proposed airport site. It was put to protect those people from the noise and the air traffic that was involved. Representative Davis introduced that and was unanmiously adopted by both Houses of the General Assembly. By way of information, this is attempt to disenfranchise the voters of the Joliet Regional Port District which consists of appointees, appointees for six-year terms. I urge every Member of this House to give careful consideration... when vou*re disenfranchising the voters of the State of Illinois. I am going to vote on this Bill, but I want the Members of the General Assembly to know that this is another blow to a Government by the People. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion. The Gentleman from DuPage.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of also rise in opposition to this Ri 11. Δ < actually three or four different port districts throughout the State. affecting various suspect that was an attempt to garner support for what would otherwise have been a distinctly unpopular Bill. House Bill 2034, in the first instance, amends the Chicago Regional Port District and allows it to use cargo handling receipts to pay off new bonds before reimbursing the appropriated and expended for such facilities. In 1955 bonds were issued and the Capital Development Board requires that the payback obligation of those bonds not subordinated to any new bonds. What this Amendment does is District to use new receipts or current the Port receipts to pay off new bonds before reimbursing the money expended and appropriated by the Port District. This is a substantial change in the priority of debt that is being restructed by this Bill and it is a substantially large amount of money. The outstanding bonds at this are approximately \$12,000,000. Representative Wennlund has aquainted you with the Joliet Regional District Bill. House Bill 2317 would create the Alexander-Pulaski Counties Regional Port District Act and among other things, give it the authority to issue revenue bonds without referendum. Ιt would be granted imminent domain rights. It would be authorized to establish, acquire, participate, operate, transfer to export trading companies. This is substantial piece of legislation. It is a very unwise reprioritizing of debt to the State. primarily for the benefit of Chicago. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "With leave, let us take the vote and have other

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Members seeking recognition explain their's on explanation of vote. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. And this is final action. And the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Kirkland, one minute to explain your vote."

- Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the controversy that surrounds airports as they grow and as the neighborhoods grow around them, it just seems to me a referendum to decide whether to establish one is an excellent manner in which to not only decide whether one is appropriate now but to set a precedent for making decisions later when the demographics change. I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will. Mr. Regan. One minute to explain your vote."
- Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We all know the problems of Midway Airport. Now we want to bring them to Will County. The expansion of the airport is against public opinion in that area. We're doing a serious thought about a third airport which will take the load off. And also it is a back door referendum. And I think it is a terrible vote up there. Let's go with..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there is 61 voting 'ave'. 'no', 3 voting 'present'. The Gentleman votina from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, requests a verification of the Affirmative Vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, ask for a Poll of those not voting."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative Hartke and Wolf are not voting."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Braun.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22+ 1987

Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Christensen. Breslin. Daley. Davis. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Greiman. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Jones."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mulcahev."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to be verified?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, you have leave, Sir."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Wolf? Mr. Wolf, votes 'aye'. Hr. Hartke votes 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Movak. O'Connell. Panavotovich. Phelos. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmonda Ronan-Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duvne. White. Hilliams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Hartke?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hartke... just voted orally."

McCracken: "Mr. Berrios?"

Speaker Greiman: "Berrios is in Ar. Capparelli's chair."

McCracken: "Representative Shaw?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Shaw? Mr. Shaw in the Chamber? Mr. Shaw's at the Republican side at the rear."

McCracken: "Representative LeFlore?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. LeFlore is at his desk."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Terzich? Mr. Terzich? How is Mr. Terzich recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Grieman: "Remove Mr. Terzich from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Novak?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Novak? Hr. Novak? Is Mr. Novak in the Chamber? How is Mr. Novak recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'.

Correction. Voted as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Novak from the Roll Call. Further.

Ms. Stern desires to be verified. You have leave, Ms.

Stern."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn is at Mr. White's desk. And Mr. Levin asks leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Representative Panayotovich?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Panayotovich? Mr. Panayotovich in the
Chamber? Mr. Panayotovich? How is Mr. Panayotovich
recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'ave'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove... Mr. Panayotovich is at the Podium."

McCracken: "Representative Krska?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Krska? Is Mr. Krska in the Chamber? How is Mr. Krska recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Krska."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giglio is at the rear of the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Flinn? Mr. Flinn? Is Mr. Flinn in the Chamber? How is Mr. Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting "aye"."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Flinn from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Richmond? How is Mr. Richmond recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Richmond from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Farley is at the door."

McCracken: "Representative Williams?"

Speaker Greiman: "Williams is in his Chair. Lest there be any question, Mr. Hartke is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Okay. That's all."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Well Mr. Speaker, the Speaker asked me to handle this

Bill for him and I think that it does contain three

separate Bills. The..."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman is explaining his vote. Proceed,

Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I'm explaining a change in vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, do you wish to change your vote?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I'm trying to explain the reason for changing my vote. Is it too late for me to change my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, you can change your vote, but change your vote. Yes, or, or..."

Cullerton: "I'm not sure what I am going to change it to yet."

Speaker Greiman: "Well if you'd like, we'd rather not share your mental process. If you have a change of vote, please share it with us."

Cullerton: "I have reservations about a portion of the Bill.

That's the only point I wanted to make, concerning the

Joliet Airport."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Sir."

Cullerton: "And I thought I would be given the courtesy to explain why I had those reservations. But since there

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

are..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, what is your vote?"

Cullerton: "I think I'll at this point in time, vote 'aye'.

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, change Mr. Cullerton from 'present' to 'ave'. Mr. Flinn is back. Return Mr. Flinn to the Roll Mr. Novak is back. Return Mr. Novak to the Call. Mr. Richmond is back. Return Mr. Richmond to the Roll Call. Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek... ar. Leverenz votes 'aye'. Mr.... Mr. Terzich Vote Mr. Terzich. Mr. Krska. Mr. Krska returned. Mr. Cullerton. Ar. Cullerton wishes to be voted."

Cullerton: "I want to change my vote to 'no'. That's what I was trying to decide..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton votes 'no'. Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I've decided to vote 'no' also."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz votes 'no'. Alright, on this question there are... Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "As 'aye'. Alright. On this question... Mr.

Van Duyne, did you wish to... alright. On this question

there are 63 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no', 1 voting

'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional

Majority, is hereby declared 'passed'. On this Order

appears House Bill 1684. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1684, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Majority Leader

McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the vehicle for the GO Bond Act. Increases the total amount the State is authorized to issue by \$6, one dollar for each category. Move for the passage of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 1684. Is there any opposition? There •Shall this question is, Bill pass? All those in opposed vote favor signify by voting 'aye', those Votina is This Bill requires 71 open. votes. extraordinary Constitutional Majority. Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. This having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared 'passed'. On this Order appears House Bill Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1154, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Petka."

Petka: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1154 has been referred to as the State's Right to a Jury Trial. Actually it should be called the jury trial. What this Bill basically does is provide in certain limited circumstances. such as the offenses of first degree murder, Class X felonies, criminal sexual assault and all felony drug cases, the right of the State to seek a jury trial. This right is enjoyed under Federal law and in approximately thirty This Bill is supported separate jurisdictions. by the Attorneys Association, the Illinois Chiefs Police and I am proud to say that the State's Attorney Cook County, Richard Daley, also has supported this Bill. A few years back a Commission studied the felony courts Cook County at the request of Chief Judge Cummerferd. the conclusion one of the findings that was made by Commission was that legislation very similar to this should

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

be enacted as a way of restoring public confidence in the integrity of our criminal justice system. I believe is a necessary step into restoration of confidence in our judicial system. Ŧ Judiciary Committee and I have noted many Representatives from both sides of the aisle who have come in obviously reflecting concerns of their constituents about tougher sanctions against violent offenders. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what I am suggesting to you is not simply enough to have laws on the books against violent offenders and against rapists and child molesters. We simply must have a mechanism for ensuring that the public will will House Bill 1154 is exactly that. simply another weapon in the arsonal against street crime. at this time for favorable consideration of ask legislation. I will entertain any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Petka, moves for the passage of House Bill 1154. And on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen I respectfully rise and disagree with the Sponsor of the Bill. I don't believe it is a good year we recognized the fact that drug cases are very serious. We passed the Bill that gave the State the right to a jury trial in drug cases. And I don't think that that has been around for very long. I think it's if anything, it went into... it doesn't even go into effect, I think, maybe until July 1st. So before we've even had a chance to see how that works, we are adding on new crimes where this called right can Δ better way of phrasing it is hereally... for those of you who are interested, denying... it's a Bill that denies the defendant the right to a bench trial. The one thing I think you have

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

very much aware of is Amendment #1 to this Bill. verv Representative Young, in an attempt to a... probably attempt to gut the Bill, snuck an Amendment on. the Amendment says is that the State of Illinois has reimburse any cost oh. Amendment 2 I see. so... I'm sorry. I thought Amendment #1 was on the Bill. That that the local government will have to bear the additional Now what that means is that State's Attorneys. know are all politicians, and they have some person come to them say 'we would like you to have a jury trial in this case. They have to go to... the county has to through all of the additional costs and expense of having a jury trial. It takes a lot longer. You have a need sheriffs, more bailiffs and slows down the process. Jury trials take much longer than bench trials. result. you have a backlog and when you have a backlog you have pressure on the State's Attorney to start making deals and to cop people out for lesser crimes. So for that those two reasons, number one the main increase reasonaaa in cost of the local government and secondly, the fact that we really haven't had a chance to even see whether right to a jury trial... the State right to a jury trial even works in the drug cases. I think approach is to start off, at least go for a year or so and see whether or not there is an additional cost to the State for the drug cases. See how often it is used or not And then perhaps if it is necessary, then extend it to the other crimes. But for right now, I think it is a very idea."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "To the Sponsor. In your experience as a prosecutor in

Will County, which costs more money to the public sector to

try, a jury trial or a bench trial?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Petka: "Mr. Johnson, it certainly costs a little bit more money to have a jury trial...."

Johnson: "Okay, second question. Which... Which on an average,
which type of case takes longer to try, a bench trial or a
jury trial?"

Petka: "It certainly depends upon the case, but if I may just get back to you..."

Johnson: "On an average... just on a average, which one do you think takes longer to try?"

Petka: "Generally the felony case would take longer because the stakes are much higher, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "A jury trial takes longer."

Petka: "Generally speaking yes, Sir."

Johnson: "This Bi 11 really has... and I was really proud of the General Assembly yesterday for looking beyond the labels of victims rights and the labels of law and order And they really made a commitment eavesdropping Bill. vesterday on their votes to the concept of fairness and the concept of freedom in this country. You know the case that this addresses itself to, is the kind of case that society really ought to have vindication. T f been brutally murdered, there terrible case of child molestation, somebody ought to that crime. At least that is the public thought and I agree. Those are terrible crimes. And that's the reason why this system of ours shouldn't adopt this policy. Because there are cases that are so gruesome and so bad that twelve people are going to save we got to find somebody, we are going to hang somebody for this crime and the fact that they are charged with a crime is sufficient. Put them away. Either lock them up for life or put them on And there are certainly cases where a person death row. who is brought to trial is innocent and ought to have the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1967

opportunity to have a judge that is not subject to partisan elections, is not subject to the blood mentality of getting somebody and is willing to look at the law beyond the And that's why it is important And there are other cases that are completely protection. different; major bribery cases, financial misuse cases. that are very complex. Where you have got intricate expert testimony, long detailed testimony and to dovetail that with the law... that twelve people on a jury in a limited number of cases. simple don't have the legal capacity, legal background of tying those things together to handle. in most cases Representative Petka would agree, and those of the other of us who have tried cases on both sides of the coin, most cases you want to have a jury trial. You want to have twelve people, because there is always going to be one or two in the process that you maybe can get to, 'quote-unquote'. But this is not a level playing field society or a law. We have a system in this country and in Illinois, where there is a presumption of innocence conviction, prior to the time that somebody is convicted of an offense, you don't have and shouldn't level playing field. I don't think Representative Petka thinks that you should and certainly the founders Constitution and this society of ours and this society of laws of ours doesn't think we should. This isn't rights Bill. It isn't the law and order Bill. isn't any of those catch words. It's simply a commitment to the concept that a defendant, until he is convicted, until he or she is convicted, is presumed to be innocent have those procedural safeguards built up. and ought to procedural And if one of those safeguards in fraction of the cases is to say let's throw out all the emotion and the idea that we ought to convict somebody

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

the other cases that this is so complex and the law is so intricate that they ought to have a trained jurist the case, then that's a sacrifice and a trade-off that In addition to that, jury trials take a we*ve got to make. whole lot longer, a whole lot longer.... sometimes in these cases take a week, than bench trials. He⁴ve got a backlog in our system, congestion in our system anyway so what we are going to do here is to allow the State to cemand a jury trial and make the backlog even worse. And everybody admits that it cost more. And I Representative Petka would agree, it costs a whole lot more try jury trials than it does bench trials. So what we are going to say if we pass this Bill is let's spend lot more money. Money is not the crucial factor. The crucial factor is justice and I have already about that. But if money is a collateral factor we want to do what we can to make sure that cases in those limited number of cases where it's appropriate are tried judicious and economical matter. And for those reasons and withstanding. 1 know the sincere commitment of the Sponsor to this Bill and the concepts behind been beat time and time and time again and we ought not to engraft on it and I urge a 'no' vote."

"The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell." Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think we are all victims O'Connell: words and I heard a couple of buzz words from yesterday's debate on eavesdropping and today's debate the State right to jurv trial. While the previous speaker has the utmost of my respect as a legislator and he has bias against at certain of these I think lawver. words And utilizing the 'fairness' issues. and 'politicians' where it applies to the fact that a jury cannot be fair but a judge can or a State's Attorney

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

politician and can't effectively represent in a moralistic and ethical way the people that are bringing is a misuse of the words 'fairness' complaints, I think and a deprication of the words 'politician'. What more fair than twelve people of your own peers? And as far the State's Attorney being a politician. I m a as politician and I'm proud of it. We have to place within system a certain element of trust that the people that they elect can do their bidding in an ethical and moralistic And mere fact that a State's Attorney is wav. labeled as a politician does not in any way reflect the minded and ethical and that he cannot be a fair justiciable individual who can represent the best interest So, what we are asking here is that it be a peers to try a murder case, a Class X case, or a criminal sexual assault case. That wasn't mentioned. Me are not asking for a jury to involve itself in a complex sophisticated case... and their inability to understand. we are talking about some very basic cases. T think the Gentleman's... the Sponsor's Bill is not only well intended would function well. as well. left proceedings. It is the jurist who will determine instructions will be given to the jury for them to consider a factual basis of whether or not the facts occurred as they were presented in the case. What's so terrible letting a jury take that into consideration? ₩e talked about why a case goes to a judge as opposed to a jury. pure and simple fact is, if a defense attorney thinks it is in his best interest for his or her client to have a as opposed to a jury trial or visa-versa he has the trial right to exercise the best interest for his client decide one or the other. I see no reason why the State's Attorneys clients, the people, shouldn't

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

opportunity to have their attorney exercise the best interest for the people and ask for a jury trial if it is in fact, in their best interest. I would support House Bill 1154 and would encourage all of the Members to do so, as well."

- Speaker Grieman: "With leave of the House, the Chair would like to call for the vote and then recognize Members on explanation of vote. The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Mr. Dunn, the Gentleman from Macon to explain your vote. The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson to explain your vote."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. From its inception in Committee, Representative Petka has redefined the scope and the narrowness of this issue, and therefore I am going to support his measure."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Lady... I'm sorry, the Lady from LaSalle, Ms.

 Breslin, one minute to explain your vote."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in opposition to the Bill. This Bill has been before Committee several times and I have always opposed it. believe that in certain circumstances it is sub iect t o abuse. Not by the average State's Attorney, but by the State's Attorney hell bent on reelection. who gets a sensational case before the public eye at a very poignant time in his reelection career. It can do to the citizen. In addition to that, I must disservice tell you that the increased cost to state and local such a procedure, would be astronomical. aovernment bν "no" vote is the right vote on this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Regan, one minute to explain your vote."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think Regan: that you all know that the public outcry. every time a criminal gets off on a technicality. The letters pouring in to all of us. He know that the time is here for justice to go the way it should go and that's criminal to be put away. The public wants to be protected and our judicial system is doing an excellent job, that in some areas certainly you have read about them, at least monthly something comes out where some atrocious crime gets away because of technicality. Let's nut one more vote up there for justice."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Wennlund, one minute to explain your vote."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative O'Connell hit the nail right on the head when he said this is a vote for the people, because the people are involved in every criminal case. The essential element is still the people and the victims, and I urge everybody's 'aye' vote on this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sutker, one minute to explain your vote."
- "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Sutker: the I wish that all of you had taken time tο listen t o Representative Tim Johnson on this Bill. **Because** had vou listened closely you could not possibly vote 'aye' on this Bill. I'd like to incorporate in my remarks everything This is a very dangerous precedent, and I would like to see more 'no' votes on the board."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The State's Attorney is the only one of a four party, in any law suit that doesn't have the chance to have a jury trial

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

if the defendant doesn't ask for it. Thirty states use this. This isn't something that can be abused. The State's Attorney has a county board over them. The State's isn't interested in increasing costs. Attornev The people who don't want this Bill to pass, are the defense Listen to the people who are talking against it. Do we stand here for the people of Illinois? Do we want to put criminals behind bars, or do we want to let them off? T f you want to have them behind bars, you'll vote 'yes'."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Petka, one minute to explain your vote."

Petka: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I didn't have an opportunity to close, Mr. Speaker, but I just want to address one issue that was raised, which believe is a 'red herring', and that is the increase in cost to the State. There is no increase in the cost a matter of fact, it is in my opinion there is as not going to be a significant increase in the cost to the counties. We now have required to have jurors serving, and most of the jurors, when we have these bench trials are simply playing cards in the backroom. So that I believe have tossed a 'red herring' into the... a skunk the wood pile. But more importantly and directly. Speaker and Members of the House, to talk Mr. about this as being a very dangerous Bill, we are simply backs on victims of violent crimes who have turning our been short changed by the system. It is doggone high time that we take criminal decisions out of the smoke room and out of the cloak room and place them in the courtroom where they belong."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McDonough, Ar. Slater, one minute to explain your vote."

Slater: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday it was drugs, today

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

it's Class X, it's murderers, it's aggravated sexual abuse, tomorrow who knows what it's going to be and three years from now it's going to be in every misdemeanor. dangerous Bill, and we want criminals who are convicted to be in jail, we want them to be in prison. But we are not convicted criminals until we have been found quilty. And what if anyone of us was standing in front of the bar, standing in front of the judge, standing in Isn't that a decision that we should be able to make. ourselves? These decisions aren't made by defense These decisions are made by the people who lawvers. accused. Its their right. and you are taking it away. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, do you... did you just want to verify? Alright. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."

Dunn: "Well the follow-up of what the last Speaker said, this Bill is certainly not going a red vote is not a to... defense lawyers vote because if the Bill, if the lights prevail and this becomes law. there will be more iury trials and be more business for defense lawyers. Those who are worrying about which lawyers will make money on this are missing the point. The point of the red is to protect the individual rights and freedoms which have made this country what it is today. We all talk around here, 'if ain't broke don't fix it', we have been operating with the current system for over 100 years in this and in this Nation, and we have been proud of our tradition protecting the individual rights and freedoms right up to this week when we voted to repeal the seathelt because we didn't like the i t wav impinged upon the of individual rights and freedoms the citizens o f this State. This Bill is a retrenchment from that position.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

is inconsistent with the viewpoint that the individual has a lot of rights, freedoms and protections. Red is the way to vote if you believe in individual rights and freedoms, not 'green' on this Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, one minute to explain your vote."
- Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise against this Bill because it gives the prosecutor the opportunity, given the nature of the crime, to whip the jury into a frenzy of a presumption of guilt when the defendant may be innocent.

 For that reason I urge more 'red' votes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 65 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, requests a verification."

Johnson: "No, no, no, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Johnson."

- Johnson: "If somebody else wants to verify it fine, but in consideration of the time of the House, I'm not going to put us through that. I withdraw my request. If somebody else wants to make it, I don't want to preclude from doing it but I am not going to try to verify this."
- Speaker Greiman: "On this question there are 60... Yes, Mr. Bowman... On this question there are 65 voting 'aye'. 50 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill 1502. Mr. Clerk, call the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1502, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of an Act in relation to the Office of Public

 Defender. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1502 says that the Court may appoint the Public Defender to provide counsel to indigent defendants in civil contempt proceedings. It also includes an Amendment that was put on by Representative Giorgi which relates to the payment of salaries for Public Defenders to bring them in line with the State's Attorneys. I'd ask for passage of this Bill."

Speaker Grieman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "I would reiterate what I just said."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, the passage of House Bill 1502. Does anyone stand in There being no one the question is 'Shall this All in favor signify by Bill pass?* voting fnot. Voting is now open and this is final annosed vote Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? action. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This 3ill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill... On this Order appears House Bill 925. Mr. Clerk. Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 925, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, on
Amendment #... House Bill 925. Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think everyone knows what I won't go into a long detail. House Bill 925 unlawful to prescribe or dispense contraceptives it in high school buildings or grounds. I think House Bi 11 925 is a good Bill because these high school clinics have been ineffective in the past. The reduction they talk about are not in pregnancy rates, but rather in abortions.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

I believe the schools should be in the business of teaching students knowledge and skills that they need to survive in the modern world, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, moves for the passage of House Bill 925. Does anyone stand in apposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."
- Williams: "Yes. This has been debated over and over, and I don't really think this statement may or may not change the outcome of this vote, but I represent the area Dusable Museum is... I mean Dusable School is in. in which the clinic is there. And even though there are many of you here today who are going to cast an 'aye' vote for this, I want you to think about the situation. You talk about a child should be taught how not to get involved with relationships. You talk about things, but if you live downstate, and you live in a community where the have an ability to continually watch the child where there is a two family home and things of this nature. that guidance goes a long way. But if you happen to live in an area like I do, and where the children and the parents just isn't there. Eighty per cent of the homes in the are single family female homes. To sit there and tell that child who goes out into the street. who prostitutes, who sees other things and sexual abuses, his curiosity is for real. His desire to have these things for real and we cannot stop this with this Bill. We will not be stopping them from having sex. We won't do that. This Bill will essentially, forever put certain people in You're going to force children to be born who you willing to support. You're going to are not force situations that is just unconscionable considering the level of commitment which this Body is willing towards funding the health and education of these children.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

I beg you today, when you think about that child that lives my district, who's father is not there, who comes up, who has all types of desires and curiosity. When you think about that family, please, for that family whose knows that he cannot control that child, whether we like it or not, it just is not the case; and as you cast your vote, please be compassionate for those who don't have the luxury a parent to give them the proper guidance. Who don't have the luxury of the sort of income, and quite and a lot of you don't want to hear it, who can be sent off somewhere to get the proper sort of abortions ... and get those things which... that families in our community cannot Think about this very carefully, because this will You will have people whose lives will because at 13 and 14 and 15 and even 12 and 10. they're going to be forced, and not forced, but they're going to be conceiving children which they cannot support. Whom they cannot, in any way, give them the guidance. So I please urge you to make this choice. Make it one with compassion and not one based upon morals which do not exist in the area where I'm from. I urge a 'no' on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair. Hr. Stephens." Stephens: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Representative Williams, that a compassionate speech and I appreciate it. Yes, many of the fathers in Chicago have given up on their children. We understand the 80% of the families in certain areas are single parent, led by the mother. I ask the Legislature in Illinois and the Governor of Illinois not to give up on those children. Not to give up because things are tough. Not to give up because the prostitutes are in the front of the homes. God still lives in Chicago. The families of Chicago may have trouble and may have strife.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

but they are still families. Speaker. They may be single parent families. but they have values and we up on those values. To take the decision making process out of the family and give it to the schools it to government and give it to bureaucrats is wrong. The compassion for those children is shared by both of the aisle. We differ in opinion, but the compassion is the same. There are differences between Chicago upstate downstate families, yes. They're mostly empirical. family is a family is a family. Let's not give up on the just because the going is getting tough, just because statistics are heading in the wrong direction. us not abandon the family. Yes, let's be compassionate. Let's continue to work toward a solution for a very serious problem, both in the City of Chicago and downstate. For us to make the statement that House Bill 925 encompasses is truly right. I urge its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, today is the last day tο consider House Bills. The Chair has been attempting to be fair to all in terms of calling Bills, but it requires time just to be able to call Bills for Members. This Bill has been thoroughly debated, both at the time that it was taken the table and on the Order of Second Reading. already had one person speak against the Bill and one person speak for the Bill. I would suggest that we now go to Roll Call and permit those three people seeking recognition to explain their vote. But I would encourage all to be brief. not only on this 3111. but all subsequent Bills. 50 that can accommodate we Members as possible. So the question is. *Shall this Bi 11 in favor signify by voting 'aye', those DASS? Those bν voting *no*. The Chair recognizes Representative Kirkland to explain his vote."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Kirkland: "Thank you. Very briefly, just to say that there are all kinds of families, and we would like to put together the families that are broken up, but the families we're more concerned about in voting against this Bill are the unwanted families, and the families that come along that people don't want to have come along. I suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers to explain her vote."

Flowers: "Madam (sic - Mr.) Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to read to vou some regarding babies having babies. Pre-natal care, hospital delivery and physician costs, \$1700.00; ADC cash grants. \$198.00 a month; cash payment after the child is born, \$250.00 a month; food stamps, \$149.00 a month; Medicaid adult, \$2,358.00 a year; first year infant the Would you be willing on the care, \$478.00 a year. side of the aisle, or the other Members of this Body, be willing to give these kids a chance in life? Will vou be willing to support them for the rest of their lives? And for the previous speaker, we're not going to give up on our kids despite the fact of discrimination. lack of jobs. lack of housing and lack of everything that we need community to give our kids a chance so they do not have to involve in sex. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Jones to explain her vote."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote, I think a lot has been said, I think the Members on the other side of the aisle understand just where we're coming from. My district is adjacent to Representative Williams', in fact I'm across the street from Dusable. Yesterday there was some points made and they were incorrect when they said when they sign up at that clinic they sign up for everything. That is incorrect. I was there during all of

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the hearings on this clinic and I opposed this clinic along The women, the mothers in the Board of Education. that district, came out one Saturday morning 400 strong us to change. One mother had five daughters. Three of them had left that school. There was Three hundred girls left Dusable High School a year before this program. One hundred twenty-seven left last vear. this does not involve your district. Mavbe It involves I have voted for things that did not involve but it was very, very concerning to vour district, and I sympathized with you. Now I ask vou. I beg of you, please put a 'no' vote up there and heln district, the Twenty-third and the Twenty-fourth Districts. Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr.... the last person seeking recognition, Mr.

 Morrow, to explain his vote."
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although I'm a new Hember in this House, I had the fortune to come here 10 years ago and serve as an honorary page. This Session we've had a lot of Bills concerning drugs, and this might not bе on this but a lot of people were not concerned about drugs in their families 10 or 15 years ago. I rise in opposition to this Bill because of the fact that tomorrow. one day. if I am fortunate to remain in this... this House. lot going to vote for this Bill because in 10 or 15 vou are years from now the problem that faces us is going to face Think of the future. The future will be you. Remember. here very shortly for a lot of you. A lot of you. would like a verification of the Roll Call. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The... has everyone voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourself, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes' and 36 'nos'. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Kulas, Leverenz and Wennlund. No further."

"Mr. Clerk, there has been a request for Speaker Madigan: verification of the Roll Call. Would the Members please be in their seats. Mr. White, would you please take your seat, and Mr. Williams, would you take your seat, and would the Members please be in their chairs. Mr. Clerk. those... read the names of those voting in affirmative."

Black. Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Barger. Barnes. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Christensen. Churchill. Bugielski. Cowlishaw. Daley. Daniels. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Deuchler. Doederlein. Farley. flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Hallock. Harris. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hasara. Hensel. Homer. Keane. Klemm. Krska. Kubik. Laurino. Matiievich. Mautino. Mavs. McAuliffe. McCracken. Novak. O'Connell. McGanna McNamara. Mulcahey. Muran Olson. Parke. 8. Pedersen. W_ Peterson. Petka. Phelps. Piel. Pullen. Rea. Regan. Rvder. Saltsman. Sieben. Tate. Terzich. Stange. Stephens. Van Duvne. Wait. Weaver. Williamson. Wojcik. Wolf. Mr. Speaker. "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Morrow, do you have any questions?"

Morrow: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr• Keane? Remove Mr• Keane from the Roll Call•"

Morrow: "Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond? Remove..."

Morrow: "Okay, I'm sorry. Representative Stange?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stange? Is Mr. Stange in the chamber?

Remove Mr. Stange. Restore Mr. Keane. Restore... excuse

me. Mr. Morrow. Restore Mr. Keane. Remove Mr. Stange.

Mr. Morrow."

Morrow: "Representative Klemm?"

52nd Legislative Day a May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Klemm? Remove Mr. Klemm."

Morrow: "Representative Hallock?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock is in the aisle, and Hr. Olson for what purpose do you seek recognition? Hr. Olson. Would you turn on Mr. Olson."

R. Olson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "Present."

R. Olson: "Change that to 'yes'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Olson as 'yes'. Mr. Morrow."

Morrow: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Farley is in the rear of the chamber."

Morrow: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher is in the rear of the chamber."

Morrow: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. No further."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Morrow. On this question there are 67 'aye', 36 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 10 of the Calendar there appears House Bill 1229.

Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1229, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Mortgage Foreclosures. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Would you turn on Representative Flowers?"

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 1229 creates the Illinois Homeowner's Mortgage
Counseling Law. And it also provides for... it amends the
Code of Civil Procedure to make adjustments for
foreclosure. And I would urge for the passage of House
Bill 1229. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions
you have."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any opposition to this Bill? There being no opposition the question is. 'Shall this Bill, pass?' Those in favor signify by... those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 43 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Hajority is hereby declared passed. On page 4 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2580. Mr. Greiman. Mr. Greiman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2580, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of
Marriage. This Bill has been read a Second Time
previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill relates to a loophole in our... oh, I'm sorry. This Bill has... there's a misspelling... I leave to take this return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman, the Clerk informs me that this

Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. So Mr. Clerk, are
there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

ClerK O'3rien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Greiman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman on Amendment #3."

Greiman: "Amendment 23 just corrects the spelling error."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say
'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are
there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading."

Greiman: "Leave to have the Bill heard at the time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill for

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

a Third Time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2580, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of

Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. Currently when a person owing child support flaunts the orders of a Court and does not appears at all for a period of actually three and sometimes or more occasions, a warrant is then issued. warrant for the nonpayment, but a warrant for contempt ⊌hen the person is picked up, that person is brought before the Court. There is no provision for Courts in Illinois say, well there's no provision for bail, therefore this defendant must stav in custody. Courts have said there's no provision for bail, therefore person should be let go. And so that is the dilemma this that the Courts of Illinois have. This tries to for person to make bail pending anv determination. Now remember, this is not for someone who is served initially or arrested initially, this is for someone who has had a summons served, failed to respond: had notice of Motion, failed to respond; had rule to show cause, failed to respond; and then finally So someone has had a least four times. failed to respond. four bites at the apple and has not shown up. This suggest that if they are picked up on the warrant after all those times and they put up 20% of the bail, as bail, they can be released pending the Court date. The reason why 20% rather than the 10% in a criminal case, is simply in a criminal case we don't know whether the defendant has a history of showing up. In this case now we know indeed that he has a history of not showing up. Accordingly, and of course if he does fail to show then the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

forfeited, not to the State, but to the... for the child's support. It's a good Bill, it fills the loophole, and I ask for it's passage."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

McCracken: "Is there any other way to secure the persons release,
other than putting up the 20% figure?"

Greiman: "Well, a Court could... a Court could have hearing right
at that point. Immediate hearing at that point, and it
clearly could reduce the amount. It always still would be
discretionary with the Court."

McCracken: "Could they release without any money being put up?"

Greiman: "They could."

McCracken: "Alright. So its your position that this language,
which appears to be mandatory, does not take away that
discretion of the Court, whereby, it could release the
person on his own recognizance."

Greiman: "The Court could clearly do that. Absolutely could do

McCracken: "Well, I'm not so sure that's what it says, but that's good enough. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the in opposition to the legislation. House. I rise The four times someone receives notice. I Sponsor spoke of don't know where the Bill says that. As I read the Bill it says that in any proceeding to enforce an Order for Support where the person required to pay the support has failed to appear after due notice, he can be arrested and the bail would be 20% of the child support arrearage. That's more bail than we talk about in our Criminal Codes for serious

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

crimes. Class X crimes, where we put up 10% of the amount of the bail in cash and you can be released. Furthermore. I do notice, may mean personal service of summons and know what it means in this particular case, don't but, there are plenty of people who... if vou traffic Court in downstate Illinois, particularly on a Monday morning where people are paying traffic tickets, you will always find someone in the Court House about 11:00 o'clock in the morning who is confused about what Court Room he or she should have been in. Thev've been wrong Court Room all morning, they have not appeared, and they've had due notice. There has been a mistake. Thev try to get those things cleared up, they try to get them cleared up in a hurry. This Bill, says that simply notice the Sheriff can come out, arrest the people and put them up in jail and tell them that they have to get 20% of the arrearage in hand before they can get out of iail. They may have a job, maybe trying to pay on some arrearage, maybe trying to work something out. In other word a lot of circumstances... this Statute... this proposed legislation would apply in every case. It's too harsh. It's And should not pass this Bill because it is I urge a 'no' vote." oppressive.

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who The Clerk shall take the record. On this question wish? there are 76 'ayes', 32 'nos'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. page 19 of the Calendar there appears... for what purpose does Mr. Brunsvold seek recognition?"

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just like to announce that

my seatmate, Cal Sutker is threescore and four years old

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

tomorrow. And he has a little treat for everyone over here at his desk. It's the only way he can get everybody over to congratulate him on his birthday."

- Speaker Madigan: "On page 19 of the Calendar there appears House
 Bill 2804. Mr. Hays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2804, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, $\Re r_{\bullet}$ Speaker. I move to table Committee Amendment $\&1_{\bullet}$ "
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mays, the Bill is on the Order of Third Reading. I'm sorry. The Clerk tells me the Bill is now on the Order of Second Reading. The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the Order of a Special Call

 Criminal Justice, there appears House Bill 590 on page 11
 of the Calendar. Let the record show that the House Bill
 2804 has been taken from the record, and that now House
 Bill 590 is in the record. The Bill is Sponsored by Mr.

 Johnson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill for a third time."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 590, a Bill for an Act to provide for the Alternative to Defamation Actions for Damage. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill, I think either myself or Representative Cullerton or Countryman or Petka have had an opportunity to talk to you about already, a couple a weeks ago when we surveyed this issue. And I appreciate the favorable response we got as

52nd Legislative Day

Nay 22, 1987

we did that. This Bill would create an Act that would be its kind in the United States, as far as I first of know. And would address both sides of a... what everybody a muddled state of the law with respect to concedes is libel and slander. One of the problems from the standpoint of the media is the prospect of a large money judgment that would put them out of business. And in more cases than not big defense costs that are due to the discovery process that's involved in defamation cases, particularly in the Westmoreland-type case, where there's is a public official plaintiffs. This Bill addresses that in two specific ways. first is if you choose this alternative that this Bill creates. restoration of reputation and iudicial declaration of falsity, you can't get money judgment. can't get money judgment against the defendant. same time it almost eliminates the whole discovery process altogether, pretrial discovery and thus the elimination if not millions of dollars in some thousands. cases, of defense attorney fees and discovery costs. But another thing from a plaintiff's standpoint. It eliminates, if you choose this alternative, the requirement that in order to obtain a vindication of your requirement that you have to show malice. And this Bill simply sets uр group of... or a categorization that have to show to Vou get iudicial declaration of falsity. There has to bе material misstatement, it has to be damaging to you, it has to be defamatory and so forth. We can go through the Bill. von will. I dealt with this concept for a long time, the American Bar Association has dealt with it in their counsels for some time. It's gone through a long process of consideration before we put it in to begin and we thought it was carefully crafted, but because some

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

people in various quarters raised various objections, we have a number of Amendments to address those objections. It's fair, it's just and it's something that's long overdue in an area of the law that is extremely muddled and uncertain. I'd be more than happy to respond to what questions people think is appropriate, what you want to ask me. But I think we have a good measure of support for it and it's something that's eminently fair to all involved and I would ask your support."

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Record Mr. Laurino as 'aye'. On this question, there are 76 'ayes', 31 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Special Call, Criminal Justice, there appears House Bill 2583. Mr. Daley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2583, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Daley."

- Daley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House
 Bill 2583 would amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. And
 what it would do, would order that no Court may order a
 physical examination of a victim of a sex offense except as
 explicitly authorized by the Code or the Rules of the
 Supreme Court. I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 114 'aye', no one voting 'no'.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

This Bill having received... Mr. Laurino... Mr. Clerk is the score board open? Record Mr. Laurino as 'aye'. And there are now 115 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Special Call, Criminal Justice, there appears House Bill 529. Mr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 529, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the Law in relation to Criminal Jurisprudence.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the This Bill provides that every person is competent House. to testify as a witness except as otherwise provided this is merely to purpose of presumptions created by case law relative to the competence of a witness based on age. There is a presumption case law that a person under seven is incompetent, between seven and fourteen no presumption. and above fourteen This would still require, upon a request by competent. either defendant or the State, that any child be voir dired as to his competence to testify. All this does is remove age presumptions and where else it is otherwise provided by law as to competence, this Bill would not affect it. such as the Dead Man's Act. I move it's passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk, shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 5 people voting 'no'.

 This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the same Order there appears House Bill 2584. Mr. Daley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- 52nd Legislative Day Aay 22, 1987
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2584, a Bill for an Act..."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Novak. Mr. Clerk excuse me. The Chair recognizes Mr. Novak.
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know it's against the Rules,
 but some of my good friends come down from Iroquois County.

 I'd just like to introduce them. From Iroquois West, which
 is made up Danforth, Gilman and Onarga. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2584, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Criminal Identification Investigation."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley."
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a Second Time previously.

 Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Regan."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading.

 There is an Amendment by Mr. Regan. The Chair recognizes

 Mr. Regan."
- Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment is an important
 Amendment, involving protecting children from incest. But,
 due to not cluttering up Representative Daley's Bill, which
 I highly respect Representative Daley, I withdraw the
 Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill for a Third Time."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2584, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to Criminal Identification Investigation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley."
- Daley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House

 Bill 2584 would amend an Act in relation to criminal

 identification and investigation. It would authorize

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Police Departments to forward to the Department of State
Police copies of fingerprints and other descriptive
information of minors who are charged with the commission
of certain offenses. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes, Mr. Young."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Youngs What it will do is, it will allow criminal justice Bill. authorities to forward fingerprints and identifications. only of people who have been convicted of crimes, but any fingerprints or records they may have, any juvenile who been picked up for may ever have whatever regardless of whether he was found guilty of the crime. Regardless of even if he was booked. arrested. whatever happened, his records could be circulated. He could be permanently harassed. And this is just a bad Bill. think we should keep the law as it stands now, where these records can only be circulated once someone has convicted. Just because they were picked up and have alleged to be committed a crime. is no reason to allow their fingerprints and photos to be circulated."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman yields."

Countryman: "Thank you. Representative Daley, will this apply in any case where a child is picked up or must he be picked up on certain crimes or must an adjudication of...delinguency?"

Daley: "Just forceable felonies."

Countryman: "Just forceable felonies?"

Daley: "Forceable felonies."

Countryman: "And it must be where he has been adjudicated or just picked up?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Daley: "Picked up."

Countryman: "Alright. And what can be done with these records once they are sent to the State Police? Can they be used in any other way, other than for investigative purposes?"

Daley: "It would be normal police... normal police business.

That the State police would have."

Countryman: "It can follow the person for the rest of their life?"

Daley: "If he is not adjudicated, he could have it expunged."

Countryman: "I don't know if I quite heard you. If he is not adjudicated..."

Daley: "If he is not adjudicated, he can have them expunged."

Countryman: "But they are not automatically expunged. Is that right?"

Daley: "That is correct."

Countryman: "Thank you. No further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Daley, just a quick question.

The Bill says that the fingerprints may be transmitted for all minors who are... and here's the word I am curious about, 'alleged to have committed the crime of'. What do you mean in Juvenile Court? What do you mean, by 'alleged to have committed the crime'? Specifically, what does that mean? Alleged to have committed the crime, by whom?"

Daley: "The same acts that would be committed by an adult."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry?"

Daley: "The same Acts that would have been committed by an adult."

Cullerton: "It just says 'alleged to have been committed. Do
they have to be ... does a petition have to be filed, do
they have to be just sittin... detained by a police
officer?"

Daley: "He has to be arrested."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Cullerton: "Arrested."

Daley: "Correct."

Cullerton: "And in Juvenile Court what do you mean by arrested?

Formal charges filed against him? I would suggest that if
the Bill passes, that over in the Senate, you consult with
the Senate Sponsor about the possibility of just clarifing
what you mean by 'alleged to have committed', because,
right now it is very, very broad."

Daley: "I'd be happy to work on any Amendment."

Cullerton: "No further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 27 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the same Order there appears House Bill 2093 by Mr. Wennlund. Mr. Clerk, read the

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2093, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I move that the
Bill be moved to the Order of Second Reading for the
purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, what order is this Bill on?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill's on Third Reading, presently."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Wennlund."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

House. Floor Amendment #4 makes it clear that it only applies to institutions under the control of the Department of Corrections. I've spoken with the Chairman of the Judiciary II Committee, Representative O'Connell, and he certainly is in agreement with it."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Is there leave to hear the Bill on the Order of Third Reading? Leave is granted. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2093, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund, on the Bill."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen n f the House. This Bill will save lives. It will save lives not only of correctional officers, but also save lives of residents of the Department of Corrections in Illinois. does is, it clarifies the provisions of prior law to decide at what point in time a screwdriver can And when in fact, it could be authorized to be used by a resident. A classic example are knives and forks are fine in the cafeteria, but they are not fine out in the recreational yard or in the cell house. A better example would be screwdrivers. They're fine in the vocational shops, but they are not fine out in the vards or in the cell house. Open to questions Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young."

Young: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Wennlund: "Yes."

Young: "Are there any type of notice provisions in this bill?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Wennlund: "Notice provisions with respect to ... ?"

Young: "With respect to when a screwdriver would be a deadly weapon and when it would be a screwdriver."

Wennlund: "Yes indeed. Those would be rules promulgated by the

Department of Corrections."

Young: "There is nothing included in the Bill then, as to determine when a knife or a fork is not a deadly weapon?"

Wennlund: "Yes, there is. When the Director of the Department of
Corrections issues its Rules and Regulations and authorizes
the use of a screwdriver, for instance, in a vocational
building. At that point in time, it would be authorized."

Young: "Is there anything in the Bill that guarantees that the inmate would be aware of the notice requirements?"

Wennlund: "There are the provisions that where Rules and Regulations are promulgated by the Director of the Department of Corrections, that inmates and residents will be notified. Its not covered by this Bill. Its already there in the law."

Wennlund: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', I person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the same Order there appears House Bill 2585.

Mr. Daley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2585, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. This Bill is on Second... been read a Second Time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Is the Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Parcells."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells on Amendment #2.

Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Please withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2585, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley."

Daley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House
Bill 2585 would amend the Criminal Code and would add
reckless homicide to the list of offenses that may be
commenced at any time. The Bill adds the offense of
reckless homicide to the list of offenses which has no
Statute of Limitations and therefore may be prosecuted at
any time. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill, pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 19 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the same Order there appears House Bill 2241, Mr. Black. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2241, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Code of Criminal Procedure. This Bill has been read a

Second Time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading and Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions relating to Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "There being no further Amendments the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2241, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2241 allows victims of sexual abuse and younger to testify by means of video tape in prosecutions for criminal sexual abuse, aggravated criminal abuse, criminal sexual assault and aggravated Let me make it very clear, criminal sexual assault. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this Bill in no way attempts to counter Representative Preston's initiative House Bill 510... In fact. I voted for House Bill 510. This Bill, however, is an alternative t o 510. It's genesis did come from my district. I think the Bill addresses the Governor's Amendatory Veto. Let me share briefly with you. As you are all familiar. Mr. Preston's Bill, again a fine piece of legislation, and I am to vote for it and I voted for it again yesterday. had been Amendatorally Vetoed the last two years by the I would submit to you that the key difference between House Bill 2241 and House Bill 510 is that

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

510 does not allow cross examination by the defendant at the time of the making of the video tape. Rather. House Bill 510 requires that the child be available to testify at trial and that the defendant have an opportunity that time. I think that we are aware that the Governor's primary objections to the Bill are follows: the It. not adequately preserve defendants does Right of Confrontation Cross Constitutional and as written Examination. and 2: that i t would not accomplish its goal of protecting children from the reliving the sexual assault in open Court. hold this Bill until Representative Preston had the Governor and I certainly would not intend to move it had he not had his meeting. Let me just close bν under 510, fewer children would have to relive the offense during cross examination. On the other hand, those who would have to undergo cross examination would have to do so in open Court. In front of perhaps, a large group of strangers, including the jurors and the media. Under House Bill 2241 that I present to you now, no child would have to testify in open Court, but conversely, more children have to undergo cross examination. House Eill 2241 is endorsed by the Illinois Association of Chiefs

I urge your favorable consideration of House Bill 2241."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor vields."

Preston: "Representative Black, under House Bill 2241, if after
the cross... the direct examination and the cross
examination, after the video tape is made of a child, if
the prosecutor, thereafter, finds that for whatever reason,
either lack of sufficient evidence or that the accused was

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

innocent and no trial went forward, still under this version, the child would have undergone the trauma of cross examination. Is that correct?"

Black: "I believe you are correct."

Preston: "And if during the trial of the case there is new evidence in addition to the testimony of this child the defense counsel may want to bring the child for additional cross examination. That is precluded under your aill. Is that correct?"

Black: "I believe that you are correct."

Preston: "If I may speak to the Bill. Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Preston, please be brief, Sir."

Preston: "Thank you. I have the upmost respect for the Sponsor of this Legislation. He has indeed tried to work with been cooperative in every way and Representative Regan and I have made every attempt to try to resolve differences with the Governor's Office and because of the Governor's very tight schedule we've been unable to meet with him these last two and a half weeks. I have to oppose House Bill 2241 for a number of reasons. Under this Bill, percent of the children who were by an abuser would have to undergo further victimized Under House Bill 510. trauma under cross examination. previous version that passed this General... this House and the General Assembly, on two prior occasions. In that... under the provisions of that Bill, children who once had been video taped. the Texas experience has been that fifty percent of the defendants once seeing that video tape plead guilty. And in those cases. those children, therefore. would never have to undergo cross examination. Under this have to Bill, not only would all children undergo cross examination, but even children in trials that never go forward where an accused is not further prosecuted

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

trial. because the evidence shows that the prosecution does not have sufficient evidence to get a conviction. Or the person they're after pleads guilty or the person... or whatever reason the accused is found to Nonetheless, that poor child has to go forward with examination under the provisions of House Bi 11 2241. Additionally, from a defense counsel standpoint. i f evidence comes out at trial that would require further questioning of this child witness that is precluded under provisions of House Bill 2241, because once the video tape is made, that child cannot thereafter be called for This 3ill cross examination in Court. is clearly unconstitutional. Now, the prior version that the Sponsor referred to was written, was drafted by the Criminal Law Committee of the Chicago Bar Association made defense counsel of prosecutors. It is very constitutional. It's been upheld in Texas which has similar legislation."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Preston, could you bring your remarks to a close?"
- Preston: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry. I think the Sponsor is extremely well intended, but this Bill is a very bad concept. It hurts the children that it's designed to help.

 And for that reason, I urge you to please vote 'no' on 2241."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill, pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Black to explain his vote."
- I Black: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker. opportunity to close. I think the question, Ladies and the trauma that Gentlemen, is whether Representative Preston refers refers to the trauma to, of cross

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

examination which is going to take place anyway, if I have any understanding of the law, or whether 2241, which would allow that trauma to at least be in Chambers and on video tape of cross examination, is a better way to go. I have absolutely no quarrel with Representative Preston. All I am attempting to do, and I urge your support, is to get a Bill that the Governor can sign so that we can begin the process of eliminating that trauma of a child having to testify in open Court."

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk, shall take the record. On this question, there are 48 'ayes', 55 'nos'. The Bill fails. On the same Order, there appears House Bill 2721, Mr. Daley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 the offense of aggravated battery of a senior citizen.

 This Bill's been read a Second Time previously. No
 Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is the Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill's on Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Daley and Homer."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley."

- Daley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment \$1, it deletes from
 the Bill the provisions which would have made the new
 offense subject to mandatory transfer provisions of the
 Juvenile Act. As a result, a minor charged with a new
 offense of aggravated battery of the senior citizen would
 be tried in juvenile court rather than adult court. I'd be
 happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill... let's strike the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

record. And Mr. Daley moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say "aye", those opposed say "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act in relation to the offense of aggravated battery of a senior citizen. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley on Third Reading."

Daley: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2721 creates a new offense of aggravated battery against a senior citizen and would provide... and would provide anyone who causes great bodily harm, permanent disability or disability to anyone over sixty, commits the offense that is a Class 2 felony. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call and be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Now as I understand it, I can't think of hardly anything that isn't aggravated battery. I thought we...I thought we changed the law last year or sometime to provide that a normal battery, if it was against a senior citizen, was an aggravated battery. Didn't we do that?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daley."

Daley: "As to the... Representative, what Bill... as to the law last year or this?"

Johnson: "I thought if you did a normal battery on a senior citizen, it became an aggravated battery. I thought that's what the law was now. Or is what you're saying... you tell me if I am wrong, that we, yes, we did do that last year, but what this does, is it elevates that kind of an aggravated battery from a Class 3 felony to a Class 2 felony. Is that what we are doing?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Daley: "It is presently a Class 3. We are raising it to a Class $2 \cdot "$

Johnson: "Well, on a senior citizen. Is that right?"

Daley: "Correct."

know, I really hate to do this, but I think Johnson: "Well, you everybody ought to realize what we are going to be The litany is just beginning with this Bill. now. years ago the law was, if you committed a battery, and it was on a very narrow category, mainly a battery against a policeman or when you basically tried to dismember somebody. then it became an aggravated battery. So then the flood gates opened. We made it an aggravated if you sneezed in the presence of a park district employee. battery in a public place. have expanded bat... a normal battery. which is an offensive touching, which means you brush against somebody in a park, to include everything. Representative Cullerton and Slater and myself and others have tried to bring some sanity to the law by saving that aggravated battery ought limited number of categories. Namely. where you cause serious bodily harm to somebody. or perhaps you do a policeman or a fireman in the line of duty where other lives could be jeopardized. But we've aot such of offenses that are aggravated battery that laundry list go from being a misdemeanor to a Class 3 felony, that it's just endless. Now, with this Bill, and I know it is well intended, what we are doing is we're saying when you commit an aggravated battery against a senior citizen, that's more of an offense. More of an offense. A longer penitentiary term than when you commit that same offense against a policeman or a fireman or when you do serious bodily harm So now, just so you'll all be ready, what's to somebody. going to happen is, every group in the world that's

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

covered under the Aggravated Battery Statute, that's a Class 3 felony. As if, you know ten years penitentiary or whatever isn't bad enough is going to come in here, the park district employees, the people in public place. firemen and policemen. perhaps legitimately, every other group in the world. I wish I had the Statute. Darren have you got that file here, could give you the whole list of categories that's included under aggravated battery? It's unbelievable. It's going to in now. to make it a Class 2 felony. And a Class 2 come felony, as if we don't... that's a penitentiary term three to seven years. So you might as well get ready. Representative Cullerton, I know where you are, those others who are concerned about this. But, believe me, this is just the beginning. Because we are going to years with every group who thinks if you the next ten offensely bump against them in a park or sneeze in their presence that they deserve special protection. They not only already have special protection by making that are going to elevate it to a Class 2 felony. now we I know Representative Daley is well intended this regard, but you just donot realize what you are doing by opening up this 'Pandora's Box'. Let me just read Some of the offenses that are aggravated batteries. vou. Well it's too long... virtually everything vou could possible ever do becomes an aggravated battery under Illinois Law. And, the other ramification is, collectively penitentiary this is a whole lot more time. And collectively that means we are going to have to build two or three new prisons. I guess people on this side the as long as we have the patronage system in our aisle. favor, should probably be in favor of two or three penitentiary systems. Because then we can, you know, do

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

good political things in our area. But on the other side of the aisle, you could do that too. So it's sort of betting on the come, in that respect."

Speaker Hadigan: "Hr. Johnson... Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "The ramifications of this Bill, are really substantial, you ought to be really careful before you elevate this to a Class 2 felony, because it's something that there is absolutely no stopping once we let the locomotive out of the engine block."

Speaker Madigan: "Hr. Countryman, could you be brief, Sir?"

- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I will and I won't repeat Countryman: mv seatmate has said. But I stand in opposition to what this Bill. This makes the violation a non-probational 2 felony. Representative McCracken Class said earlier, you know, what happens is sometimes they battery when they should charge attempted murder. We've got the laws on the books; we don't need this Bill. I have the greatest respect for the Sponsor, but I recommend a 'no' vote. This Bill is just not needed. All it will do is load up the penitentiaries. Thank
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "I really hate to do this, but I.... before I open these flood gates, I'm going to verify this. With all due respect, we just can't let this pass. I'm going to verify if it gets to sixty votes."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 58 'ayes', and 53 'nos'. The Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Majority, is hereby declared lost and shall be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. On the same Order of Call, there appears House Bill 1206 on page 17 of the Calendar. Mr. Goforth. Mr. Goforth.

Goforth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, back in about 1949 this General Assembly took the Police out of patronage which was one of the best State things that ever happened to the State Police. As a result of that, I was privileged to spend 26 years of my life At the same time in your great wisdom, you also appointed a merit board to make sure that this stayed The intent of this Bill is to keep the Merit effect. Board separated from the State Police like it was really originally intended. Now I think I have talked to almost every Member on this, so we'll make it short. Please me all your support. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1206, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Department of State Police. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 2 of the Calendar there appears House Bill 1302. Representative Williams. Mr. Williams. House Bill 1302. Page 2 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1302, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Williams: House Bill... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1302 makes it a criminal offense, a Class 4 felony, anyone who is in actual control of a building to allow the building to be used for either the manufacture distribute or sell of drugs. Basically, it is an attempt to try to prevent what we would call *drug houses* exist in our area. It's a first step, it's a good step, and I believe that it deserves passage. I hereby move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Ωn this question there are 115 'ayes', I person voting This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the same Order on page appears House Bill 1937. Hr. Ewing. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1937, a Bill for an Act to amend the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill will prohibit lenders who are making a loan which will be secured by property which will become the borrowers residence from requiring that that borrower buy title insurance from the lending institution. The borrower and the seller will have the right to buy title insurance from whomever they wish. And this will prohibit the borrower from requiring that they borrow it. buy it from their company or some specific company. I would ask for its approval."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

the passage of the Bill, signify by voting in favor of 'ave', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk shall Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who the record. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall this question there are 113 'aves' no one record. Πn voting *no*. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 19 of the Calendar... On page 6 of the Calendar there appears Bill 1000 by Mr. Daniels. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1000, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Daniels: "Mr. Speaker believe most people in the chamber knows what 1000 does. Τt does exactly the same as Senate Bill 990 that came out of the Senate vesterday by a vote of 51 to the exception of the date. This Bill has a trigger with date for nationwide interstate banking of October 1. 1988. have talked to the Illinois Bankers Association and have advised them that we will continue tο discuss appropriate trigger date and with that understanding they are willing to support the Bill in its current fashion with continuing discussion. And. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill in its present form is not probably what you are going to see as the Bill in its final form. Or it might be. But, the... all the parties involved have been in long discussions. It might be President Rock's Bill is the final one decided on, it might be this one. But in discussions today, the Illinois Bankers Association are

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

willing to continue the talks and what we want to do is get the Bill over to the Senate, so both Bills will be in the same posture so all the parties involved can continue their discussions. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on House Bill 1000."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye' those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 30 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 19 of the Calendar there appears House Bill 2804. Mr. Hays. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on Second Reading. It's been read a Second Time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill is on which Order, Sir?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill is now on the Order of Third Reading.

Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would like to take the Bill out of the record at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. The Bill shall be taken out of the record. On the Subject Matter of Banking, there is House Bill 475, Mr. Piel. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 475, ... "

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 475 amends the Banking Act and what it does is if... probably the best way to describe it would be to give you an example. If holding Company A buys holding Company B. Under the present law holding Company A has five facilities already. And holding Company B already has

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

five. They would have to close five facilities under the present law. And what this basically does is stating that if a holding company buys another holding company what they are doing they are also buying the rights involved that present holding company. Now you have heard probably some people oppose the Bill because of, you know, the situation of different... expanding their powers. Let me tell you, there is a lot of small town banks, small in Southern Illinois that have one bank. If their holding company is purchased, that Bank might be closed in that And thats what basically the genesis of this small town. Bill is for, is so we would not have potential closures present facilities if another Bank were to purchase them. And, I would ask for the passage of House Bill 475."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a Parliamentary Inquiry. House Bill 475, I believe constitutes branch banking and therefore under Article 8, Section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970, would require a three-fifths majority. Would you tell me if the Chair is in concurrence with my judgement?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Parliamentarian will respond."

Mike Pollak: "On behalf of the Speaker and in accordance with

Section 8 of Article 13 of the Constitution it will take

three... it is branch banking and will take three-fifths of

those voting on the issue or sixty, whichever is greater."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "As I understood the Parliamentarian, he said it would take three-fifths or sixty, whichever is greater. So that would mean three-fifths?"

Speaker Madigan: "Of those voting, Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Of those voting. Not of the Members."

Speaker Madigan: "The 'present' vote is of significance."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen are we prepared for the Roll Call? Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 85 'ayes' and 22 'nos', 9 voting 'present', 2 people not voting. This Bill having received the Constitutionally prescribed three-fifths vote is hereby declared passed. On page 33 of the Calendar on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading there appears Senate Bill 219, Hr. O'Connell. Mr. O'Connell."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 219, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O*Connell: "Mr. Speaker, may I return this ... have leave to return this to Second Reading for purposes of Amendments?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
O'Connell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O°Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to withdraw

Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1 shall be withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
O'Connell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 was an Amendment that was worked up between the principals of the Medical Society and Staff to clarify certain technical errors that were in the original Bill. It's an agreed upon Amendment with the Medical Society and I would ask for its favorable adoption."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 219, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave to hear the Bill on the order of Third Reading? Leave is granted. Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the compromise Bill that the Medical Society and the individual lawyers groups agreed upon for this term of the General Assembly. It would reduce the number of years in which a plaintiff may bring a malpractice case on injury to a child from the current law, which is 22 years, to reduction to 8 years. As I indicated it is a compromise position. We feel it goes a long way toward reducing the insurance costs for the medical profession. And I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Hajority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears Senate Bill 243, Mr. Terzich. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 243, a Bill for an Act in relation to Regulation and Practice of Medicine. Third Reading of the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich?"

- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Senate Bill 243 rewrites the Medical Rewrites with modifications in addition to Medical Practice Act and i t creates the Medical Licensing Establishes new standards of education to take effect 1/1/88. And it increases the fees and authorizes the Department of Registration to impose buying up to This is a ... the agreed Bill with the Medical Society and I would appreciate your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. Subject matter, Pensions. For what purpose does Mr. Cullerton seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to let Representative

 McCracken know that at this stage of the process he knows

 which Bills are being called before I do. So he should

 feel pretty good about that."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. On the Order of Pensions on page 20 of the Calendar there appears House Bill 2224, Mr. Hallock. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2224 ..."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be taken from the record. House
 Bill 2710, Mr. Capparelli. 2710, Mr. Capparelli. Mr.
 Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2710, appears on Second Reading, page
 28 of the Calendar."
- Speaker Madigan: "We're on page 20 of the Calendar, Mr. Clerk."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representatives

Harris and McCracken."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris?"

Harris: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1 shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representatives

McAuliffe and Harris."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McAuliffe. Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2710, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2710 at the present time amends the Fire and Policemens Pension Code.

It will be a vehicle. I do ask for a ... do Motion 'do pass'."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' The
Chair recognizes Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I second what the Representative said. That we have agreed to withdraw our Amendments on these Bills to keep them clean so that they would be vehicle Bills. And I would urge an *aye* vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 7 people

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2711, Mr. McGann. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this 3ill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by ..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann, is it your intention to withdraw all the Amendments?"

McGann: "Yes. That is right, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Alright. Is there leave to withdraw all of the
Amendments? Leave is granted. The Amendments shall be
withdrawn. Place the Bill on the Order of Third Reading.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2711, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann?"

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. Senate
... House Bill 2711 amends the Chicago Municipal Labors
and Park Districts and we are going to send it over to the
Senate as a vehicle for future work. And I would
appreciate your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I would second what the Gentleman said and would recommend an 'aye' vote because this Bill will be used as a vehicle."

Speaker Hadigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2712, Mr. Wolf. Nr.

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf. Hr. Wolf."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 by Representative Harris."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf, is the plan to withdraw all of the

Wolf: "Yes, Sir, it is."

- Speaker Madigan: "Fine. Is there leave to withdraw all
 Amendments? Leave is granted. The Amendments are
 withdrawn. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third
 Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2712, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "On Third Reading, Mr. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply move for passage of House Bill 2712."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2713, Mr. Terzich."
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Harris."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave to withdraw all of the Amendments? Leave is granted. The Amendments shall be withdrawn. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2713, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor ... Mr. Terzich? Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 3 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2714. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading with

 Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave to withdraw all Amendments?

 Leave is granted. The Amendments are withdrawn. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Saltsman."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2714, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I ask for passage of this Bill."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'.

 This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2715, Mr. Wolf. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading with Floor
 Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, this Bill is on Second Reading?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading with Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave to withdraw all Amendments?

 Leave is granted. The Amendments are withdrawn. Place the

 Bill on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2715, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move for passage of House Bill 2715."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the Special Call Sunset there appears House Bill 1045. Mr. Deleo. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1045, a Bill for an Act in relation to Pharmacies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeLeo."

Del en: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1045. there's been considerable debate on it. This 8111 makes a number of changes in the Pharmacy Act as requested by the Illinois Pharmacy Association. Under this Bill a Pharmacist located in Chicago may fill or refill valid prescription or file in a Pharmacy in any other State transferred by means of Electronic Data Processing Equipment. Included in this authority are number safeguards to help prevent abuse of Controlled Substances Act. It also increases the number of members of the State Board of Pharmacy from 7 to 9 and requires 7 registered pharmacists and 2 public members of the Board. I ask for a favorable Roll Call. This will bring the Pharmacy in into the 20th Century. I would be glad to answer Illinois any questions. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order, on page 8 of the Calendar there appears House Bill 1428. This Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk has this Bill been read a second time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1428. The Bill has been read a second time."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1428, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska."

Krska: "I move the adoption of House Bill 1428. It's a rewrite of the Nursing Act. It is a shell 3ill and I would like to see this passed out so that we can have something that the Senate is going to send over here similar to this."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared On the same Order there appears House Bill 1429 on Page 8 of the Calendar. Mr. Krska. Ar. Clerk. are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1429, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Physicians Assistance Practice Act. Third

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska."

Krska: "This also ... 14 ... House Bill 1429 also is a shell Bill which we want to work out Amendments on it to bring into a full compliance Bill. I'd like the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes' 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1430 on page 8 of the Calendar. Mr. Krska? The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1430, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Optometric Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "Alright. House Bill 1430 also is a shell Bill which we want to work out with Amendments to bring it into full compliance. I would like to pass this Bill out of the House."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes' ... For what purpose does Mr. Bowman seek recognition?"

Bowman: "Just to recommend we take the next 5 on one Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. On this question there are 110

'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Giorgi for the purpose of an announcement. Mr. Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, just to take a break for a moment. I would like to announce that in our Chamber to the right in the Gallery are the parents of Veronica Lynch, our Director of Research and Appropriation. Mr. & Mrs. Lynch, Bob Lynch and Mary Lynch. Veronica's parents."
- Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Sunset there appears House Bill 1431, Mr. Krska, on page 8 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act to regulate the Practice of Podiatry in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

- Krska: "House Bill 1431 also is a shell Bill which we want to
 work out with Amendments and come into full compliance. I
 would like an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bi 11 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 2 'no'. This 3111 a Constitutional Majority is hereby having received declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1432. Mr. Krska. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1432, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "House Bill 1445 is a rewrite of the Pharmacy ... pardon me ... House Bill 1432 is a rewrite of the Pharmacy

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Practice Act which is similar to 1045 except that 1432 has a sunset date of December 31, 1987."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill?"

Krska: "I move for the adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is my understanding that
the Bill is not in its final form. Will the Sponsor agree
to have it amended in the Senate to reflect the agreements
between the Department of Registration and Education, the
Illinois Pharmacists Association and the Retail Merchants?"

Krska: "Yes."

Churchill: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1433, Mr. Krska. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1433, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Nursing Home Administrators Licensing Act. Third Reading

of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "House Bill 1433 is also a shell Bill which we'd like to work out and put on the various Amendments to make it in full compliance. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

this question there are 107 'aye', 1 person voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1434, Mr. Krska. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Krska."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "I leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Krska."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "Amendment \$2 rewrites the Psychological Registration Act of 1963. Replaces it with a newly created Clinical Psychologist Licensure Act which shall sunset December 31, 1987. It has been agreed upon ... or pardon me ... 1997. It has been agreed upon by both sides."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is
'adopted'. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1434, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychologist Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska."

Krska: "Alright. Everyone heard the explanation of the Bill. I
move for passage of House Bill 1434."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record.

On this question there are 116 'aye', no one voting 'no'.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1435, Mr. Krska. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1435, a Bill for an Act to amend the Social Workers Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska?"

Krska: "House Bill 1435 is a shell Bill which we will work out with Amendments. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

"Those in favor of the passage of the Speaker Madigan: Bi 11 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 ... 110 'ayes'. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the Order of Education there appears House Bill 126. This will appear on page 14 of the Calendar. The Bill is sponsored by Mr. Ewing. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 126, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill changes the terminology for the rating standards for teachers under the Education Reform Act we passed a year ago. And the new standards under this Bill, the teacher would either meet the standards of the district, exceed the standards of the district, or fail to meet the standards of the district. And these take the place of the words such as 'excellent', 'superior', which caused a great deal of concern among a number of teachers. I would be glad to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

answer any questions. I think this has wide support among administrators and among teachers."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'ave', those opposed by voting 'no'. wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who On this question there Clerk shall take the record. are 'aves'. no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received 111 a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. 1 et the record show that Mr. Parke had intended to vote Bill. On the same Order there appears House Bill that Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." 669. Mr. White.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 669, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Nr. White."
- "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Whita: 669 is a Physical Education Bill sponsored by ... or supported by the IEA, the Chicago Teachers Union and the State Board of Education is in support of this piece of It does several things. It helps to identify legislation. athletes who are on the varsity team. I t gives local opportunity school boards the or the riaht flexibility in their health program. They could to the 12th grades. Health Education from the 9th that's basically the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 10 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order on page 14 of the Calendar there appears House 3ill 915, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

44th Legislative Day

May 12. 1987

Second Reading appears House Bill 514. Mr. Clerk... Mr. Williams. Out of the record. Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 515. Mr. Brunsvold. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 521. Mr. McCracken, did you wish to proceed? 521. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 521..."

- McCracken: "Special Order of Business, but I'll go now, if you wish, its on Wednesday's Special Call. Should I go now?

 Okay."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 521, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 522. Mr. Clerk, read the

 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act to amend the
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Parke. Okay, Mr. Clerk, now read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 523. Mr. McCracken.

 Proceed. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Sill 523, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 915, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 915, as amended, deletes the scheduled August 1, 1987 repeal of the State
 Aid Formula Provision. I move for the adoption of the legislation."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 935, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 935, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. are basically two provisions in House Bill 935. to the Board bonding authority for rehabilitation deferred maintenance on school buildings. that authority which was taken away in 1984. This proposal has the support of the Chicago School Finance Authority. The second part of the Bill is a proposal from the Chicago Panel on School Finance and it creates a three Project in School Autonomy within each individual district within the purview of the Chicago Board of Education. would be happy to answer your questions and I would appreciate your support for House Bill 935."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those
 in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting
 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there 41 'no'. This Bill having received are 72 'ayes', Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. same Order there appears House 8111 1176. Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1176, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. One minute ... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1176 (sic House Bill 1176) amends the School Code. Provides that in the Calendar year of 1986 and thereafter that the Property Tax Extension would be for the ... would go to the Chicago Board of Education and I urge for the passage of House Bill 1176."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. McCracken?"
- McCracken: "Oh, I pushed the wrong button. My apologies."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 64 Bi 11 'aves'. 46 'no'. This having received Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. Constitutional Ωn the same Order there appears House Bill 1365, Mr. Turner. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1365, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner. Mr. Young? Mr. Young."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1365 amends the School Code and it increases the percent of a tax levy within the Chicago

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

School District for supervised playground purposes. I move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation is as the Sponsor indicated, a tax increase for the playground. It goes from 6% to ... actually 6 mils to 8 mils on the value of property equalized and assessed by the Department of Revenue. I think it's important that you know what's involved here."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall ... The question is,

 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of
 the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting

 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. White to explain his vote."
- White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is
 the Lighted Schoolhouse Approach. It's a simple Bill.
 What we're trying to do is keep the parks, the playgrounds
 open so that the young people can stay out of harms way.
 You know we have a lot of problems in Chicago with regard
 to gang related activities. This is one approach to
 resolving that problem. And I urge you to put some 'green'
 votes up there for us. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Wr. Bowman to explain his vote. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 48 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 1446, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1446, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Fees and Salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1446 increases the salaries of

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

Regional Superintendents by \$5,000 a year. I urge its support."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" The

Chair recognizes Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Cowlishaw: "Perhaps there was an Amendment to this Bill that I
was unaware of. I thought this increased their salaries by
\$15.000."

Giorgi: "You're correct. The Amendment dropped it to \$5,000."

Cowlishaw: "Okay. But they ... this increases the salary of every Regional Superintendent of Schools throughout the State by \$5,000."

Giorgi: "There are 57 Regional Superintendents."

Cowlishaw: "It increases all of their salaries by \$5,000 a year."

Giorgi: "That is correct."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill?"

Churchill: "Am I mistaken, Mr. Speaker? Is there an Amendment on this Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment to the Bill?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 has been adopted."

Churchill: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bi 11 signify by voting 'ave', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 48 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. The Chair would ask all unauthorized personnel to leave the Floor. Lobbyists are not authorized to be on the Floor. For what purpose does Mr. Martinez seek 52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

recognition?"

- Martinez: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege.

 I would like to introduce the eighth grade class from St.

 Procopius School in my District and their teachers, Dave

 Larovitz, Thomas Phelts and Fadeo Cardinez. Would the

 Members please give me ... help me to give a warm welcome

 to them."
- Speaker Madigan: "On the same Order there appears House Bill 1484, Representative Doederlein. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1484, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act.

 Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Doederlein."

- Doederlein: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1484 provides for special procedures for DCFS when they investigate reports of suspected abuse or neglect by teachers or school administrators at a school or on school grounds. The language of this Bill was worked out by all the parties concerned. And I recommend its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill. The

Dunn: "Quick question. Are the teachers in favor of this?"

Doederlein: "IEA is, yes."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', 5 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. The Chair recognizes

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

change his vote to 'aye'. The Chair recognizes Ar.
Stephens."

Stephens: "I was wondering how the Sponsor voted on that Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Doederlein?"

Doederlein: "Mr. Speaker. May I vote for my own Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative, I don't think you should be subjected to that humiliation so the Clerk shall dump the Roll Call and we'll take a new Roll Call. The question is, *Shall House Bill 1484 pass?* Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Turner. Have all voted who wish? Have a 1 1 voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears 1793, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill-"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1793, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the 3ill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House
Bill 1793 would permit the Chicago Board of Education to
continue having the wherewithal to pay for operation and
maintenance of school buildings now leased from the Public
Building Commission, even as leases on those buildings
expire. I would be happy to answer your questions and
appreciate your support for House Bill 1793."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Gill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Cullerton to explain his vote."

Cullerton: "Yes. I have a file here and I'm reading the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

analysis. It indicates that this Bill, if I understand it correctly. Perhaps Representative Currie should mention this when she explains her vote. It says the Board of Education will no longer be authorized to levy a property tax. So, I know it's not a tax increase. Some people may have been confused. It's not a tax increase. If I read it correctly it denies them the right to levy a property tax. Is that correct, Representative Currie?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "It is not a property tax increase. Representative. Ιt does permit the Board to continue levying taxes that use to operate and maintain school buildings leased from the Public Building Commission. Those leases are soon The question is how will the Board pay for to expire. operation and maintenance of those buildings. costs presently incurred by the Board, costs they presently Dav out of property tax levies. This would only ensure that they are still able to levy for precisely the same purposes that they are levying for today. So it is not property increase and without passage of this Bill, they will not be able to maintain these buildings that they will these leases expire. This is only for the Chicago Board of Education and it only affects their capacity and maintain buildings that they now operate and onerate Without passage of this Bill. maintain. they will the work they're doing for maintenance and unable tο dο under the current terms operations οf their lease agreements."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 54 'ayes', 57 'nos'.

This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'lost'. House Bill 2190,

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Representative Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2190, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill simply requires that citizenship be taught in the schools. It also has an Amendment put on by Representative Deuchler which increases the GED fees by \$5.

 I encourage your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'no'. This Bi 11 'aves'. 17 having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared *nassed* -Πn Order there appears House Bill Representative Doederlein. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2364, a Bill for an Act requiring immunization screening in post secondary educational institutions. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Doederlein."
- Doederlein: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I ask

 leave to bring back House Bill 2364 for a technical

 Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Doederlein."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Doederlein."
- Doederlein: "Amendment \$2 inserts the word 'shall' on page 3 line

 9, after the word 'Department'."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those against say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the Order of Third Reading.

Is there leave to hear the Bill on the Order of Third

Reading? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2364, a Bill for an Act requiring immunization screening in post secondary educational institutions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Doederlein."

Doederlein: "House Bill 2364 provides that no person shall attend
the public or a private post secondary educational
institution without presenting proof that he has received
such immunizations against preventable communicable
diseases as are required by the rules of the Department of
Public Health."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed ... Representative Didrickson?"

Didrickson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "She yields."

Didrickson: "What kind of communicable diseases are we talking about here?"

Doederlein: "I'm sorry, I can't hear."

Didrickson: "What kind of communicable diseases are we taking about here? What kind of presentation do we have to have?"

Doederlein: "Well, there's mumps and measles and all those that are required by the Department."

Didrickson: "An AIDS test? Would that qualify?"

Doederlein: "I don't think that's in the records yet."

Didrickson: "Is that a communicable disease? When that does get in there would that be?"

Doederlein: "Immunization ..."

Didrickson: "If you're 34 years old do I have to do that to go to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

college?"

- Doederlein: "I'm sorry?"
- Didrickson: "I guess I'm a little confused on what the intent is.

 And I just think there's some questions that ought to be raised."
- Doederlein: "We've had so many cases of mumps and measles at the college level and •••"
- Didrickson: "You're trying to get at the concern that has been raised with regards to mumps, measles?"
- Doederlein: "If you're over 30 years old you don't have to have the immunization."
- Didrickson: "If you're over 30? So if you're 29 you would.

 Okay. How about Herpes? Is that included in there? Is

 that a communicable disease? How many tests, I mean how

 many ..."
- Doederlein: "This is the same thing as ••• this is the same thing as you would when you begin school•"
- Didrickson: "To get into kindergarten also? Well, I don't want to take the time on the Floor, I just thought those questions ought to be raised."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by saying 'aye' ... voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 14 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 2384, Mr. Slater. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2384, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Slater?"
- Slater: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Leave to remove ... Leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for an

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendment, please."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Slater and Anthony Young."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Slater?"

Slater: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 simply clarifies our intent
that the City of Chicago is excluded from the provisions of
this legislation. I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I guess I probably should wait until Third

Reading because I think this is a bad Bill and I ve been

asked by the Illinois Association of School boards to speak

against it and I think they re right. I'll wait until

Third then."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment ... This Amendment takes the City of Chicago out of the Bill. Now, if the Bill is the previous speaker said, is a bad Bill, then it ought to be equally bad for everyone. He shouldn't have some of the largest school district in this State, which takes 33% the General Distributing Fund for their own use as 22% of the student population, has 597 buildings in that one school district and say that that doesn't apply to you. If applies to Toulon, it ought to apply to Chicago. And I oppose this Amendment and ask you to do the same thing."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen there are 4 people seeking recognition. The Bill is now on the Order of Second Reading. There is an Amendment filed and I have in front of me a request for a Fiscal Note. So, if the Amendment is adopted then there is a request for a Fiscal Note. The Chair might suggest that

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- the proponents of this Bill may wish to regroup. Mr. Slater?"
- Slater: "Mr. Speaker, I would request that the Body act on the
 Amendment and thereafter, depending on the outcome, I will
 make a Motion relative to the Fiscal Note."
- Speaker Madigan: "Yes, Sir. We are now on the question of the Amendment. Mr. Hoffman, do you wish to speak again? Fine.

 On the Amendment, Mr. Young."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in support of the Amendment. It's clear the Sponsors intention in this Bill was not for it to apply to the City of Chicago. This Bill deals with recall an elected school districts, Chicago has an appointed school district. It also calls for recall after 5% or more of the registered voters have signed a petition. petition requirement in the City of Chicago would call for about 60 to 70,000 signatures. The only thing this Amendment does, is clarify the Sponsors intent. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "On the Amendment the Chair recognizes

 Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker. If there is anywhere State where someone other than the voters themselves should tο recall school board members because incompetence, it is where they are not elected by the people to begin with, the City of Chicago. And yet this Amendment would seek to restrict the Bill to have someone than the voters remove school board members for other incompetence in only places where the voters have school board members. This is a wrong Amendment. should be completely the other way around if the Bi 11 had any merit at all, which I don't think it does. And I urge the defeat of the Amendment."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't going to speak on the Amendment but I'm going to ask my friends in Chicago because I can see that they're trying to exclude you to get your votes. And I'm going to ask my friends in Chicago, I don't think you believe in recall like I do. So, I urge my friends in Chicago to join me against this Amendment. I think throughout 21 years my votes with Chicago have been very good."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 39 'ayes', 70 'no'. The Amendment 'fails'. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. And I put the Bill on the Order of Third Reading because the Fiscal Note had related to the Bill as amended. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I don't know. I suppose the Sponsor can make the

Motion. I guess I can too. I would move that the House

declare the Fiscal Note Act inapplicable to this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson, the question is moot."

Johnson: "Okay, I'm sorry."

Speaker Madigan: "I think the question is moot."

Johnson: "I should be mute more often too."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, you said that now. The Bill is now on Third Reading. Mr. Slater, what is your pleasure?"

Slater: "Call the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, has the Bill been read a third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2384, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Slater."

Slater: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What House Bill 2384 does. provide a mechanism for voter input. A means whereby people in the community can have a say on whether or not school board member has been incompetent, has been derelict in his duty and has willfully failed to perform his activities as school board member. In early on this Bill with the Illinois School Board, conversations they were concerned about due process. And we provided for a hearing through this procedure. They were about notice and we provided for notice to an affected school board member through this process. They were concerned about the right to counsel. All those things are provided for in this Bill at this point in time. got to remember is. that we have in the laws of this State of Illinois right now, statutory language which that a School Regional Superintendent can remove a school board member for willful failure to perform his duties. And what we are doing right now, is providing a mechanism whereby the people who are affected, the voters who live in that district may have access to that process. voted an increase of \$5,000 for Regional Superintendents of That may not be enough. They may be back here for more. They need something to do in some cases. And this is something for them to do."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen. We would like to move expeditiously."

Slater: "But quite seriously, this is a chance for input from the local level. On the point that was raised by the last Amendment, it was the intent of this legislation as it was filed, and it is legislative intent as I am establishing right now, that this apply only in situations where there are elected school board members. That it would not apply

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

in appointed positions. Chicago has an appointed school board. I urge the adoption... I urge the passage of this Bill.

- Speaker Madigan: "Alright, let's turn off Mr. Slater. He would like to move expeditiously. Mr. Slater has spoken for the Bill. The Chair would like to take one opponent to the Bill and then go to Roll Call and let the others explain their vote. And, we'll let Mr. Matijevich rise as the opponent to the Bill. Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Now I mν friends in North... in Chicago and downstate to join me in opposition. I've always considered Representative and Cullerton and Johnson as sort of my experts in the protection of civil rights and citizens. think this is а very dangerous precedent because what is incompetent to one, may not be incompetence to another. And this matter of recall unfortunately very often hinges on emotional issues rather than substance. don't like to think for example, that if we're going this trend that the next trend may be that we have some recall provisions as to Regional Superintendent Schools or other public officials because of the danger of one issue type of ... one issue judgements. So I think many of you feel like I do, so rather than prolong this I would urge a resounding 'no' vote on this Bill. Representative Slater has so many good Bills. But I don't put this one in that category."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Curran to explain his vote."
- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of House Bill 2384. I don't see the danger. And frankly with all due respect to the Gentleman who killed the earlier Amendment.

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- I don't see the genuineness in his plea. I don't see the danger in allowing citizens to ..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Curran. The Bill now has 67 'no' votes.

 I'm most anxious to listen to your position, now 71."
- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hadigan: "Representative Cowlishaw? Do you persist?

 You're recorded as 'no'."
- Cowlishaw: "No, thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's doing very well without any help."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Can we just take the record? Fine, thank you. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are ... For what purpose does Hr. Giglio seek recognition? Just a second, Hr. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Ar. Speaker, would it be an imposition to introduce the graduating class of Lincoln School in my district up in the Gallery?"
- Speaker Madigan: "After I ... After I take the Roll Call, Mr. Giglio. On this question there are 37 'ayes', 70 'no's'.

 This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'lost'. Mr. Giglio, for the purpose of an announcement."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. These are 46 individuals who graduated from Lincoln School in my district. I'd like the people in the Gallery to give them a nice hand. Right up here in back of me."
- Speaker Madigan: "On the same Order there appears House Bill 2728, Mr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill is on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2728, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would require 5 days written notice to parents or guardians of pupils in kindergarten through 8th grade before a class or course in Sex Abuse Identification is offered. And I move its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in ... The Chair recognizes Mr. Preston." Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As much as I respect the Sponsor of this Bill, I rise in very strong opposition to it. This... we recently passed out legislation that would require this instruction in kindergarten through 8th grade. iust instruction, but teaching children how to avoid abuse. What do you do in the situation of three parents of three students in a class of 30 or 40 students decide they are not going to want their children to have this kind education which may be in part, a part of the science curriculum, might be part of the physical education curriculum or the health curriculum, or the social studies curriculum. Are these three children to be sent to some other room, we know not where, and miss their instruction in health, or physical education, or science, or In that case these children might not even be eligible to graduate from their various courses ... pass their various courses. This completely negates the purpose of legislation designed to protect children from physical and sexual abuse by telling them what alternatives they may have. Like telling a teacher, telling their physician, or telling their mother or father if they've been abused. This is bad legislation. As much as the Sponsor is well intended, I urge you to please vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Again Ladies and Gentlemen. We have two people seeking recognition. Could we proceed to Roll Call and recognize them to explain their vote? The question is,

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Regan to explain his vote."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In all due respect, 35% of the incest is actually by an actual parent. There's another 20% done by stepparents. I would suggest that the very strong possibility of those that object to this would be those that are abusing. I would sure appreciate 'no' votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw has withdrawn her request. And the Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 77 'ayes', 29 'no's'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order, House Bill 1093, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1093, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahev: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 1093 sets the following criteria regarding reclassification of principals. The school establishes a written job description regarding performance objectives on principals and each year an individual Performance Objective Conference is held for the principal. Now if that Performance Evaluation Conference identifies problem areas or deficiencies based on the provisions the job description, a written plan for improvement is provided to the principal. And if the deficiencies are not corrected, there's an administrative conference between the principal and the evaluator, the superintendent before recommendation is made to the board. And also if the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

principal requests, he or she is entitled to an executive session meeting with the school board. Now if the board is still determined to proceed with reclassification or not to renew the contract of the principal, the principal is given a written notice to that effect by April 1st. And the key, think is that the notice to the principal includes a reason for reclassification or for nonrenewal and these reasons for reclassification or nonrenewal must be based on the principal's evaluation with the job description as the criteria for that particular evaluation. One other important thing is in here is that the Bill does not preclude principals being ordered to accept lateral transfers to positions of similar rank and salary. Bill does not preclude principals from being reclassified within reduce the number of principals a school district. And I would move for the adoption of House Bill 1093."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Due process protections are already provided in the law for everyone, including principals of schools. What this Bill provides in fact, is defacto tenure. Back in your own districts when you talk to people about education, what is one of the things that frequently is brought how we could improve the system of public education? I will tell you that most of your constituents say they object to tenure. They object to anyone having a job that is protected to the point that if that person is not truly competent, there is very little that can be done about that situation. Now we want to put principals into kind of status. I would submit to you that in the private sector, when a person reaches a management kind of level and this is a management position, that

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

move forward and retain that position on the basis of performance. And if the performance is not there. the person understands that that's the risk you run when you reach this kind of position. A principal is in charge of that school. He is the captain of that ship. the captain, as the very top ranking person, he ought to be evaluated on the basis of his performance each and every year. just as the superintendent is evaluated by the school board each and every year. Principals are managers. managers they receive salaries that are commensurate with that responsibility. They ought not to be provided Ladies and Gentlemen, that's what this is. tenure. And This is a very bad concept. I am strongly opposed to And I urge your 'no' vote."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair again would suggest we now go to Roll Call and recognize others to explain their vote. So the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Kirkland to explain his vote."
- Kirkland: "Fine. The only problem at this point is that I have a couple of questions for the Sponsor and I want to ..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Well proceed to the questions, Mr. Kirkland."

 Kirkland: "Alright, thank you. This Bill applies to reclassification and not dismissal. Is that correct?"
- Mulcahey: "And dismissal as well. Yes. Not renewal of contract."
- of reclassification, Kirkland: "In the sense i f a principal objects an attempt аt reclassification to superintendent, how long can the process be stretched let's say first before it would go to court? Under your Bill, how long could he stretch out the process. i f he simply all the way along says. 'I should reclassified'?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- Mulcahey: "Well, if the person says he should not be reclassified based upon the job description of course, this is that notice comes April 1st. And for that particular time the principal can proceed and do what he has to at that time, including those three or four points that I alluded to.

 Those three or four steps."
- Kirkland: "If you go through those three or four steps, how long can that process take?"
- Mulcahey: "I really have no idea. The first three or four steps takes only a matter of a couple of months."
- Kirkland: "Thank vou."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair would point the attention of the Members to the current count on this Bill. And there are two others seeking recognition. Do they persist? Mr. Brunsvold to explain his vote."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Mulcahey, is

 Amendment #1 on this Bill?"
- Mulcahev: "No. Amendment #1 is not on the Bill."
- Brunsvold: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I would rise in support of Representative Mulcahey. Often times the way to dismiss a principal, or get rid of a principal is to start moving them around. Putting him in buildings that he doesn't want to be in, or for some reason he probably does not want to deal in that situation, so they put him in that building and they keep moving him around and in the end he has to quit. So, that's the way you get rid of a principal. And this simply guards his right in a due process situation and I stand in support of Representative Mulcahey."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker. I take offense at the Lady from the other side of the aisle talking in terms of my position on tenure. I think you all know how I stand on tenure. And I promised each and every one of you people that if this Bill

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

had any inkling at all, that it had any tenure involved. that I would throw it out the window. I didn't throw it out the window because there's no tenure in there. It's a job description provided to a principal and he's evaluated once a year on that job description. As today ... As it is today, he has no recourse whatsoever if the Superintendent of the Board of Education doesn't like the fact that he kicked Johnny out of school for two days and mommy and daddy complain enough to the school they can reclassify him. They don't even have to hire him back. He doesn't have any recourse. If they don't like the way he parts his hair, or the color of the tie he wears, they can get rid of a principal or reclassify got nothing to do with tenure. It's evaluation based upon job description. That simple."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall the record. On this question there are 63 'aves', 49 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority ... Mr. Rice wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. fir. wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Davis wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. DeJaegher wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Ryder wishes to be recorded So change Mr. Ryder from "aye" to "no". Mr. Preston wishes to change from 'no' to 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 49 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. the same Order there appears House Bill 795, Representative Representative Flowers? Representative Flowers on House Bill 795. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 795, a Bill for an Act relating to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

certain School Taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."

- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
 Bill 795 amends the School Code and the Revenue Act.
 Authorizes an increase to the Chicago Board of Education
 Building Tax Rate equal to decreases in the Chicago Board
 of Education Bond and Interest Tax Rate when compared to
 such rate for 1986. And I urge for the passage of House
 Bill 795. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. The Chair recognizes Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, this is not a tax increase or anything like that for the Chicago Board of Education. It's just requesting that the Board is able to deal with these bonds at the same rate in interest that they did in 1986. And this is strictly for the Chicago School Board. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 38 'ayes', 71 'nos'. This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'lost'. The next Bill is House Bill 2846, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2846, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This

Assembly has passed four of the five aging out Bills

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

dealing with the developmentally disabled. This is the last and final Bill which requires the Governors Planning Council to establish and administer a model program to provide individual transition plans for special education students in Secondary Schools who have reached the age of 16. The model program is to be administered in conjunction with the case coordination pilot projects established by the Department of Mental Health and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 117 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. On the same Order there appears House Bill 681, Representative Satterthwaite.

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to return the Bill for purposes of Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment (sic) shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Hr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representatives

Satterthwaite and Keane."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We have arrived at an Amendment for this Bill with which the operating engineers are in support. It simply provides that there be periodic meetings with the principal, the operating engineer and the lunch room manager. Problems that are unresolved at those meetings will be reported to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the superintendent for resolution at that level. And I move for adoption of Amendment #7.7

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keane?"

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I join with the Sponsor who has done an outstanding job in bringing this to a resolution.

I would urge your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Brunsvold. Mr. Brunsvold."

- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would also join
 Representative Keane in supporting this legislation. Now
 that we've got an agreement between the engineers and
 principals. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is 'adopted'. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Is there leave to hear the Bill on Third Reading? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 681, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Amendment #7 has now become the Bill. It provides for a mechanism for the principals and the operating engineers to meet to resolve problems and I ask for your support of House Bill 681."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. The next Bill shall be House Bill 2745, Mr. Flinn. Mr. Clerk, on what Order

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

is this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2745, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn."

Finn: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2745 was pretty well explained on Second Reading in the Amendment stage. What it basically does is deal with the problem of St. Louis trash in Madison and St. Clair County. We are asking that 30% of the fees collected be allowed to be used for related problems of the St. Louis trash. And I move for the adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are *ayes*, 3 people voting *no*. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared We should now move to the Order of the Consent *nacsed*. Calendar and the Clerk shall proceed to read the Bills the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, proceed."

Clerk "House Bill 42. a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 62, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 85, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of House Bill 87, a Bill for an Act in relation to Bill. certain Public Exhibitions. Third Reading of House Bill 100, a Bill for an Act in relation to Acquired Immunity Deficiency Syndrome. Third Reading of the House Bill 113, a Bill for an Act to require Reserved Seating for Certain Live Entertainment Performances.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

amend Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act. Third Reading of House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 524. a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 525, for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to Criminal Jurisprudence. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 526, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Third Reading of the Bill. House Jill 527, a Procedure. Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal of the Bill. House Bill 528, a dill for an Reading Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House 3ill 643, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House 3ill 671, a an Act to amend the Township Law. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 677, a Bill for an Act in relation to Volunteer Fire Protection. Third Reading of the 8111. House Bill 696, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Third Reading of the Bill. Criminal Procedure. House Bill 727, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. 4 Reading of the Bill. House Bill 745, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of House Bi11 746. Bil1 for an Act to amend the Highway Third Reading of the Bill. Code House Bill 747. **#ill** for an Act to amend the Chemical Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 814, a Bill for an Act to prohibit discharge of employees. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 868, a Bill for an Act relating to Park and Forest Preserves. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 871, a Bill for an Act in relation to flag theft and defacement. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 942, a Bill for an Third Act to amend certain Acts in relation to Energy from Solid Waste. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Act 975, a Bill for an to require health warnings on outdoor advertising of smokeless tobacco products. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 982, a Bill for an Act relation to control of blood-borne infectious diseases. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1002, a Bill for Act in relation to Recording and Indexing of Drainage of Special Assessment Matters. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to recorders. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. 1040. Rill а Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1048, a Bill for to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1050, a Bill for an Act to amend the Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1058, a Bill for an Act to amend the Library System Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1072, a Bill for an Act concerning Fees and Salaries. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1096, a Bill for an Act in relation to County Zoning. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1109, a Bill for an Third Act to revise the law in relation to Powers o f Attorney. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to Jurors. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1147, a Bill for an Act to Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. amend the House Bill 1168, a Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1218, a Bill Act. for an Act in relation to Liens for Internal Revenue Taxes. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1228, a Bill for amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1242, a Bill for an Act the Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1253, a Bill for an Act to legalize and validate appropriations and tax levies. Third Reading of the Bill.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois House Bill 1278, the 3ill. Parentage Act. Third Reading of House Bi11 a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1295. an Act in relation to Public Libraries. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1297, a Bill for an Act to Therapy Act. Third Reading of the the Illinois Physical House Bill 1309, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Act to House Bill 1310, a Bill for an amend Third Reading of the Bill. Sanitation Act. House Bill 1341, a Bill for an Act to amend the Consumer Fraud Business Practice Act. Third Reading of the House Bill 1384, a Bill for an Act to amend Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1386. School Code. a Bill for an Act relating to certain advertising. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1391, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to amend the County Third Reading of the Bill. House 3ill 1420, a Zoning Acta Bill for an Act to amend the Notary Public Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Sill 1421, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1461, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1463. Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1513. 8i11 for an Act authorizing conveyance of certain state property the City of Jacksonville, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1526, a Bill for an Act to amend of Rights for Victims and Witnesses of Violent Crimes Bi11 Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1537, Bi11 Act. Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1556, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill of Rights for Victims and Witnesses Third 'Reading of the Bill. Violent Crimes Act. House Bill Act to amend the Unified Code of 1567. Bi 11 for an Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1590, a Bill for an Art in relation to registration of underground storage tanks. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1632, a Bill for Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. 1672. a Bill for an Act to amend the Highway House Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1735. a Code for an Act to amend an Act to authorize funding for Rail Safety Programs. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bi 11 a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1744, a Bill for an Act in relation to Domestic Relations. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1748, a Bill for an Act concerning programs available to adults through State Agencies. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1768. a Bill for Act in an relation to Jury Commissioners. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1780, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1806, a an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the I'm going to open a switch to test one vote just a test for a vote switch. House Bill 1812, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Disabilities Code. Third Reading of the Developmental Bill. House Bill 1818, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act prohibit the Purchase and Transmission of Electricity produced outside the United States. Third Reading Bill. House Bill 1828, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1839.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. House Bill 1852, a Bill for an Act to Reading of the Bill. Third Reading 3ill. o f the amend the Insurance Code. amend the an Act to a Bill for 1867 • Bill House Third Reading of the Environmental Protection Act. an Act to amend an Act to Bill 1896, a Bill for House Third State Treasurer. revise the law in relation to House Bill 1912, a Bill for an Act Reading of the Bill. to amend an Act in relation to Counties. Third Reading Bill. House Bill 1919, a Bill for an Act to amend the Third Reading ٥f the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. House Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act to amend the Housing Authorities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1946, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1955, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to rural health day. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1968, a Bill an Act for recognizing DesPlaines and Illinois Rivers as navigable streams. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1998 . for an Act to amend Regency Universities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2013, a Bill for an Act to Third Reading amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Bill. House Bill 2043, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill. Third Reading of the Civil Administrative Code. Bill 2105, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal House Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2113, a Bill for an Act to amend the Human Rights Act. Third Reading of Bill. House Bill 2116, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the House Bill 2142, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public the Bill. House Ai 11 Third Reading of Utilities Act. Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2206, a Bill for an Act to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the House Bill 2206 (sic House Bill 2207), a Bill for an Act concerning commercial transportation of property and Third Reading of the Bill. House Bi11 an Act to amend the Boat Registration and Safety Bi11 for Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2239, a Hi11 an Act in relation to copies of court orders sent by for the circuit clerk. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Low Level Third Reading House Radioactive Waste. of the Rill -Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Farm 2269 a Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bi 11 House a Bill for an Act to amend The School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. The next two Bills have been from the Consent Calendar and moved to Interim Study. That House Bill 2315 and 2316 are in Interim Study. House Bill 2321, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2327, a bill Code. for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2328, a Bill for an Act to amend the Drug Paraphernalia Control Act. Third Reading of Bill. House Bill 2331, a Bill for an Act to amend the Department of Public Health and the Department οf Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2339, a Bill for an Act providing for Patent Travel Trust Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2363, a Bill for an Act amend the Mobile Home and Mobile Home Park Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2373, a 3ill for Act to amend an Act in relation to county zoning. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2376, a Bill for an Act to amend the Weight and Measurements Act. Third Reading House Bill 2377, a Bill for an Act in relation Bill. to Agricultural License. Third Reading of the Bill.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Bill 2410, a Bill for an Act to amend the Emergency Medical Services Systems Act. Third Reading of the 3111. RIII 2433. Bill for an Act to repeal the Real Estate Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House 2444, a 8111 an Act to amend the Prairie State 2000 Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2445, a Bill for amend the Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2453, a Bill for Act to amend the Dental Service Plan Act. Third Reading of Bill. House Bill 2458, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading House Bill 2512, a 3ill for an Act of the Bill. to the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2514, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to Coroners. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2560, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2591, a Bill for to amend the Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the House Bill 2606, a Bill for an Act in relation Recycling Pilot Projects. Third Reading of 8111 2609, а Bi 11 for an Act in relation tο unsolicited merchandise. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2636, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Reading of the Bill. House bill 2645, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of House Bill 2655, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2674, a Bill Code . for an Act to define and punish the crimes of contributing the delinquency and neglect of children. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2746, a Bill for an Act to

52nd Legislative Day

سندي: - سندسد

May 22, 1987

relation to counties. Third Reading of the the law in Bill. House Bill 2790, a Bill for an Act to amend the Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bi 11 for Act to amend the Bill 2791, a an Radiation Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2803, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2805, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2821, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act. Third House Bill 2825, a Bill for an Act in of the Bill. relation to deceptive practices against State. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2836, a Bill for an Act to Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the House Bill 2850, a Bill for an Bill. Act to Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2851, a Bill for an Act concerning fees Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2207, a salaries. an Act concerning commercial transportation for property... of property and persons. Third Reading of the House Bill 2239, a Bill for an Act in relation of court orders sent by the Circuit Clerk. Third Reading of the Bill. Message from the Senate Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills with the following title, the passage of which instructed to ask for the concurrence of the House Representatives, to wit: Senate 3ills #1101, 1103, 1115, 1123, 1125, 1129, 1137, 1147, 1154, 1155, 1159, passed the Senate May 21, 1987. Linda Hawker, Secretary. "

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Huff. Representative Huff. It's on."

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to have the record reflect

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

my vote on 1302. I inadvertently voted 'no' and I just wish the record to reflect that it was my intent to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Let the record so indicate. Representative

Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I'd like to make an announcement regarding the House. annual SIA Tennis Tournament that's coming up for Legislators and since we are going home for the weekend. I know many of you may want to practice for this tournament that's coming up on June 2nd. I, along with Representative Parcells, Gordon Ropp and John Dunn, Co-chairmen this year and if you would see any one have the forms that are available to sign up for the tournament. This first tournament is for the beginners. which I fit into that classification, those who play once a year, and if you are interested for a fun night, you might want to see myself, Gordie, John or Margie regarding signing up for the tournament. The tournament is going to be held June 2nd of this year. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just talked to our colleague,

Fred Tuerk, and he's going... going home tomorrow and he's

in real good shape."

Speaker Giglio: "Give him a hand."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk has completed reading the Bills on the Consent Calendar for a third time. We are now prepared to take the Roll Call on Third Reading for the Consent Calendar. Those in favor of the passage of the Bills on the Consent Calendar will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. For what purpose does Nr. Preston seek recognition?"

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have discovered why my

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voting record is so dismal in the House. My switch seems to be inoperable, though I think it has been fixed. We are all set. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, after the Roll Call, I would like to have leave to vote 'aye' on House Bill 1415. For some reason or another, I was not properly voted."

Speaker Madigan: "That shall be noted in the record."

Terzich: "I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "I said it shall be noted in the record."

Terzich: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "You're welcome. Have all voted who wish? shall take the record. On this question, there are 117 'aves'. no... there are 117 'aves', no one voting "no". The documents have been distributed for those who wish to be recorded differently than what has been recorded on the voting machine and we will wait until approximately 5:00 or 5:15 for the return of those documents. Special Call - Welfare Reform. there appears House Mr. Homer. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 194, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "Bill is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 194, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill was discussed at length the other day with regard to an attempted Amendment which was defeated. The Bill addresses the personal needs allowance of residents of long term nursing care facilities. The... who are on social security or SSI. They are allowed... if they are also public aid

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

retain \$25 per month as personal needs to allowance from which they are required to spend for their nonprescription drugs that aren't items such as clothing. covered. their toiletries. all types n f requirements have to come out of this allowance. The Bill would very modestly increase that allowance by ten dollars a month and establish it at \$35. It has not been increased since it was established back some 13 or 14 years ago. would urge your favorable consideration on the Sill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Bill. The Department of Public Aid is in opposition to the Bill. The annual estimated cost of the Bill, as amended, is 7.2 million dollars. I think it's something that should be considered only in the larger context of state finances somewhat later in the year. I stand in opposition."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Representative Satterthwaite, to explain her vote." "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Satterthwaite: very much needed increase to people who have had to live on a verv minimal amount of personal money in the past. Without this, we are really encouraging people tο letting us know what their income is if they have a relative or someone who will help to subsidize them. It is only justified that they have some small increase in their allowance. You cannot possibly live on \$25 a month for all of the necessities that have to come amount of money. You are supposed to report anything that that you get, even a gift, and so if someone wants to help person and give them the money for a new pair of shoes. they have to report that. We are encouraging people to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

avoid the law without giving them a reasonable increase."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to explain my vote and tell you from personal experiences what I see happens with this money that is given to the people in the nursing homes. The nursing home people do not get the money. Most of the times, it's their family that's taking it from them. They don't even use this money and that's why the big argument is here. Beside the fiscal cost, I think there's a big problem in the allowances that are given to them and it's not truly used by the patient that's in the nursing home."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted, who wish? The Clerk shall take
the record. On this question, there are 59 'ayes', 51
'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Speaker, I'd like a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I couldn't see which light I was pushing behind all this stuff on my desk. I would like to change my vote to 'no', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Change Representative Pullen to 'no'. Mr.

Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "A poll of those Members not voting:

Representatives Giorgi and Klemm are not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi. Record Mr. Giorgi as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, have you taken the record. Okay, on this question, there are 59 'ayes', 52 'nos'. This Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby... Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "I believe we did."

Homer: "Did you do that?"

Speaker Madigan: "I think we did. This Bill, having failed to

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- receive a Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared lost.

 On the same Order, there appears House Bill 295,

 Representative Currie. This appears on page 16 of the

 Calendar. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "May I have leave to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment?"
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This

 Amendment makes the program which would provide Hedicaid

 Services to low income pregnant women permissive to fit a

 time schedule that will be fiscally responsible. I urge
 its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say
 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are
 there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Is there leave to hear the Bill on the Order of Third Reading? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 295, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Bill to encourage Illinois to participate in recent Federal Medicaid expansions that make services for pregnant women, low income pregnant women available to people up to the federal poverty line, pregnant women and their children. I would be happy to answer questions and I'd

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

appreciate your support for House 3ill 295."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 81 'aye', 27 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared... Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Put my vote as an 'aye',
please."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Novak as 'aye'. This Bill, having received 82 'ayes' and 27 'nos' and having received a Constitutional Najority, is hereby declared passed. On the same Order, there appears House Bill 2185. Representative Braun. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2185, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen This is a, if you will, pro-family Bill in that it allows for the Department of Corrections to exchange information with the Department of Public Aid so that they can deal with living arrangements and provisions relating prisoners and their relationships with their families. t o I would encourage your support and would answer any questions you may have."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no one

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the same Order, there appears House Bill 1353. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 15, the Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 is being offered by Representatives
 Wojcik and McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik, on Amendment #1."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. What this
 Amendment... it provides that the grant amounts will be
 set for an average size family at a fixed percentage based
 on one wage earner paid at the federal minimum wage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative... Mr. Clerk, who is the Bill's Sponsor? Mr. Leflore."
- LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker, I oppose that Amendment, Amendment #1."
- Speaker Madigan: "Now, fine. Mr. LeFlore, let me advise you that there has been a request for a fiscal note filed on the Bill. The Clerk informs me..."
- LeFlore: "It has been filed, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, do you have the fiscal note? Fine,
 Sir. So, Mr. Leflore stands in opposition to the
 Amendment. On the Amendment, Representative Currie. Has
 Representative Currie abandoned her station?
 Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I join Representative LeFlore in opposition to this Amendment. There's several aspects of it that are very unwise. Number one and really the foremost aspect is that we are... would be affixing the public aid grant level at the federal minimum wage or a fixed percentage of the federal minimum wage. Number one, that takes control over

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the grant level completely out of our hands here in the State of Illinois. If you are going to relate it to anything, you ought to relate it to the state minimum wage, not the federal minimum wage. Yes, let me also point the federal minimum wage has not changed for a number of years. Maybe that's why the Sponsor of the Amendment to use that as her benchmark; however, everyone here wants should be advised that there is legislation pending in the to do something about that. And as we all know. Congress practicing Legislators, that when something hasn't changed for many years, pressures build up and build up just like volcanoes and eventually they erupt. So, we are on the eve of a sudden jump in the federal minimum wage and I can just about predict that if this Amendment is adopted and legislation becomes law, that suddenly we are going to be faced with a very abrupt increase in the grant level and it will be completely out of our control. I think this is very bad proposal and I strongly urge its defeat."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I
 wonder if the Sponsor of this Amendment is aware of
 Amendment #2 to this Bill which would change its provisions
 rather substantially and in light of Amendment #2, she
 might be interested in withdrawing Amendment 1."
- Wojcik: "Representative, I am not familiar with Amendment #2.

 Could you explain Amendment #2 to me?"
- Currie: "Yes, Amendment 2 will put the Bill into a form in which
 we can deal with the issue of floors for public aid grant
 levels when this Bill is in the Senate. There have been
 changes on another Bill in the welfare program, House Bill
 2853, that take out maximum considerations from the Bill in
 its present form so that there can be a discussion of
 floors and ceilings in respect to public aid grants while

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

these Bills wend their ways through the rest of the legislative process. And on that basis, the Amendment #2 to this Bill will keep the issue of floors, of minimums alive, but will make no substantive change nor will it create any dollar cost responsibilities for the Department of Public Aid."

- Wojcik: "Representative, I'm told that this does not make it the type of Bill that we'd like to have so that we could clean it up in the Senate because there is already an insertion of 49.7 percent..."
- Currie: "Which is.. which is present... which is the current level and I can only tell you I showed this Amendment to the Department of Public Aid two days ago, and it was my understanding that they concurred in adopting Amendment to this Bill so that these discussions could continue in the Senate with both those issues tied together, the issues of floor and ceiling at the same time."
- Wojcik: "Representative, I am told that they have not agreed to this and that the 49.7 percent is not currently in the statutes."
- Currie: "This is... that's the current level and all this

 Amendment... all Amendment 2 does is to say that the level

 for this year... which is the level for this year..."
- Wojcik: "Representative, I am told that there is a denial of that subject matter."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ladies, could we bring the discussion to a close? And the question is, on the Amendment, those for the Amendment will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The question is the Amendments. We are on the Amendments. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

take the record. On this question, there are 54 'ayes', and 54... 54 'ayes' and 55 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Currie and LeFlore."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The intent of Amendment 2 is to establish that the grant levels shall be what the grant levels are today in an effort to keep the discussion of floors open. I move adoption of the Amendment. It has no fiscal impact. It affects only the current year. I'd appreciate your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LeFlore."

Leflore: "I'd like to move it, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Madigan: "There being no further Amendments, the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1353, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leflore."
- Leflore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1353, as amended,
 the Public Aid Code, in relation to the amount of
 assistance to be paid in each category of recipient
 required as grant level. I urge a 'yes' vote on the Bill.

 If there is any questions, I'm open for answering
 questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Mays, to explain his vote."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think the people should know that with the defeat of Amendment #1, what you are basically doing is saying that people can make more on public aid than a family that works, that makes the minimum wage and I don't think that's the kind of policy we want to be setting here in this chamber. That's why I want to say 'no' until we can get this Bill back in the shape that it ought to be in."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Representative

Currie, to explain her vote."

Currie: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Members of the House. Just to remind you that there is no fiscal impact in this Bill, amended, at all. The Bill applies to the current year and it merely states the obvious, that public grant levels the State of Illinois today are 49.7 percent of what the state says it takes to keep body and soul together. Bill costs no money, costs not one penny more than what we are spending in public welfare grants today. The purpose of moving this Bill is to continue discussions about what the public aid grant should be. Should it be tied to minimum wage? Should it be tied to other issues? And I think it would be very nice if Representative Leflore could move his Bill over to the Senate, this Bill that does not cost anybody anything."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Leflore."

LeFlore: "I'd like a Poll of the Absentees, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Representative DeJaegher and Klemm are not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher. Record Mr. DeJaegher as 'aye'.

Chair recognizes Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Mr. Speaker, in the event this does get its requisite...
required number of votes, I'd like to have a verification."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady has requested a verification. Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, I would urge the Lady to withdraw her request.

Not only is it a late hour, but welfare reform is going

to..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, I think your request has not been received well. Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting in the affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Christensen. Cullerton. Rreslin. Curran. Currie. Daley. Davis. DeJaegher. Dunn. Farlev. Flinn. flowers. Gialio. Giorgi. Greiman. Huff. Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Leflore. Leverenz. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Novak. Mautino. O'Connell. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Sutker. Williams. Holf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Wojcik."

Woicik: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Madigan: "She is right down here. Right here."

Wojcik: "Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi. Remove Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi has returned."

Wojcik: "Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Saltsman. Remove Mr. Saltsman."

Wojcik: "Representative Novak."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Novak is in the chamber."

Wojcik: "I withdraw my verification."

Speaker Madigan: "Any further questions, Representative?"

Wojcik: "The Governor's..."

Speaker Madigan: "I'm sorry. Mr... I see. Mr. Daniels. Mr.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Daniels. Turn on Hr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Put me on. The Governor's Office has requested that we continue dialogue on the general issue of welfare reform.

Personally, I don't like some of the items in this Bill, but based upon that request, since this issue is important to the Administration and is a national issue, I will change my vote only to get this Bill out and that request by the Governor's Office and I'd ask leave to be changed to 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Daniels as 'ave'. There are 60 55 'nos'. This Bill. and having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Πn Order there appears House Bil1 116. Representative Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 116, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Consistent with Representative Daniels last I would ask everyone to take a look at this Bill. The Governor has said in his message that this was the year for us to consider an increase in the grant level public aid. We know, obviously, that given the process, this is a matter which will be worked out over time. would say to you that this General Assembly has not increased or taken any action with regard to changing the grant level for public aid. This is an issue that not only affects adults. but primarily affects children and old people and senior citizens and the handicapped and I encourage your support for the cost of living increase for And I would be delighted to public aid. answer anv questions you may have."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Ar.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand the concern here. We have a several number of welfare reform Bills over... being sent over to the Senate and I would just suggest to the Representative that there are several matters that are available to deal with and I don't think it's necessary to send any others over other than the one that we dealt with extensively before. And Representative Braun, I think that you could handle it in that fashion and would request that you work with us through the other Bills that will be there."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun. Representative Braun: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman has left me almost speechless, but not quite. I would ask the Members agains ... we know that there are vehicles and there are vehicles and there are vehicles, but this issue, I think, ic which ... It would not be inappropriate to send this Bill to the Senate as well to be part of the general package. issue that's got to be taken up. It's an issue that will be taken up and I would ask for your support for legislation which started out in the process in the normal way and which will raise... or keep alive on the table the of whether or not and to what extent we will support the senior citizens and the old people who are dependent and children who are dependent on public assistance for their livelihood. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Braun: "Sure."

Hallock: "Could you give us a cost break down on what this...
impact of this Bill might be?"

Braun: "Alright, okay, the... Representative, I don't have here

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the actual dollar number for this Bill. No, I do not. What I can tell you is that the last public aid increase that we granted was in *80. That was five percent. And all this suggests is that we do it again in this General Assembly."

Hallock: "Well. it so happens I have just received a fiscal from the Department and their cost estimates place this Bill at around 64 million. But I guess what's as important as the amount of money involved here is the issue the concept itself. We're being asked now here in the General Assembly to give a cost of living increase to those who are on welfare when many people in our state, working haven't had a cost of living increase in many, many years. We are getting our priorities wrong. It's the working in this state who fund the whole State of Illinois. We try to do what we can to be fair and help those who in need and that's important that we do that. But to suggest at a time of crisis in Illinois that we turn around and spend 64 million bucks on this program is an absolutely abominable idea. I urge it be defeated."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "I have some questions of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields, but the Chair would admonish both Representatives Braun and Wojcik that we would like to move along. I don't mean to irritate anyone, but could we just move along expeditiously? So, proceed, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Then may I speak to the Bill instead of asking questions?"

Speaker Madigan: "That would be fine."

Wojcik: "I would like to point out that there really truly is a cost to this Bill, that it is 64.4 million and what we are looking at with public aid, instead of looking at public

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

aid as something to take care of the need immediately and to help them find a job and to help them make something of themselves, we're looking as public aid should be business and we're going cost of living expenses, standard This is not a business. need expenses. We are not giving merit increases. We are not giving salary raises. We are trying to get them on their feet, put them to work and let them learn to be the most dignified individuals the whole State of Illinois. This is a bad proposal. proposal and we should not get ourselves into We're talking 62.4... or 64.4 million dollars. Thank Vous

- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair would like to proceed to Roll Call on the main question. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Huff, to explain his vote."
- Huff: "Yes, very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I would remind some of the Members on this side of the aisle that many of the people on public ald were, themselves, working people last year.

 Let's not forget that fact."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the On this question, there are 48 'ayes', Bill fails. Please be advised that the Clerk has stopped taking any changes of vote on the Consent Calendar. Did you wish to submit yours, Representative Pullen? These votes could affect the course of history. The Clerk will remain open for a little longer. Mr. Clerk. The next Bill would be House Bill 217. Representative Flowers, do you wish to call that Bill? That Bill shall not be called. Bill shall be House Bill 968. Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk. is this Bill on Second Reading?"

- 52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 968, on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Are there any
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by

 Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Amendment #1... withdraw Amendment #1. please."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment $\mathfrak{G1}_{ullet}$ Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Wojcik and McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hojcik, on Amendment #2."
- Wojcik: "What this Amendment does, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it provides that the emergency assistance described in House Bill 968 shall only be offered in areas of the state where the DPA does not provide funding through the homeless shelter program. I think that this Amendment is a good Amendment and it's put in in the essence of cost so we do... we avoid duplication."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those... Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, I oppose Amendment #1. It's dilatory."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Mr. Speaker, she opposes Amendment #1 as being dilatory.

 This is Amendment #2."
- Speaker Madigan: "Turn on Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Madam... Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 is technically incorrect. That's the reason why I'm opposing Amendment #2. Can we have a ruling from the Parliamentarian, please, in regards to Amendment #2?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Lady is correct. I recall earlier that we did have this Amendment in and it was incorrect.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

- So, I withdraw it."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Wojcik."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wish to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #3 shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 is being offered by Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to accept Amendment #4 and it merely makes some technical changes in the Bill as far as the wording is concerned and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 968, a Bill for an Act in relationship to obtaining housing for the homeless persons. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this
 Bill is dealing with the homeless. It requires local
 government units administering general assistance to assist
 applicants in securing a place of shelter and I would move
 for the passage of House Bill 968. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to

52nd Legislative Day

- Nay 22, 1987
- point out to the Members of the Assembly that this is an agreed Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are

 109 'ayes', 5 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1656. Mr. Levin? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 16, House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act to
 amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the
 Bill."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1656 is another... hold on one second... is another Bill that deals with the homeless and deals with the problem of a gap in time when people get out of institutions before they begin to receive services for which they were previously eligible. I would urge an affirmative vote for the homeless."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 66 'ayes', 44 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1814. Mr. Bowman? Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 is being offered by Representatives
 Wojcik and McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what this

 Amendment does, it just allows the Department of Public Aid

 to establish the rules and regulations for such pilot

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

programs."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed... Mr. Bowman. Hr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe Amendment \$2 is technically in error and besides, the Department supports the Bill in its original form as amended with Amendment \$1. Amendment \$2 is not written to the Bill as currently amended by Amendment \$1. That is the problem with Amendment \$2."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "We are going to check that out."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "While everybody is checking these things out let me just point out to Representative Wojcik that the Department supports the Bill as amended by Amendment #1, so I believe your Amendment #2 would be unnecessary from a political perspective. So, I just urge you to withdraw the Amendment and save everybody a lot of time."

Wojcik: "Representative, the Department is neutral. They do not support Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Parliamentarian feels that the Amendment is in order. Therefore. we are back on the question of the Amendment. Those for the Amendment say *ave*. Amendment say 'no'. Those for the Amendment against the vote 'ave', those against the Amendment vote *no *. Have voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Oπ this question, there are 50 'ayes', 63 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1814, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the
Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of This is really a very simple Bill. It would permit House. Department of Public Aid, if the federal rules and regulations are changed in this Session of Congress. experiment with entrepreneurial programs so that people who are on public aid may separate their assets between personal assets and business assets without losing their eligibility for public aid, until their income got to such a level as they would no longer be eligible. corrected by Representative Wojcik. The Department of Public Aid is neutral on this Bill and I urge its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill... Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "I would like to point out that the comment has been made that the Department of Public Aid is for this. The Department of Public Aid is neutral. The cost is going to be exorbitant and I would recommend that a 'no' vote would be quite appropriate."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "A verification, Ar. Speaker, if it appears to receive the requisite votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, I think..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, we're on Roll Call."

Bowman: "I'm explaining my vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, you have spoken in debate at great length. The Clerk shall take the record. On this

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

question, there are 62 'ayes', 49 'nos'. This 3ill, having received a Constitutional Majority, there is a request for a verification by Mr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting in the affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Bugielski. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Breslin. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Greiman. Hartke. Huff. Homer. Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Hicks. Levin-Laurino. Leflore. Leverenz. Martinez. NcPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Matijevich. Mautino. Novak. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Satterthwaite. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Shaw. Steczoe Sterne Sutkere Terzich. Turner. Van Duvne. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Huff."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff."

McCracken: "Alright, I'll withdraw that."

Speaker Madigan: "I'm told the Gentleman is in the nurse's station."

McCracken: "I'll withdraw that. Representative Rea."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Rea. Mr. Rea. Remove Mr. Rea and Mr. McCracken, would you verify Representative Younge in the front of the chamber?"

McCracken: "Yes, yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative McNamara."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McNamara. Remove Mr... Mr. McNamara is not voting."

McCracken: "I'm sorry. Representative Krska."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska. Remove Mr. Krska."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

McCracken: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich. Remove... Mr. Terzich is in the

McCracken: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond. Remove Mr. Richmond."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks is down at the page's bench."

McCracken: "Representative AcNamara. Oh, he's not voting. I'm sorry. Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz. Remove Mr. Leverenz."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 58 'ayes' and 49 'nos'. The Bill fails. The next Bill will be House Bill 2853. Mr. Daniels. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Greiman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman, on Amendment #3. Mr. Greiman.
Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Currie."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie. Withdraw Amendment &4."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

Greiman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman. Withdraw Amendment #4 (sic - Amendment #5)."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
Daniels."

Daniels: "Withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #6."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative

Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Daniels: "Withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #7."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative

Bowman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman. Withdraw Amendment #8."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment @9, offered by Representative

Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, has been substantial and lengthy negotiations between the parties of interest in the area of welfare reform state briefly that people across the political spectrum have reached a consensus that the national welfare system working and they are looking for us to correct many of the inequities that exist. Amendment #9 to 2853 makes various changes to the welfare reform proposal to conform with the recommendations of the Governor's Force on Welfare Reform and to meet concerns raised by representatives of several client advocacy groups. This Amendment continues the interim assistance program in those geographic areas of the state where the Illinois Department does not administer a general assistance program, provides that grant diversion projects will be coordinated with administered programs under the Illinois Emergency Employment Development Act, clarifies job search program requirements, provides that general assistance clients which have been determined disabled will receive the cash benefits and medical coverage as interim assistance clients, makes technical corrections as suggested by the Foundation, clarifies language Legal Assistance for establishing demonstration projects, adds back the original language allowing the Department to use State Board Elections computer information and deletes

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

language in several Sections of the Public Aid Code. I think that this has rather universal agreement. I'd ask for your support of Amendment #9."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative

 Wyvetter Younge."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you very much, Ar. Speaker. Amendment \$10 would require all of the job opportunities to have acceptable occupational safety and health standards, requires pre-employment training and including family resource development. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Madigan: "I see. Representative Younge, the Clerk informs me that this has not been printed and distributed."

Daniels: "I would move to table."

Speaker Madigan: "Hould you like to withdraw the Amendment?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay, the Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2853, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to public assistance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am proud to stand before you advocating the passage of House Bill 2853. You have heard the synopsis of Amendment #9,

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

which you recently adopted. This is an Administration Bill to enact welfare reform. In cooperation with the of Public Aid. Ed Duffv. his assistant, other people from the Department, we several this as a direction of moving Illinois forward in present welfare reform in cooperation with our Governor, Thompson. This does restructure general assistance in certain areas of the state, allows for the development of grant diversion and entrepreneur programs, extends medical assistance and expanded day care services. provides for demonstration projects which would be conducted throughout the state, deletes some obsolete language also implements changes which will allow the state to collect more child support. Several other provisions contained in here and I would ask for your favorable support in the passage of House Bill 2853."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in modified support of House Bill 2853 and only to make the point that the continuing discussions will surround the issue of maximum grant levels tied to the minimum wage as well as floors for grant levels in the State of Illinois. There will be further discussions on the characteristics, the structure of the interim assistance program in the City of Chicago. I point out to the Members of this Body that this program, this Bill, will cost us 22.6 million dollars, but I think it's well worth the spending if the welfare reform program that ends up as 2853 does the job we all hope it will."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Bowman, to explain his vote."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Bowman: "Yes, I just want to say, 'Thank you,' to Mr. Daniels by voting 'no' on this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams to explain his vote. This Bill now has 103 'aye' votes."
 - Williams: "I'm voting 'aye' on this Bill, but the only purpose is there is only to let them know that presently 375 case workers to deal with. What you are going to have is a double load in terms of Project Chance and we would you consider the negotiations, to increase the They number of case workers to handle the load. don't it now. We'd like them to handle it later. handle Thank vou."
 - Speaker Madigan: "Representative Davis, to explain your vote."
 - Davis: "I would like to ask Representative Daniels a question.

 Does this Bill include in it that if a recipient refuses some type of employment that he will be removed from the welfare?"
 - Daniels: "Well, we are in the Roll Call, but I would be happy to suggest to you that it depends upon the circumstances. There are sanctions, but the sanctions are very carefully set forth in the Bill and you should know that we have met with the City of Chicago and their negotiating team and they have agreed to the movement of this legislation, are satisfied that it's reasonable."
 - Davis: "I mean this is not the type of legislation that would force welfare recipients to work at the removal of asbestos, is it?"

Daniels: "No, absolutely not."

Davis: "Alright. Thank you. Then I will change my vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

next Bill is House 3ill 2862, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4..."

Speaker Madigan: "Who is the Sponsor?"

Clerk Leone: "Is being offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie. Withdraw the Amendment.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5 is being offered by Representative Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is another part of the welfare reform package. This Amendment makes technical changes to House Bill 2862 pertaining to the immediate income withholding for child support. the income withholding provisions of the five child support statutes as follows: Requires that all orders withholding entered by the court provide for immediate service on the payor of income; provides for separate οf the order for withholding to collect service delinquency accrued prior to the first service of the order and to update the amount of delinquency and arrearage. clarifies that notwithstanding the changes in the income withholding statutes, that in order for withholdings to governed by the law in effect on the date it was entered. And I would seek your favorable support of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Dunn: "Does this Amendment have the mandatory withholding provision in it?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Dunn: "Okay, then I rise in opposition to the Amendment. I hope we defeat it."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment vote "aye", those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 10 'nos'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$6, offered by Representative

 Greiman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further

 Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2862..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, I have been asked to place this Bill in Interim Study for the reasons that there are several provisions dealing with mandatory withholding of income that need to be continued study. With leave of the House, I would place this in Interim Study."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

- Johnson: "This is a point of personal privilege and also to the Bill, on behalf of my doctor and my blood pressure analyst and so forth, I appreciate Representative Daniels appropriate disposition of this Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave that this Bill be placed on the Order of the Interim Study? Leave is granted. The Bill shall be placed on Interim Study. House Resolution 312, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels. on House Resolution 312."
- Daniels: "Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 312 is a Resolution that asks for assistance...

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

of Congress of the United States of America in dealing with the area of welfare reform. It covers several provisions and some of those provisions ask for Congress to review portions of the federal welfare system and calls upon them to enact those changes. I would seek your favorable support."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'aye'...

Representative Currie."

Currie: "Excuse me... was an Amendment to this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels, you wish to offer the Amendment?"

Daniels: "I'd love to. I offer the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Now, on the main question. those the Resolution 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. say The 'aves' have it. The Resolution is adopted. On the Order of State Government, there appears House Bill 275, Mr. McPike. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 17 of the Calendar, House Bill 275, a Bill for an Act to amend the Private Detective, Private Alarm and Private Security Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment \$1 is a technical
Amendment. It's a vehicle Bill dealing with the Private
Security, Private Alarm Act..."

Speaker Madigan: ."Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill should be placed on the Order of
Second Reading. Let me correct that. The Bill shall be
placed on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "As I was saying, Amendment #1 was only a technical

Amendment and that is now the Bill and it's a vehicle for

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22. 1987
- the security alarm private... for the Security Private
 Alarm, Private Security Act. It's a vehicle Bill. Move
 for the adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 331. Mr. Clerk, on what Order is this Bill?
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 331 is on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Are there any
 Amendments to 331?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by

 Representatives Harris and McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris, I believe this one should be withdrawn. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryder, I believe this one should be withdrawn also. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, being offered by Representative
 Wolf."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf. Mr. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 deletes everything after the enacting clause and becomes the Bill. This is to be a vehicle Bill and I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Hadigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 331, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman, on the Bill."
- Greiman: "Yes, this is a shell of a Bill and just would ask your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 97 'ayes', 3 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 327. Mr. Clerk. has this Bill Mr. been read the third time?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 327 is on the Order of Third Reading.

 It's not been read a third time."
- Speaker Madigan: "Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Correction, it's on the Order of Postponed
 Consideration. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Jail
 Good Behavior Allowance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to return this from
 Postponed Consideration to Second Reading for purposes of
 an Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of... Mr.

 Dunn. The Gentleman objects. Mr. Weaver, do you wish to

 move..."
- Weaver: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move to return this to Second

 Reading for purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the Bill be put on the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment.

 Those in favor of the Motion signify by voting 'aye', those

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 100 'ayes', 4 'nos'. The Motion is adopted. The Bill is now on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Weaver."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, Amendment #2 takes care of the problems that we apparently had with the Bill. The objections were raised by Majority Leader McPike and Mr. Homer concerning the actual infringement on good time. What the Amendment does is allow that a sentenced... person who is sentenced for a crime which provides a mandatory minimum shall not receive any portion of good behavior allowance that would reduce the sentence below the minimum and I ask for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, just to clarify now then, what you are trying to do here is if there's a mandatory minimum like in a case where... drunk driving pays for... somebody has to spend 24 or 48 hours in jail, one or two days in jail, as a mandatory minimum, the purpose of the Bill is that they shouldn't have a day for day good time. Is that what you are trying to accomplish with the Bill?"

Weaver: "That's correct. It simply establishes a floor."

Cullerton: "And the... alright, and the Amendment clears up the inconsistency that was created by the first Amendment that was adopted? Is that correct?"

Weaver: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Bill, having been previously read on Third Reading, the Chair recognizes Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment is now the Bill and I ask for a favorable vote on the passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 93 'ayes', 18 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1034, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Page 6 of the Calendar, House Bill 1034, a Bill for an Act to provide jobs in the public sector. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House Bill 1034 would establish the Illinois Guaranteed Jobs Opportunity Act. This would establish new program which will help young people and adults who are... have found it difficulty to find employment employed in public works type projects all over the state. There would be councils set up, district councils set up in the counties all over Illinois. I believe... and these councils would... consisting of 13 members would decide what the particular project would be and the person be... that is 16 years or older that would be... that has been unemployed for a period of 30 days would be eligible to work in this new project and they would work 32 hours a week and they would receive counseling and economic

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

education in how to fill out resumes so that they would be eligible for other types of employment. And I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge, did you wish to adopt an Amendment to this Bill."

Younge: "I did."

Speaker Madigan: "You do?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "So, shall we place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Younge: "Yes, I would appreciate it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine, the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative
Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge, on Amendment #2."

Younge: "Yes, Amendment #2 would include Chicago in the Bill. I

move for the passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Hallock. The question is,
'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting
'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there
are 65 'ayes', 45 'nos'. This Bill, having received a
Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House
Bill 1312, Mr. Levin. This matter shall be taken out of
the record because the Bill is already passed. House Bill
1867, Mr. Kulas. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk,
read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Kulas: "Mr. Speaker, that Bill went out on the Consent Calendar."

 Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Kulas. House Bill 1903, Mr.

 Ronan. You don't wish to call the Bill? House Bill 1908,

 Mr. Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 19 of the Calendar, House Bill 1908, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 House Bill 1908 amends the Income Tax Act and it permits a credit to individuals equal to 50 percent of the cost of installation for homes to protect against airport noise, with a maximum credit of \$10,000 per taxable year. This Bill, of course, is extremely important to the people of the State of Illinois and especially those who are constantly bombarded with the noises from the airplanes, especially in the O'Hare area and the Midway area. And I would appreciate your support for House Bill 1908."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 71 'ayes', 42 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 337, Mr. Stange. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House... on page 17, House Bill 337, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to blood banks.

 Third Reading of the Bill. Stange and White are the Sponsors."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. White."
- White: "Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Representative Stange and I are Co-Sponsors of this Bill.

 It's a simple one. It would allow the family to set aside

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

blood at a hospital and after seven days the blood is not used, it would be put into the bank of the hospital. Blood is being set aside for family members only and after seven days it will be put into the blood bank of the hospital. I move for its passage."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record.

 On... the board is open. Have all voted who wish? Oh.

 Would you record Mr. Mulcahey as 'no'. Mr. Cullerton.
- Cullerton: "The inquiry was whether or not Amendment #1 was on the Bill and whether or not the Sponsor wanted Amendment #1 to be on the Bill. Okay, fine. It's fine. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "On this... Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is amended by Amendment #1."

- Speaker Madigan: "On this question, there are 107 'ayes', 5 people voting "no". This Bill. having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House 2173, Mr. Capparelli. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Rill Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 7 of the Calendar, House Bill 2173, a Bill for an Act relating to certain loans. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli."

- Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2173 amends the
 Civil Administration Code. It authorizes the Department of
 Commerce and Community Affairs to make loans to local units
 of government. I move that it be... for 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 108 'ayes', 5 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2175, Mr. Capparelli. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 31 of the Calendar. House Bill 2175, a

Bill for an Act authorizing certain loans. Third Reading

of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 2175 is the same as 2173.

The only difference is in this case it gives the authorization to the Capital Development Board. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting This will require 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 83 23 *nos*. This Bill, having received 'ayes', an Extraordinary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared House Bill 1187, Mr. Black. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1187, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1187 amends the Civil Administrative Code to simply ask the Department of Agriculture to establish an Illinois fresh label program. That will...

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

They will be asked to design and produce a label with the words 'Illinois fresh' on it which will be placed on each container of fresh fruit, vegetables, meat or other food commodity produced or originated in Illinois. It's very similar to the California and Florida program. I would move passage of House Bill 1187."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted wish? The Clerk shall take the record. question, there are 109 'aves', 5 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared House Bill 2437, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read passed. the Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 2437, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen o f the House. At this point in time the Bill is a shell Bill. There are negotiations going on with the Director of the Department of Insurance and interested groups. of captive insurance companies and risk retention in purchasing groups, once those agreements are finalized. will be the vehicle for the Bill. Appreciate a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall the record. On this question, there are 99 'ayes', 5 This Bill, having received а Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2507. Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "On page 30 of the Calendar, House Bill 2507 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is this on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Mr. Levin, do you know if there are any Amendments to the 8ill?"

Levin: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "There are?"

Levin: "Yeah, Amendment \$2..."

Speaker Madigan: "Alright, let us find the Amendment."

Levin: "Amendment #2..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin, let us wait for the Clerk to find the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 31 was adopted in Committee. There are
no Motions filed in respect to Amendment 31. Floor
Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Levin."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 accommodates a concern raised by Representative Countryman in Committee and it simply changes the word 'receivers' to 'surety on the receivers'.

This was something that we had agreed to in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those against say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There any no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2507, a Bill for an Act in relationship to certain building in municipalities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2507 basically codifies existing procedures in the City of Chicago with respect to receivers on buildings where there are problems in terms of heat.
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1320, Mr. Ryder. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 4 of the Calendar, House Bill 1320, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House to move this Bill to Second Reading for purposes of a Motion."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "At this time, I... at this time, I would ask to table

 Amendment 2."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Amendment &2?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1320 has Amendments #1 and 2 adopted previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Am I correct in understanding that the Amendment has been adopted, that the Motion to table is not in order?"
- Ryder: "But I would ask..."
- Speaker Madigan: "No, I... it is in order. The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Is there leave? Leave is granted.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

The Amendment is tabled. Mr. Ryder."

- Ryder: "I would now ask for immediate... or to move to Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third

 Reading. Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been read a Third Time?"
- Clerk Leone: "No. House Bill 1320, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill now has, with the
 Amendment that it has, requires or allows overweight in a
 certain small specific circumstance that required a permit
 before. Now the permit process will be eliminated."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bi11 by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. this question there are 103 'ave', 9 'no'. This Bill. received a Constitutional Majority, having is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2656, Mr. Parke. Mr. Clerk. are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 6 of the Calendar, House Bill 2656. It's

 been read a Second Time previously. Floor Amendment #1 is

 being offered by Representative Parke and Stern."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 deletes the authority of the Inter-Governmental Highway Corridor Agency created in this Bill to issue revenue bonds or to utilize any state funds. That was a request from Representative McPike, to remove that, and we have so done. I ask for approval of Amendment 1."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke, I'm told that I inadvertently called this Bill, that the calling of the Bill was a mistake and

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the Bill should be taken out of the record. House Bill 2698, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, has 2698 been read a Third Time?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2698 has been read a Third Time and is on postponed consideration."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2698 was a Bill we discussed in detail yesterday. It's the Landlord-Tenants Act, and I'd ask for its approval."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks, did you wish to call the Bill again?"

Hicks: "Yes, Sir,"

Speaker Madigan: "I see. Alright. So the Bill shall be the Order of Third Reading. There are now four people seeking recognition. This is the Landlord-Tenant and Gentlemen. Let's have your attention. This is Ladies the Landlord-Tenant Bill that was thoroughly debated The Chair would suggest that we go to Roll previously. Call and then let people explain their vote. On this question, those who are in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Greiman to explain his vote."

Greiman: "Yes. this Bill has not improved. It is not like wine. It does not improve over two days ago. It is bad R111 It is a bad political vote for anybody that tenants. has any number of tenants in their... on either side of this aisle. You're going to see brochures that say that on the 22nd day of May, 1987, Representative So and So voted to screw the tenants of this state. and there you're find... keep saying 'oh' all you want, but that's what that brochure is going to say. And Mr. Daniel's staff is to prepare them for our Democratic targets and Mr.

May 22, 1987

Madigan's staff is going to prepare them for your targets. So if you got tenants... if you got no tenants in your district, then, sure, vote for it. But if you've got any sizable number of tenants, this Bill... I... you could do a brochure that will knock your socks off, and believe me, both sides will. So if you're political, and you'd like to come back, I suggest you vote 'no' on this Bill, or maybe 'present', and we can negotiate some of these things out."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson to explain your vote."

Johnson: "Representative Greiman really didn*t mean that. don't think he thinks that casting political votes are what we should do, or casting votes for against tenants or for landlords or against landlords are what we should do-We're talking about the interests of the people of the State of Illinois and our economic and legal system. This is a well considered that's been developed over years and years and years, and I think most people are going to cast a vote, as I think Representative Greiman really thinks they should, based on the merits of this issue and what's best for all the people of the state."

Speaker Madigan: "Repreșentative Braun to explain her vote•⊓ Braun: "Mr. Speaker. whether this is a good Bill or a bad Bill seems to me not to be the issue. The fact is, this been voted on before and there are a number of people in this room who have Bills on the Calendar that haven't been called yet. It seems to me that in light of the fact, you've tried to be fair to the Membership, but to give one Bill like this, which has vehement opposition, Ŧ mean it looks like it's passing, but to give it three cracks at the apple, when some people haven't even had their first Bill called, seems to me to be patently unfair and an abuse of the process. Mr. Speaker."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've got House Bill 3, 3, that's a pretty early Bill, it's a smoke detector Bill, and I had a feeling it may not be called, but I object to a call... a Bill being called twice just because the Realtors want it, and I can't get a call on a Bill. I think there's Members here that haven't been here since noon, and they're voting on this Bill, and I can't get a Bill called that I've been sitting waiting here all Session."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

- Bowman: "Number one, Mr. Speaker, I request a verification of this Roll Call, and number two, I would just like to point out that this is the first time this Bill has made it the floor in many years. We have... while we have had some on it at this time. it is not as well considered as Representative Johnson would like to make us believe. would point out furthermore that it repeals the existing Landlord—Tenants Statute that is now on the books in Illinois, that governs every square inch of the state. whether you have a home-rule community in your district And in particular, it completely revises and rejects several judicial doctrines that have grown up This is one-sided legislation. Τt definitely favors landlords over tenants. It is not even-handed. urge its rejection."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 66 'ayes', 39 'nos'. There has been a request for a verification. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "A Poll of those not voting. Representative Klemm,

 Laurino and McNamara."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting in the affirmative."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Ackerman. Barger. Barnes. Black. Breslin. Brunsvold. Christensen. Cullerton. correction. Churchill. Countryman. Cowlishaw. Curran. Deuchler. Didrickson. DeJaegher. Doederlein. Fwing. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Goforth. Granberg. Harris. Hartke. Hasara. Hensel. Hannig. Hicks. Hoffman. Homer. Hultgren. Johnson. Kubik. Leverenz. Mautino. Mays. McAuliffe. McCracken. Mulcahey. Novak. Myron Olson. Robert Parcells. Parke. Bernard Pedersen. William Peterson. Petka. Phelps. Piel. Pullen. Rea. Regan. Ropp. Ryder. Saltsman. Sieben. Slater. Stange. Steczo. Stephens. Tate. Waite. Weaver. Wennlund. Williamson. Wojcik and Wolf. No further."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any questions? Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Rea? Mr. Rea?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Rea is on the Republican side."

Bowman: "Okay. Mr. Slater?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Slater is in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Mr. Stange?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stange. Remove Mr. Stange."

Bowman: "Mr. Leverenz?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz. Remove Mr. Leverenz."

Bowman: "Mr. Novak?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Novak is in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Mr. Richmond?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond. Remove Mr. Richmond. Excuse me, the Gentleman is voting 'present'."

Bowman: "Okay. Mr. Wennlund?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund is in the chamber and would you return Mr. Leverenz to the Roll Call."

Bowman: "Mr. Hultgren?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman is in the aisle."

Bowman: "Ar. Kubik?"

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Kubik?"

Bowman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "... is in the center aisle."

Bowman: "Mr. Olson, Myron Olson?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Olson is in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Mr. Peterson?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Peterson? He's in his... let me see, they're both here."

Bowman: "Piel?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Piel? Mr. Piel? Remove Mr. Piel."

Bowman: "Mr. Barger?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barger is in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Well, congratulations, Mr. Speaker. No further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 64 "ayes" and 39 "nos". This Bill,... Mr. Capparelli would like to be recorded as "aye". There being 65 "ayes" and 39 "nos", this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 27... House Bill 1355, Representative Didrickson."

Clerk Leone: "On page 14 of the Calendar, House Bill 1355, a Sill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1355 seeks to set up a pilot program at the local level, one which exists at the state level, regarding family and sex education. Sets up instead a state-wide... instead of a state advisory council, we've got a local advisory council at the regional level under the Education Service Centers. It's got parents, it's got educators, it's teachers, it's board... school board members, it's local health officials. It also, then, does an assessment

May 22, 1987

on what's going on at the local level and brings its plans and recommendations back down to the School Problems Council, whereby next year we will have some information regarding what just is going on out there. It also gives them a small amount of money, about \$10,000.00 in each one of those Education Service Centers, to bring in three professional trainer... training sessions for parents and educators. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 'aye', 12 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2746, Mr. Flinn. Fir. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2746, on page 21 of the Calendar, it's on the Order of Second Reading, has been read a Second Time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, what Order is this Bill on?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "My information indicates that it's on Third Reading, 2746. Alright, the Bill is on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, has it been read a Third Time?"

Clerk Leone: "No. It has not. House Bill 2746, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill simply lets counties negotiate for selling the right of way for easements the same as the cities have already. That's all it does. It doesn't do anything else. I move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Cullerton."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, our records show this may have been on the Consent Calendar. I just don't want to have to have two different roll calls on the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, I... it was on the Consent Calendar, I believe. That's what our records show."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn, the Bill is on the Consent Calendar.

 Shall we take it out of the record? Mr. Flinn. Turn on

 Mr. Flinn."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't know whether somebody knocked it

 off and I didn't get the notice, so that's why I'm trying

 the Bill again. It was on the Consent Calendar, and I was

 sure it was on there when we passed the Consent Calendar."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay. The matter is on the Consent Calendar, so we'll take it out of the record. House Bill 1490, Mr. Barger."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 7 of the Calendar, House Bill 1490, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barger."
- Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This merely codifies that Municipal Laws rank other local jurisdictions. ranking comes on laws: Federal Laws are first; State are second: Municipal is third and the various minor jurisdictions come under those. This simplifies things for new members of park boards and school districts, so that they won't try to pass laws that won't work. I • d appreciate a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Representative Barger, why does the city come ahead of the county?"
- Barger: "Cities always come ahead of the county, and the primary reason is that in a municipality or in any other building

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

where the county build... constructs buildings they have to deal with the city for the water and the water connection, the local police service, fire service and all of those. Therefore, they have to have them come in confirmation with the city rules and regulations. It is the same in the City of Chicago."

Cullerton: "Thank you. Thank you."

Barger: "You're welcome."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, signify by voting 'aye' those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 46 'ayes', 60 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 2221, Mr. Harris. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 2221, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Grant Funds Recovery Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 2221 amends the Illinois Grant Recovery Act. It was a request put in by the Department of Children and Family Services so that it could comply with the audits which have been handed down by the Auditor General, and I would ask for your approval."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Representative Harris, we cannot find the Amendment that was adopted. Was there an Amendment adopted to the Bill?"

Harris: "There was an Amendment adopted in Committee."

Cullerton: "What did the Amendment do?"

Harris: "The Amendment clarified that the funds dispersed, and it deals with the Grant Recovery Act, the funds dispersed in

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

accordance to the law, that the fee for service, purchase of care contracts are not grant funds, and that draws a distinction between the... between the funds."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2607, Mr. Kirkland. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2607 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2607, a Bill for an Act to amend

Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill sets up a a misdemeanant to petition a sentencing court to serve a portion or all of his county jail term under conditions of home incarceration. The purpose of the legislation is to put into state law the authorization for courts to use electronic monitoring devices. These devices are becoming increasingly sophisticated... more sophisticated. affordable and reliable in these kind of situations. Bill is based on a Kentucky Statute, at this point. And there are several local programs around the country, including in Lake County, Illinois, that have experimented successfully with these devices. I ask for approval."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those

the

May 22, 1987

in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 "aye", I person voting "no". This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1665, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, what Order of Business is this Bill on?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1665, is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Office of Citizens Ombudsman. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi."

is the Ombudsman Bill ... the this Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. legislative Ombudsman Bill where the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate submits a nominee to the Governor. The Governor appoints, the Senate confirms and it's much in the same pattern as Governor... Lieutenant Governor Simon of the past, Governor and the same Rvan tenure as Jerry Margolis, but he is responsible to the legislature."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 50 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 521, Mr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 521."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman would prefer not to call the Bill. House Bill 1281. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen, our target for adjournment... our target for

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

adjournment is 7:00 with an absolute deadline of 8:00. Now there are still certain Members, Democrats and Republicans, who have a strong interest in having Bills called, so let's stay calm, let's work as effectively as we have all day and we should be able to get the job done. So Mr. McCracken, would like to call House Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 521, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code

of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, 521 codifies current case law providing for an exception to the Hearsay Rule in the case of statements made to medical personnel for the purposes of diagnosis or treatment, including descriptions of the cause of the symptoms, pain or suffering. It does merely that, and is asked for because there seems to have been some inconsistent application in the trial courts of this rule.

I move its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Homer: "For the purpose of legislative intent, Representative McCracken, it is my understanding that under current laws, specifically People vs. Gant, the 1974 Illinois Supreme Court Case, a general rule was enunced which such statements made by a patient to a doctor when consulting for treatment are universally to be admitted evidence of the facts stated. Your Bill would specifically provide for such an exception to Hearsay Rule when the... when the case involved was one dealing with a prosecution Is it your intent, therefore, to... to for a sex offense. suggest that the exception shall not apply in the prosecution of other cases?"

McCracken: "No, not at all. The exception is uniform regardless

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

of the type of case, and this Bill codifies that."

Homer: "Your Bill codifies it with regard to sex offenses, but is it your intent..."

McCracken: "Oh, yes. I'm sorry. You're right."

Homer: "Alright. Alright. It was... was it your intent to change the current rule with respect to the admissibility of such statements in the prosecution of other kinds of cases."

McCracken: "No. Not at all and it should not be interpreted as such."

Homer: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes', Il 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 213, Mr. Matijevich.

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 213 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Are there any

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment... Floor Amendment #1 was adopted previously. Next Amendment is Floor Amendment #2, being offered by Representative Pullen and Hoffman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, at this time, being the Sponsor of Amendment #1, I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. The Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Pullen and Hoffman."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to put the House through an

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1987

attempt to repeal the Vehicle Emissions Testing Law at this hour, even though that is very badly in need of doing, so I withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 213, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 213 was introduced because we have sex offenses and drug offenses whereby drivers licenses are revoked.

However, no one's licenses are being revoked because notice isn't being provided. This mandates that notice be provided So it's a good quote 'law and order' Bill against drug and sex offenders and I move... move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Regan."

Regan: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, but inadvertently in the eleventh hour I pushed the wrong button on House Bill 529. I'd like to be recorded as voting 'yes', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Regan, I missed what you said. Does this relate to another Bill?"

Regan: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Let the record reflect your request, Mr. Regan.

On House Bill 213, those in favor of the passage of the
Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to indicate on the record that I was also a hyphenated Cosponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1281, Mr. Black. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1281, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."
- Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of a technical Amendment, as suggested by the Illinois Association of Fire Protection Districts."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"
- Black: "I believe it was filed more than a week ago, Mr. Clerk.

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1281."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 simply clarifies some language as suggested by the Fire Protection Districts. It deletes all reference to square miles as to how a fire protection district might increase its membership. It does apply for a... or it does ask that a referendum be held if protection district wishes to increase the number o f trustees from three to five or seven. I would move amend... or move adoption of House Bill... excuse me, Amendment 1 to House Bill 1281."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is... those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

 Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1281, a Bill for an Act to revise an Act

May 22, 1987

in relationship to the fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill. $^{\rm st}$

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1531, Mr. Farley. Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen The Bill provides that contracts for the the House. purchase of a residential property of four units or less, when the purchaser intends to occupy it as a residence, there be a requirement that the liquidated damages failure of the buyer to complete the purchase of the property shall not exceed 3% of the cost and the liquidated damages provision in the contract must be signed separately. I'd appreciate your support on the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1531, a Bill for an Act in relationship to defaults on residential real estate purchase contracts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to it.

I know it's well intentioned. However, the parties are competent to contract for themselves. If we were talking maybe about adhesion contracts or something of that nature,

I would be more inclined to support this, but I think it's not necessary. It merely clogs the relationship between the two parties."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has a limitation of 3% liquidated

May 22, 1987

damages. If 3% is the limitation on liquidated damages, nobody's going to sell a house on a contract for deed. Contract for deed is something that we have to use. It's a tool we use particularly when mortgage money becomes scarce. I think this is a bad Bill and urge a 'no' vote. Thank vou."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 59 'ayes', 54 'nos'. Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Please change my vote to "ave"."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Homer as 'aye'. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 53 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2540, Mr. Cullerton."

Clerk Leone: "On page 30 of the Calendar..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2540. It's on the Order of Second

Reading. It's been read a Second Time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we adopted Amendment #1 to the
Bill already. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton, what is your question?"

Cullerton: "The question is whether or not we adopted Amendment #1 and moved the Bill to Third Reading earlier. I'm almost positive we did. I certainly explained the I * d be happy to do it again if you wish. earlier today. the Consent Calendar. This used to be o n Ma adonted Amendments on Second Reading when we did the Consent Calendar. subsequently taken off the Ιt was Consent

May 22, 1987

- Calendar and it should be on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "I'm told that you are correct, Mr. Cullerton.

 The Bill is now on Third Reading."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This dill deals with the controversy that developed around the Retail Merchants

 Association and a number of banks that resulted in this compromise, which is supported by both. It permits the drawee bank to also assess a fee against a depository institution. I would appreciate a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting..."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2540, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I rise in opposition to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. There is no reason that the depository institution should also have this as well as the drawee bank. It's not necessary in the chain. It buries the UCC. There is no particular need for it. I stand in opposition."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Homer to explain his vote."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill has had a history where there's been a conflict between the bankers and the retail merchants, but finally, this year, they... it is my understanding they've sat down and come up with an agreed Bill. I don't... I don't understand why it still has so much opposition. The agreement reached between the parties was that the banks would not be allowed to assess a charge against the depositor of the check, but they would be allowed to assess each other. And as I understand it, that was an agreement and I would urge support."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 54 'ayes', 56 'nos'. The Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, fails. House Bill 1702, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Clerk. is it still on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by

Representative Parcells."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill that would make Casimir Pulaski Holiday once again commemorative. You've all been told by your teachers and your parents and school boards that the kids are not in school enough. They have almost half of their weeks are four day weeks. We do better honor to our heroes, all of them, by studying them in school, commemorating their birthdates, instead of having the kids run around not knowing why they are on vacation. This will take that back to a commemorative day and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1702."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say "aye", those opposed say 'no'. Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 63 'ayes', 47 'nos'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill the Third Time."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1702, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells. Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I would yield to Representative Parcells."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Well. I think that... that pretty much covers the The rest of the Bill was somewhat... what... it was Bill. a typical Bill, very brief education Bill, having with tuition being paid for orphans and so on. part of the Bill now is that this will become commemorative holiday as many others of our great heroes. Kids will study our heroes and know about the heroes of the United States and have that day in school to ďο too, reading, writing and arithmetic. the passage of House Bill 1702, as amended."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, just so you know, this... just so it's clear. This was a shell Bill Representative Mulcahey had. This Amendment was just adopted. The Amendment eliminates Casimir Pulaski's birthday as a legal holiday and makes it a commemorative holiday. That's what it does, so certain Representatives who pushed very hard to pass the Casimir Pulaski Birthday 3ill would be very upset if this passed, so you can take it from there."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. question there are 51 'ayes', 58 'nos'. The Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority. fails. House Bill 2320, Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken. House Bill ... "
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 2320 would require that decisions appealed from the Illinois Highway... or the

May 22, 1987

IDOT's decision relative to highway cuts for entrances and exits be reviewed under the Administrative Review Act rather than by trial de novo. Under the Administrative Review Act the court would consider whether are supported by the evidence heard at the Administrative Appeal and whether the proper law was applied. Under trial de novo an entirely new trial, not bound by the findings of the Administrative Hearing, would be conducted. novo is the exception to the rule for the appeal of administrative proceedings. This would not affect way any homeowners right to just compensation. That would be an entirely separate matter and would be considered by the Circuit Court in the first instance. I move its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, the Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a Third Time."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2320, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

McCracken: "I move its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 97 'ayes', 13 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3, Mr. Matijevich."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3, a Bill for an Act in relationship to smoke detectors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Matijevich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Gary. House Bill 3 is a Smoke Detector Bill that was fairly well debated on Second

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading. I think everybody knows what it is and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bi 11 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 92 'ayes'. 'nos'. The Bill. having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1035. Representative ... House Bill 1035, Ar. Saltsman. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1035, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wages of Employees on Public Works Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill has been here before. It was passed last year. It was signed Governor. We've had a recent court case on it and this is a clean up in behalf of its passage. It's a Bill that helps our contractors be able to bid fairly on jobs by having a prevailing wage that everybody must handle. This is just as much of a contractors Bill as it employers. I ask for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

McCracken: "There's no Amendment on this Bill? It merely... not merely... but it requires the publication in the Illinois Register once every calendar quarter? Is that it?"

Saltsman: "This is more of a shell Bill depending on a court case. Representative. Just a shell Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" Those
in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 34 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 605, Mr. LeFlore. Mr. LeFlore. Turn on Mr. LeFlore. Mr. LeFlore.

LeFlore: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I think we have an Amendment on this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, what Order is this Bill on?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 605 is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LeFlore, shall we take the Bill back to

Second?"

LeFlore: "I do not wish for it to go back to Second, but I would
like to respect the person that placed the Amendment, Ar.

Speaker, Hojcik and McCracken. I am opposed of the
Amendment. I'm... let it move."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LeFlore, it's your choice."

LeFlore: "I don't want the Amendment, Sir. Read the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill on Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 605, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 605 amends the Medical Assistance Act of the Public Aid. It directs the Department of Public Aid to discharge... disregard the cost of living increase. I'd like to have a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes. A question of the Sponsor, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Leflore: "Yes, I'll yi d."

Wojcik: "I'd lik to know if this Bill is a result of Federal legislation?"

Leflore: "I really wouldn't know, Representative."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Wojcik: "Well are these people already eligible for Medicaid∙ in

Illinois, the ones that you are speaking to in the Gill?"

Leflore: "Are they eligible for Medicaid?"

Wojcik: "Already? Already eligible?"

LeFlore: "No, they are not. Not to my knowledge."

Wojcik: "Do you know what the cost would be to this legislation?"

LeFlore: "It would be very minimal."

Wojcik: "What is 'very minimal'?"

LeFlore: "Something like \$275,000.00."

Wojcik: "Would you repeat that?"

LeFlore: "Something like \$275,000.00."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, could we please maintain

order in the chamber?"

Wojcik: "Representative ... "

'do not pass'."

LeFlore: "Yes."

Wojcik: "... I think it's at least a half of a million dollars

for the cost of your Bill."

LeFlore: "No. I disagree with you, Representative."

Wojcik: "Well, I believe that's what I heard. But to the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hojcik."

Wojcik: "The Department opposes this Bill and it's flawed in several ways. First, the Federal law which would allow such a disregard was designed to allow those states which don't have a medically needy program to continue medical assistance. Illinois' medical needy program covers persons regardless of receipt of SSI, and secondly, this Bill would create inequity within Illinois' medically needy program and this Bill is both unfair and unaffordable. I move it

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this dill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. McCracken to explain his

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

vote."

McCracken: "I seek a verification if it receives the number of votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black to explain his vote."

Black: "Mr. Speaker, not to explain my vote. I was indisposed on House Bill #3. I believe my switch may have reflected someone's thinking other than my own. I would like the record to show I would have voted 'no' on House Bill 3."

Speaker Madigan: "The record shall reflect your statement, Mr. Black. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 54 "ayes", 54 "nos". The Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is lost. House Bill 2030, Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike.

McPike: "The Clerk will read the Bill a Third Time."

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2030, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to minimum wages. Third Reading of the Bill."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a shell dill dealing with minimum wage. I move for the passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 64 'aves', 49 'nos'. This Bill. having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 21, Mr. Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 21 deals with the retail sale, and Mr. Speaker,

one thing that aggravates me is I really hate the whistling

on anybody's Bill because it's very... no really it's very

May 22, 1987

disrespectful... "

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich, would you address yourself to the Bill, and would the Membership please conduct themselves properly. He are attempting to wind this down so let us give attention to Mr. Terzich."
- Terzich: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the House. House Bill 21 is a very simple Bill. It. limits the distribution of alcoholic beverage in the State Illinois between certain hours. Basically, the Bill prohibits the sale of liquor between 2:00 i n morning until 7:00 a.m. during the weekdays. and from 7:00 in the morning until 3:00 a.m. on weekends. simplified in the fact that we're restricting the distribution of alcoholic beverages. There's many, many reasons for this. of course. Ιt will not affect approximately 95% o f the distributors in the State of Illinois. However, if you are concerned about if you are concerned about the late night bars, you will support the Bill. I would like to stipulate on drug abuse, that was just printed the other day, which all of you have received a copy, that one million people in Illinois suffer the direct effects of drugs and alcohol. makes substance abusers our single largest disability group. One of three... "
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich, would you bring your remarks to a close."
- Terzich: "Yes, I will, Mr. Speaker, but it's extremely important
 that it comes from the home where one or more family
 members is a substance abuser. The overlap between
 alcohol and the drug fields, that alcohol is a drug. Make
 no mistake. It's a legal drug, but nonetheless a drug.
 Alcohol, in fact, is our country's most abused drug and
 addiction to alcohol, alcoholism has been recognized by the

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

medical community as a disease... "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich....

Terzich: "Yes, I am coming..."

Speaker Madigan: "••• would you please bring your remarks to a close•"

- Terzich: "... I shall. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the courtesy.

 Alcohol is different from drug abusers in some ways. They
 share the same addiction of characteristics, the
 legislature recognized the similarities and they have
 addressed this problem and I would urge your support on
 House Bill 21."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair suggests that we proceed to Roll Call and then recognize those to explain their vote. Those in favor of the passage of this Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Mautino to explain his vote."
- Mautino: "I'm not sure that I have to, Mr. Speaker, but I'd like to explain that I think this is something each municipality should make a determination on. Probably the biggest tourism attraction is that night life that is available in the convention cities. If, in fact, you want to enact this statewide, you should move all your convention sites down to downstate Illinois where we already have the 2:00 closing license. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Could we just take the record, Ladies and Gentlemen? Alright, fine. Mr. Shaw, do you have some pearls of wisdom to add? Mr. Shaw wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Would the Clerk record Mr. Shaw as 'no', and Mr. Clerk, could we take... Mr. Ackerman would like to be 'aye'. Mr. Regan, did you wish to speak?"
- Regan: "I'd just like to make it perfectly clear that due to a late night I voted indirectly wrong on House Bill 521. I'd like to be recorded as voting 'yes'."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Speaker Madigan: "The record will reflect that statement. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 25 'ayes', 79 'nos'. This Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, lost. House Bill 263, Mr. O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Antiquities
 Bill. It is a Bill that deals with relics and artifacts
 that are found along state publicly owned lands. It has
 the support of the Historic Preservation Society, the
 Department of Transportation and it has... I know of no
 opposition. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 263, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to records. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sure no one's in opposition to this, but we're all going to vote 'no' so we can go home."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

 Novak, your light is flashing, Sir. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 3 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

 House Bill 505, Mr. Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 13, House Bill 505, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Briefly, the House Bill 505 is a good faith provision to the Exclusionary Rule. In 1984 the Supreme Court passed a ruling that said that if the warrant for a seizure is obtained from a neutral party, a judge, that during the procedure, if a technical mistake

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

is made, the evidence can't be suppressed. I ask for the passage."

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 37 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 576, Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 576, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher."

- DeJaegher: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I can make this rather 576 is supported bν the Illinois brief. **Banking** Association and the Independent Community Banks. the Commissioner of Banks, State of Illinois. This law is presently enacted in 16 states throughout the United States and I move for passage of this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 74 'ayes', 32 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1003, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1003, on page 16, is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1003, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record.

On this question there are 51 'ayes', 50 'nos'. The Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is lost. House Bill 1023, Mr. Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 17, House Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to
amend the County Hospital Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1023 is one of the Bills for the Cook County University of Illinois Hospital agreement that we may be working out. It also has one Amendment that was put on. It allows every county except Cook to levy a tax on EAV for maintenance of historical museums. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 69 'aye', 37 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1024, Mr. Keane. Mr. Clerk..."

Keane: "Mr. Speaker, could we take that out of the record and go to 1025?"

Speaker Madigan: "1024 shall be taken from the record. House
Bill 1025, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you. This is on Second Reading, I believe."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Hoffman and McCracken."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This requires that for every dollar of the U of I debt that's forgiven, if it's ever forgiven in the future, shall require the University to spend a corresponding dollar in providing medical care to indigent patients. I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendments... Hr. Keane."

Keane: "I'm in opposition to that Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 35 'nos'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Keane, do you wish to call the Bill?"

Keane: "Leave the Bill there."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. The Bill shall be taken out of the record. House Bill 1170, Mr. McGann. Mr. Clerk, read the

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1170, on page 33 of the Calendar. A
Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Assembly.

House Bill 1170 is a shell Bill that was to be sent over to

the Senate. It comes from the Sales Tax Excise Tax

Subcommittee in Revenue Committee. I would ask for
passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 33 'ayes', 67 'nos'. The 3ill fails. House Bill 2006, Mr. McGann. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 5 of the Calendar, House 3ill 2006, a Bill for an Act to establish a bill of rights of persons with developmental disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. House Bill 2006 is a bill of rights for developmental disability. He've worked well with the other side of the aisle on this here. It's going to be a study and to have an implementation plan and then come back to the General Assembly in January 1 of 1989 for that General Assembly's consideration and I'd ask for approval of it... passage of it... House Bill 2006."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative, were any Amendments adopted to this Bill?"

McGann: "I believe that Representative Ryder... "

McCracken: "1 and 2 were adopted?"

McGann: "We better check it that for."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, have Amendments been adopted to the Bill, and if so, which ones?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendments #1 and #2 were adopted."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 105 'aye', 8 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1538, Nr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Yeah, this is an agreed... thank you and Ar. Speaker,

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22. 1987
- Members of the House. This is an agreed Bill with the Department of Transportation and the Park Districts. I move for the passage of House Bill 1538."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright. The Bill is on the Order of Second

 Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1" is being offered by

 Representative Churchill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill. Mr. Churchill. Withdraw the

 Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a Third Time."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1538, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Motor Fuel Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill."
- McGann: "I've already explained the Bill. I'd like passage of House Bill 1538."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken... The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All targets are advised to vote 'no'. Mr. Christensen."
- Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm having trouble with my switch and

 I'd like to be recorded as voting 'no' on House Bill 1170."
- Speaker Madigan: "We've got you. Mr... Representative
 Williamson. Representative Williamson. Please turn her
- Williamson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was unsure of how I was going to vote on that Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Sure. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 20 'ayes', 88 'nos'. This Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, fails.

 House Bill 1699, Mr. Ronan. Mr. Ronan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act to amend the Funeral Directors and Embalmers Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is another agreed Bill, just like the last one. This is a compromise we've worked out with the funeral directors and the cemeteries, and I need to amend it, so I'd like to pass Amendment # whatever it is."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk. Right. So the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading and Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Ronan and McGann."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Floor Amendment #2 is the agreement that's worked out between the cemeteries and the funeral homes. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye'. Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr... I'd like to ask a question to the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "Right. The Sponsor..."

Huff: "Just simply... this Amendment is going to allow unlicensed people to sell grave plots and..."

Ronan: "No. It doesn't."

Huff: "They won't be licensed directors, will they?"

Ronan: "Wrong Bill, Representative."

Huff: "Well, what does it do, then. You mind telling us?"

Ronan: "Yes. Representative McGann is going to explain the

Huff: "Hell, to the Bill... to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff. Mr. Huff, it might help the process

if Mr. McGann would speak to the Amendment. Mr. McGann."

McGann: "This was an agreed Amendment between the cemeteries and

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

the funeral directors and it... all it does is clarify the trust fund in a small amount. It also clarifies what the cemeteries can sell in pre-need, and then finally what it does, it states that a person answering the phone, taking a death notice, ordering flowers, does not constitute funeral directing. And this was approved by the Illinois Funeral Directors Association, the Funeral Directors Association of Chicago, and the Cemetery Association. That's all it does. It was also approved by Senator Brookins in regards to his part in the task force. Thank you."

Huff: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say "aye", those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, has the Bill been read a Third Time?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill has been read a Third Time."

Speaker Leone: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say

'no'. Take the rec... take the record. Have all voted
who wish? Please record yourself. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the
record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', 2 'nos'.

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is
hereby declared passed. House Bill 2102, Mr. Granberg."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2102, on page 6 of the Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House

3ill 2102 provides for the certification of the Greater

Centralia Enterprise Zone. I'd appreciate your favorable

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

support."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor... Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I rise in opposition to this. This... we're short on money. We just can't afford a Centralia outlet."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "How many of the past Enterprise Zones that we've created, how many have we done legislatively, and how many have we done administratively? I don't recall our ever having, maybe we have legislatively created an Enterprise Zone before."
- Granberg: "Mr. Johnson, I'm not sure. We're just trying to be trendsetters, that's all."
- Johnson: "Well, my only brief point would be that if we get in the business of creating Enterprise Zones legislatively, I think we take it into a new strata that nobody really wants to take it into, and I would suggest that perhaps the administrative regulations that we use now are more appropriate for the creation of these Zones than we are to come in with a Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is... Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I seek a verification if it appears to get the required number of votes."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 66 'ayes', 45 'nos'. Mr. McCracken requests a verification. Hr. Clerk, poll the Absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Representatives Klemm, McNamara, Richmond, Ronan and Turner are not voting."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, proceed to read the names of those

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voting in the affirmative."

Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Clerk Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton, Curran, Currie, Daley, Davis, Delaegher, Deleg. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Jones. Kirkland. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. Leflore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Novak. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Phelps. Rice. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slater. Steczo. Terzich. Stern. Sutker. Van Duyne. Wennlund. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Slater."

Slater: "Mr. Speaker, Please change my vote from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Change Mr. Slater to 'no'. Change Mr. Kirkland

to 'no'. Change Mr. Wennlund to 'no'. Change Mr. Goforth

to 'no'. Mr. McCracken, do you have any questions?"

McCracken: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Braun?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun is in the chamber. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative Krska?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin is at his station."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Phelps is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Curran?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Curran is in the front of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz is in the front of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Laurino? Remove Mr. Laurino."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

McCracken: "Representative Rea?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Rea. Remove Mr. Rea."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deleo is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks is on this side of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Martinez... in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn is in the rear of the chamber. Is there a leave to verify Mr. Panayotovich in the center aisle?"

McCracken: "Yes. Representative Hannig?"

Speaker Madigan: "Again? Again? Pardon me, the name again, the

McCracken: "Hannig?"

Speaker Madigan: "Hannig is in the chamber. Mr. Keane wishes to change from 'no' to 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Sutker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sutker is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady is in the center aisle. Mr. Turner wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 62 'ayes', 48 'nos'.

This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 263, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'Connell? The Bill was already passed. 516, Mr. Preston? 516, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Preston: "I'd ask... I'd ask leave to put this Bill into Interim

Study, Mr. Speaker."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted. House Bill 867, Mr.

Leverenz. House Bill 875, Mr. Steczo. 875, Mr. Steczo.

Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 875, on page 13, is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment
#2 is being offered by Representative Parcells."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Please withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment \$2 shall be withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 875, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bil1 875 adds to the primary purpose of schooling the two *career education. Presently the words School Code includes as the primary purpose 'language, arts, mathematics, biology, physical and social science, development and health. physical He think it's highly appropriate that some consideration be given primary goal of education, that career education should be one of those, and high on that list. I would move for the passage, Mr. Speaker, of House Bill 875."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Hoffman: "Is there a fiscal note been filed in regard to this education... this Bill?"

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, yes, there has been."

52nd Legislative Day May 22, 1987

Hoffman: "What does it say?"

Steczo: "Well the Depart... the Office of Education indicates that the cost to local districts would be 5 to 10 million dollars, however, we have... the State Board of Education indicates that that would be for assessment purposes and we have found just the other day that there are Federal funds through the Carl Perkins Vocational Education Act that certainly would handle any assessments that could be done. However, we would anticipate that the way you assess the success of career education would be how many kids are working after they graduate from school, so that would be the intention."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman, shall we just go to Roll Call?"

Hoffman: "Five to ten million dollars. Is that all? I think

you're right, Mr. Speaker. We should go to Roll Call and

get out of here."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Let's please be patient, now, Ladies and Gentlemen. We've worked very well to accommodate all of our Members and we'll just be a few more minutes. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, open the score board. Mr.... So... Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know what it is to be a prisoner? We all know what it is to be a prisoner. Here it is 7:30. We could have been gone an hour and a half ago. We're calling Bills that don't mean anything and probably will not even get out of the Senate Rules Committee, for what reason, I don't know. But Mr. Speaker, this isn't fair. This isn't fair to all of us."

Speaker Madigan: "Let me simply repeat what I said earlier. We

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

have worked throughout this day to accommodate all Members. Democrat and Republicans. Now there are a few Hembers remaining who would like to have Bills called. That's what attempting to do • This happens every once in a while. Not every day. You know my policy ic overwork the House. Especially Republicans, if they just sit there. Now we were ready to take the record. Mr. I presume you wish to request a verification, McCracken. and there are some people who would like to be recorded, so Representative Davis. 'ave': Bugielski. *ave*: Anthony 'ave': Granberg. 'ave': Mr. Brunsvold. 'ave': Mr. Morrow, 'aye'; Mr. Mautino, 'aye'; Mr. Mulcahey change from 'no' to 'ave'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair would suggest that we take another record. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Are you going to dump this Roll Call and start again?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes."

Daniels: "Alright. Well, I'll speak at that point."

Speaker Madigan: "Dump the Roll Call. Okay. Back on Roll Call.

Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Mr.

Daniels?"

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. talk specifically about House Bill 875 and the Fiscal filed to it. This will cost the local school districts out of the formula, 5 to 10 million dollars that we don't have. Now I know it's getting late and I know that we all want to We're going to stay here and finish our work, I understand that. But, when we're talking about a shortage it's just craziness to pass Bills like this. Particularly when we're talking about trying to hold line on spending. Shame on you if you think this Bill can be afforded. You know how you have to vote. Cast Let's get our work done."

52nd Legislative Dav

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In all due respect to my leader. If in all, in all of education, if we aren't in every phase of that preparing people for the career or the world opportunity, then I'll put in with you. so many years not prepared people to do something after they get ... the field ... after they have completed their We have spent millions of dollars for education. who have not been educated to do something. This provides that opportunity. If it is only \$5 million, I can you we have spent billions to take care of those people who have not been prepared for the world of work. And I say it is money well spent."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker, we would find the money somewhere, somehow, if what this Bill did was to teach the children of this State to read, to write and to compute. Because then the jobs would find them. This Bill is absurd."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Steczo?"

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just in response. The Fiscal Note that was prepared by the Office of Education, I erroneously assumes that separate kinds of assessments are going to have to bе done in order to assess a goal. That's not the intent of this Bill. education as And in fact if there is a means by which we can ... we can provide that type of career education as a goal by putting it in another place in the Statutes, we intend to do in the Senate. Our intention is to focus education on career education, be it vocational or otherwise and also to try to keep the costs down as best we can, determining factor of success, to have the schools gauge on are working 4,5,6,7 years how many students graduation, which most do already. And that's the purpose

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- and we think that the Office of Education is highly in error in what they provided to us. $^{\rm n}$
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 57 'aye', 46 'no'.

 This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is 'lost'. 1679, Mr. Turner."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 27 of the Calendar, House Bill 1679, on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken?"
- McCracken: "This deletes the provisions referring to lower or no interest loans. Requires that any loan made to a municipality be made at the statutory judgement rate, which is 9% interest, currently. That's charged to all debtors except local governments. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say "aye". Mr. Turner?"
- Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in oppose to this

 Amendment. And I'm asking a favorable Roll Call from this

 side of the aisle on it."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 36 'ayes', 70 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Turner."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner, on Amendment #2."
- Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Amendment #2 creates the Illinois Low-Income Housing Trust Fund here in the State of Illinois. There are currently 15 states which have a Housing Trust Fund and

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

because of the housing problem that we are confronted with here in the State of Illinois, we feel that this is a step in the right direction. The make—up of this trust group would be 11 members appointed by the Governor. One person being a senior citizen, 4 members ... no more than 4 board members shall reside in any one county in this State. At least 3 board members shall be appointed from nominations made by a community based organizations ..."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the Amendment. Ar.

 McCracken?"
- McCracken: "I stand in opposition. Another redundant fund.

 Another redundant board. Another redundant study. Another redundant appointment provision. Let's be redundant.

 Let's stop it. Let's go home. Beat the Amendment. Beat the Bill. Send a message to the Speaker. Speaker, let us go."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner? Mr. Turner."

- Turner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I think that this issue is a very important issue. And I know a lot of us want to go home but there are people in the State of Illinois who have no home to go to. This Bill, this Amendment would create, or make it possible for people to have a place to go. There are a number of agencies and private organizations which are willing to donate monies to make affordable housing possible. And I'm asking for your favorable Roll Call on this Amendment. It's time to go home, let's make some homes for those who don't have a place to go."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 63 'aye', 47 'no'. The Amendment is 'adopted'. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 is being offered by

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Representative McCracken."

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This provides that no more than 10% of the funds appropriated to the Trust Fund shall be spent in any one county. It ensures distribution equitably throughout the State. I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner?"

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand opposed to this

Amendment. It guts the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment vote "aye", those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 55 'ayes', 53 'nos'. Mr. Clerk, poll the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Capparelli. Farley.

Klemm. Krska. McNamara. Ronan and Ropp."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Capparelli as 'no'. And record Mr. Krska as 'no'. Record Representative Stern as 'no'. Record Mr. Kirkland as 'aye'. There are 56 ... Mr. Homer. Record Mr. Homer as 'no'. Mr. Curran. Record Mr. Curran as 'no'. On this question there are 54 'ayes' and 57 'nos'. The Amendment 'fails'. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Turner."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner?"

Turner: "Mr. Speaker, in the interest of orderly ... orderliness in the House I should say, I'm going to withdraw Amendment #4. It would have been the funding mechanism for this Trust Fund. But since the leader on the other side thinks that he has a way of coming up with some money, we will discuss that as it comes back over. So I will withdraw Amendment #4."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

McCracken."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. McCracken withdraws Amendment #5. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House 3ill 1679, a Bill for an Act in relationship to State monies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Again, this ... what we have now since the Amendments have been adopted is, we have now created the Illinois Housing Trust Fund, which we tried to make housing in this State affordable and available to both low and moderate income people here in the State of Illinois. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill. I certainly discussed it in length. It is not a finished plan but it is an idea that I think its time has come and it's a step in the right direction."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

"Thank vou. Mr. Speaker. 1 rise in opposition to McCracken: The Gentleman, if by the most recent change this. in the seeks to., in essence, duplicate what the CHA is program. doing already and apparently what we have taken under. what we have decided to investigate, this is redundant and I think it just doesn't serve any purpose that already served by the law. This is not the answer. The investigation of the CHA is the answer. And I think should oppose the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In my opposition to

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

We had a chance on the last Amendment to make Bill. sure that only 10% of this would be spent in any this State. The fact that that was defeated indicates one thing. And we all know it here today. Wе speak to it on this Bill. And that is, if we pass this Bill this money is going to Chicago. It's not downstate. T+ * S going not to the Collar county suburbanites. This is going to Chicago. If you vote for Bill, it's a pure Chicago Bill and you've got to vote *no**

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McAuliffe?"

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I
was in support of Representative McCracken's Amendment. I
don't think we need to build 75 or 80% of the low income
housing in Chicago. I know the people in my neighborhood
don't want any more low income housing. So I think we
ought to spread this out and let every part of the State
get some of the money. Don't give us all the benefits. We
don't need it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill?"

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would question that perhaps the money from this would go into the CHA and it might be something that we would all want to watch over. I don't think this is a good vote for anybody, upstate, downstate, or any place else."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ... I want to inform the Members of this House that this is not for public housing. This Bill is to help low and moderate income people here and the entire State of Illinois. Many

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

of you on the other side o f the aisle who are homeowners. vour children will not be able to afford the houses in DuPage County and other counties in this simply because of the rising costs of real estate. This Trust Fund would allow them, as well as the poor the and very poor a chance to get decent housing here in the State of Illinois. The previous speakers were totally saying that this money is going to CHA, or that all of it is going to the City of Chicago. There has been a match by the Chicago Equity Fund of over \$35,000,000 thus far t o heln in the housing problems that they're having in the City of Chicago. This would try to take care of the needs throughout the entire State. And I would ask and urge each to vote 'green' if you're worried, or if you have any compassion or thought about creating housing here in this State, especially for those who will have no place to go in a very short time."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen It's late and everybody is tired. But I wish you Houses would pay very close attention to what i t is that Representative Turner actually said and what the Bill actually does. It's not a CHA replacement. There a CHA and it's a mess and everybody knows that. What this is about is providing housing for people who work. housing for people who cannot have access to the capital to build or buy, that you and I might have in this Chamber. The question is most the people in your district will be this Bill. This is not just a Chicago Bill. That's all the rational argument. The irrational in response to some of the legislators who spoke on this is, maybe what we ought to do is take 48 of 48 states and send it Representatives McCracken's district. And take

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

50/50 state and send it to Representative Kirkland's district. And take the Cabrini-Green and send it to Representative Churchill's district and we'll spread it out and then you can all deal with low and moderate income housing problems. We won't have the problem any more. Because then you'll have it sitting in your front yard and you will have to come up with some solutions. I'll bet you'll hope that Representative Turner comes back to this General Assembly with a proposal that makes this much sense."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Briefly. Very briefly. The State Housing agency in this State. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, has built over a million units in this State. If they put 2 units in my district they will still have 999.998 to go."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "For a verification, Hr. Speaker, if it appears to receive the required number of votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "Just to point out that this is actually one of the safest votes that you can make because there is no funding mechanism in the Bill. The Bill is practically a vehicle Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate?"

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of information. Did I
hear you say that the House would adjourn no later than
8:00?"

Speaker Madigan: "That's what I said."

Tate: "Is that clock right up there?"

Speaker Madigan: "I hope so."

Tate: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 55 'aye', 53 'no'. The Bill having

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 867, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 867, on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representatives Parcells and McAuliffe."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells. Ladies and Gentlemen, in case any of you think we are adjourning, we are not preparing to adjourn. Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Please withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 86 ... House Bill 867, a Bill for an Act in relationship to registration and licensing of Motor Vehicles used in Transportation of Students. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill would provide that municipalities with fewer than a million inhabitants would be prohibited from licensing vehicles used exclusively for the transport of students. If we could change the board to reflect Third Reading. It passed out of Committee 28-0. I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell?"

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. This is a very bad Bill. I strongly oppose this.
Anybody that favors the municipalities in which they
represent should vote against this Bill that precludes
their ability to issue licenses for the buses that are not

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

owned by the school districts. These are private buses and they should be required to purchase their business licenses as well."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken?"

- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Apparently what's good enough for the rest of the State is not good enough for Chicago. Chicago is not included in this. I don't know why it doesn't want to be a part of the State of Illinois, but it doesn't. So let's vote against this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill, vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 27 ... 26 'yes', 69 'no'. The Bill fails. 1597. Mr. Cullerton."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 5, House Bill 1597, a 3ill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton?"

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is very simple. It offers a wider choice of bond availability for Savings and Loans. So they can get their bonds from a trust company or any company authorized to issue bonds in Illinois. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Excuse me, Mr. Bowman. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 16 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1528, Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. McAuliffe? Mr. VanDuyne?"

52nd Legislative Day

- May 22, 1987
- VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have 7 Amendments filed on the Bill. We'd like to have leave of the House to remove the first 6 and just go with Amendment \$7. And leave of the House to bring it back from Third Reading to Second in order to do that."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is the Bill on the Order of Third Reading?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Bill is currently on the Order of Third

 Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. And I believe there are 7 Amendments and there is a request for leave to withdraw the first 6 Amendments.

 Is leave granted? Leave is granted. We are now on Amendment #7. Who is the Sponsor of Amendment #7?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7 is offered by Representative Van

 Duyne."
- VanDuyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Petka and Representative McAuliffe and Representative VanDuyne are Sponsors of Amendment #7. And what it does is just give us the 5 mile access off and on to the highways. And I'd move for adoption of Amendment #7."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. VanDuyne, there has been a question relative to the Sponsorship. Mr. VanDuyne."
- VanDuyne: "I have the Amendment right in my hand, Ar. Speaker.

 It says VanDuyne, Petka and McAuliffe."
- Speaker Madigan: "You're talking about the Amendment. The computer tells us that relative to the Bill, Mr. McAuliffe is the Chief Sponsor of the Bill. And there has been an objection to calling the Bill unless the Chief Sponsor consents to the calling of the Bill. So, Mr. McAuliffe is not here and the Bill shall be taken from the record. 979, Mr. Saltsman. 979, Mr. Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

52nd Legislative Day Nay 22, 1987

Clerk Leone: "On page 17 of your Calendar, House Bill 979, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to Fire Protection Districts. Third Reading of the 9ill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Saltsman."

- This is a Bill that was a Saltsman: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker. agreed Bill between the Associated Fire Fighters Illinois. the Illinois Professional Fire Fighters Association and the Illinois Fire Fighters Protection District Association. I ask for its passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting *ave*. those opposed vote *no*. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 69 39 'nos'. This Bi 11 having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1205, Representative Braun."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 14 of the Calendar, House Bill 1205, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Bill that other Members earlier said that we ought to do. Which is that it provides salaries and compensation equity for academic personnel at our higher... at our universities throughout the State."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Has this Bill been amended by the Sponsor?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been amended?"

Clerk Leone: "The Bill is amended with Amendment \$2."

Speaker Madigan: "Who is the Sponsor of Amendment #2? Ar.

Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this legislation. It is

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

estimated that by the Fiscal Note that was filed after the Bill was amended that it would cost an additional million dollars to implement this legislation. If you'll look at the legislation what you see that it does in is to tie salaries at universities in this State to the medium level of their national comparison groups, whatever that happens to be by the year 1991. What you're doing in effect, is taking the right of this legislature away the ... to make the appropriations, as well as to give direction to those people who are involved the collective bargaining process. as well as the various boards. And I rise in opposition to this legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 46 "aye", 54 "no". This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is lost. 1574, Representative Stern. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1574 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Representative Stern. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1574, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Stern."

Stern: "Now?"

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Representative."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I had prepared a very eloquent presentation which I will omit. This is a

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Bill which would give grandparents standing in situations that they do not now have it under the law to petition for visitation. And it's a very small but very important addition to the Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. And I... without going into the bond with you, unites grandparents and grandchildren. I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill vote "aye", those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 16 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1706, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Is this Bill on Second Reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on Second Reading?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1706 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative O*Connell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 authorizes the
State's Attorneys Appellate Service Division to assist
County State's Attorneys at their request in the
prosecution of drug cases. I would ask for its favorable
adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman?"

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. This brings the State Appellate Prosecutor into the Trial Court. I think for years we've had a clear separation between the two and I think it's inappropriate to allow the State Appellate Prosecutor into the Trial Court. It's going to cost us money in the long run. He're going to be

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

furnishing State's Attorneys and have a statewide State's Attorney process. I think the State's Attorneys are capable of doing the job themselves and I oppose it. I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. Those in favor of the Amendment
vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the
record. On this question there are 66 'ayes', 40 'nos'.
The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1706, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the State Attorneys Appellate Service Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "In addition to the Amendment just adopted, this Bill would allow the Appellate Prosecutor Service to handle civil appeals. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman?"

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. The Bill itself is not so bad but with the
Amendment adopted it is one that's going to cost the people
of the State of Illinois a great deal of money and create a
new precedent. I stand in opposition and ask all people to
vote against it."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 66 'ayes', 43 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

declared passed. House Bill 2165, Mr. LeFlore. Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on Second Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill is on Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Leflore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 just clarifies the language of the Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2165, a Bill for an Act concerning school dropouts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I didn't catch the Amendment that was adopted?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LeFlore?"

LeFlore: "It just clarifies the language in the Bill.

Representative."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 24 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2260, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2260
establishes the Vocational Artistic Oriented Schools. It
allows them to provide for off-site use so there's no
duplication in computerization or equipment. It also
expands the Council that's provided in the legislation by

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

two individuals who are from the vocational schools and business entities. I move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Sill 2260, a Bill for an Act to amend the Private Business and Vocational School Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 105 'ayes', 3 'nos'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2350, Representative Currie? Hr.

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members ..."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2350, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie. Please be brief."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill provides that people who had child support orders before September 1984 may go back into Court and present a cause of action."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Those for it vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 42 'nos'. Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "Verification."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests a verification. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Farley. Klemm. Kulas.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Mautino. McAuliffe. McNamara. Petka and Ronan."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests... the Gentleman is truly a Gentleman. The Gentleman withdraws his request for a verification. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2624, Mr. Terzich. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2624, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Metropolitan Fair and Exhibition Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich?"

Terzich: "Yes. Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich."

House Bill 2624 creates Terzich: "The the McCormick Place Rehabilitation Fund. It's a special fund in the monies in the Fund shall be expended for and the purpose of rehabilitating the Convention Hall and Exhibition Building known as McCormick Places At the present time the McCormick Place is in excess of 20 old and they have substantial rehabilitation costs in At the present time the current economic impact for the State of Illinois is in excess of 2.7 billion is no money provided in this Bill and I would urge vour support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "I believe Amendments 2 and 3 got on this and Amendment 2 requires that no monies should be expended for this purpose unless all safety codes are met. And if there is any doubt as to compliance, the Auditor General investigate. No monies should be oh ႈ I'm sorry. ••• That's the Amendment. We investigated McCormick Place once. the Gentleman wants to set up a fund which now undoubtedly will be funded later this year if he has his And I don't think we should do it because there are

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

problems there that we don't know anything about at this point. I think we should investigate before we spend more money."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 21 'ayes', 80 'nos'. The Bill fails. 2421, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2421, a Bill for an Act in relation
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Terzich seek recognition?"
- Terzich: "I would like to have a little respect also. Mr. Speaker. You know, I've been sitting here, and I you know, I attentively listen to other peoples' Bills and I care to be abused on my legislation. I didn't put it in You know we take enough abuse on The Bill simply set a rehabilitation fund. one of the stars in the State of Illinois and I don't the abuse of all of that. It brings in 2.7 billion dollars and for some of the malarkey that comes out of player codes and everything else, why not have a little confidence. It's a great piece of attraction in the State of Illinois they're doing a fine job and we don't have to have the and wise remarks about ripping off everybody in the State of The McCormick Place has been funded by the Illinnis. people of the City of Chicago and the County of Cook and it's not coming from the downstate, it's not coming from ... the money is coming from the City of Chicago. And it deserves the respect of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 2421, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker. I would move to ask leave to bring the Bill

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

back to Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be place on the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Levin."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Levin."

Levin: "I do want the record to reflect that I have filed a disclosure letter which I would ask to be incorporated in this. Amendment #1 incorporates agreed portions of 2422, 2423 and 2632 pursuant to an understanding in Committee. This is supported by the Illinois Realtors."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O*Connell: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is 52 pages long. How are we supposed to digest a Floor Amendment of 52 pages which may have been distributed some time. The Bill itself, Mr. Speaker, it eliminates many of the vestiges of Condominium Law. I would submit that this Amendment should be defeated and the Bill itself should be defeated overwhelmingly."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to point out to this Body that not too long ago I proposed some government ethics legislation and the Gentleman who's getting up to propose this legislation said that we had a lot of problems and so forth and it was a good piece of legislation. Yet, he's up on this Floor now proposing he has a conflict of interest and is going to file it with the Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Board is open. Please record yourself. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 7 'ayes', 88 'nos'. And the Amendment

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Levin, what is your pleasure? The Gentleman does not want the Bill called.

House Bill 112. Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 112 amends the Housing Authority Act. And all

it does is make available the vacant units that are in

public housing available to the homeless. And I ask for

its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is this Bill on Second or Third Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Has it been read a third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Has not."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O*Brien: "A Bill for an Act in relation to obtaining housing for homeless persons. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 40 'ayes', 63 'nos'. The Bill fails. 24... 2734, Representative Younge.

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2734, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Community Development Finance Corporation Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This

Bill amends the Illinois Community Finance... Development

Finance Corporation and it merely changes the Lieutenant

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Governor as Chairman to the Treasurer of the State of Illinois as Chairman. And both the Lieutenant Governor and the Treasurer have agreed to this. There is no opposition to this Bill. And I move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this legislation. I think if you'll read it carefully you'll know why."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify ... Mr. McPike? Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 52 'aye', 57 'no'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Farley. Jones. Klemm.

 Kulas. McAuliffe. McNamara and Ronan."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Brunsvold as 'aye'. Record Mr. O'Connell as 'aye'. Record Mr. Bugielski as 'aye'. Record Mr. Mautino as 'aye'. Record Representative Jones as 'aye'. Record Mr. Novak as 'aye'. Record Mr. Novak as 'aye'. Record Mr. Capparelli as 'aye'. On this question there are 60 'ayes' and 50 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'. House Bill 1060, Mr. Curran."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1060, a Bill for an Act to amend the Firemen's Disciplinary Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Curran?"
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill simply says you've got to give a firefighter 3 days notice whether he's going to have a serious case facing him or a minor case facing him before being subjected to questions relating to a allegation of misconduct."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill ... Mr. Hallock."

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

- Hallock: "Did you say you have to give the Department 3 days notice before a serious fire?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of this Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 35 'nos'. The Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1145, Representative Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1145, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain organizations which discriminate. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All this Bill says is that we won't spend taxpayer money with private clubs that don't allow women and don't allow minorities to join. That's all it says."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 25 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 653, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 653, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act relating to the acquisition, possession and transfer of firearms. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the repeal of card. And I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton?"

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Cullerton: "Yes. I'm opposed to this, for what it's worth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman? Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "I wanted to stand in opposition to this piece of legislation. This is a pretty controversial piece of legislation. I think calling it at 8:35 on deadline night would not be ... give it an adequate airing and I would urge the defeat of this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate?"

Tate: "I'm for it."

Speaker Madigan: "That takes it down. Hr. Stephens?"

Stephens: "I'm with Tate."

Speaker Madigan: "That takes it down. Mr. Olson?"

Olson: "Go for Northwestern Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk ... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Bowman? On this question there are 67 'aye', 37 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 29, Representative Younge.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 29, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metro-East Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker ..."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "and Members of the House. I move for the adoption of House Bill 29 which would require that on a Regional Sewage Treatment Plant in the Metro-East Sanitary District that all the communities served would be members of the Board.

I move for the adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Turner? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Hr. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question are 34 'ayes', 65 'nos'. The Bill 'fails'. anyone else who wishes to call a Bill? T s there else who wishes to call a Bill? Representative Flowers. do you wish to call a Bill? What Bill is it? 465. Mr. Clerk, call the Bill. 465. 425. 2465. 2465. To the Republicans, remember what Reagan said, Just vote with your feet. Representative Flowers."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2465, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading ... Second

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers. Second Reading of the Bill. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'3rien: "Floor Amendment #1. offered by Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw #1."

Flowers: "Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Madigan: "And withdraw #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Amendment #3 becomes the Bill and I move for its

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those against the Amendment say 'no'. Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those against the Amendment vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 41 'nos'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Flowers."

- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This

 ... my Bill was gutted for rehabilitation for housing and
 this Bill merely makes technical changes. This is a JCAR
 Bill. There's no monies involved and it makes technical
 changes for a Bill that we passed 2 years ago."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2465, a Bill for an Act to amend the
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is a JCAR Bill. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Mautino?"
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. You asked if anyone
 would like to have a Bill called. If you've got time I'd
 like to have 1585 called. If ..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let me declare this one passed. Mr. Daniels?"

 Daniels: "I think that the Clerk accidentally hit the button.

 You've got the wrong Bill up there. We were debating

 Representative Flower's Bill and then he put another one up

 there. Now, Jack, do as you're told to do. Don't use your

 mind."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright the question is on House 3ill 2465
 there are 89 'aye', 10 'no'. The Bill having received a
 Constitutional Majority ... Mr. Hallock. Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, I don't really believe 2465 is a JCAR

 Bill. If has nothing to do with JCAR. It affects the

 Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and low
 housing ... low income housing. Now, she may have 2

 separate Bills and may be confused. But, it appears that
 this Bill is not that type of issue."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill having received a Constitutional

 Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Mautino."

52nd Legislative Day

Hay 22, 1937

- Mautino: "Yes, Sir. You asked for additional Bills. 1535 is an

 Entrepreneurship Act that received no opposition and I'd

 like to have it called at this point."
- Speaker Madigan: "1585. House Bill 1585."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1585, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regency Universities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This sets up the
 Entrepreneurship Act which is a combination of Private
 Enterprise and Higher Education. Matching funds on a
 decreasing basis, it's a good philosophy and I think it
 should be considered and provided. I move for the passage
 of House Bill 1585."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote 'no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 "ayes", 5 'nos". This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Huff?"
- Huff: "Yes, Oh bless you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of a Motion, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, being the principal Sponsor of House Bill 839 and House Bill 38, pursuant to House Rule 37, I humbly beseech you to recommit my Bills to Interim Study Calendar on the Committee ..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. The Bills shall be placed on the Order of the Interim Study Calendar.

 The Chair recognizes Mr. Daley."
- Daley: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 653 I was recorded as voting
 'yes'. I would like the record to show that I would like
 to be recorded as 'no'."
- Speaker Madigan: "It shall be so noted. Mr. Churchill?"
- Churchill: "Yes, Ar. Speaker. You're asking if we could hear some other Bills. I think House Bill 7 would be a great

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Bill to hear at this time."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk can't find that one, Mr. Churchill.

 Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 20, which was voted on last night, my switch was inadvertently but not by me voted in error. I would like to recorded as wanting to have voted 'yes' on that Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "It shall be so noted. Representative Wojcik."

 Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This really doesn't have anything to
 do with a Bill. But I would like to put in a personal
 request that the ladies washroom gets completed. It has
 been a terrible, terrible inconvenience this week to go out
 all over the place to find a comfortable position to be in.
 So, if you would be so kind as have it repaired that we
 will be able to utilize it next week, I think we would all
 appreciate it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman?"

- Bowman: "Yes. Just to say that on House Bill 1205 I was recorded as 'yes'. That is a Higher Ed Bill and I do wish to be recorded as voting 'present'."
- Speaker Madigan: "It shall be so noted. Mr. Levin?"
- Levin: "Since I didn't do so well before... I had a Bill that was inadvertently knocked off the Consent Calendar. It's agreed to by the other side with an Amendment. House Bill 1359."
- Speaker Madigan: "1359. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1359, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Illinois Chemical Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?' Hr.
- Levin: "Leave to take it back to Second to make it an agreed $$\operatorname{Bill}_{\bullet}"$
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Levin."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin?"

Levin: "Amendment \$3 basically guts the Bill so that negotiations

on emergency response can continue in the Senate. With

this Amendment it becomes an agreed Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is 'adopted'. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Bill having been read a third time, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Peterson?"

Peterson: "Just like to tell this side of the aisle that this

Bill has been agreed upon and I urge an 'ave' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 14 'nos'. This Sill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to wish the CUBS good!"

luck this weekend."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge?"

Younge: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to call House Bill 899."

Speaker Madigan: "1889. Pardon me?"

Younge: "899."

Speaker Madigan: "899. House Bill 899. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 899... "

Speaker Madigan: "On what Order is the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on Third Reading. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "I just read it."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Last year the Governor signed into law the Metro-East Solid Waste Disposal Act. I promised as a part of that signing that I would take off the EPA Director as one of the members of the Board. And this Bill... this is all this Bill does. And, I move for the passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 43 'ayes', 51 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 994, Ar. Giglio. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 994, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 994 allows the Dorchester Club in the Village of Dalton to sell liquor. It was a facility that was owned privately and taken over by the Village. And it's huge enough to have banquets and now they would like to sell liquor. I would ask for your favorable support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting
 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

 Mr. LeFlore?"
- LeFlore: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to call 25 ... House Bill 2516."
- Speaker Madigan: "This will be the last Bill, Mr. LeFlore. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there shall be 79 'ayes', 23 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr.

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

LeFlore, your number?"

LeFlore: "2516."

- Speaker Madigan: "2516? This shall be the last Bill. 2516. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2516, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Rehabilitation of Disabled Persons.

 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment 1 was withdrawn.

 Amendment 2 was adopted."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is the Bill on the Order of Second Reading?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "And is there an Amendment #3?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #3. Withdraw

 Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, offered by Representatives Flowers and LeFlore."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers on Amendment #4."
- Flowers: "Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, says that the Home Service

 Counsel Evaluation Plan provides in Amendment #2, relies on
 the evaluation of persons hired prior for the Housekeeping

 Services. And I move for its adoption, please."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2516, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Rehabilitation of Disabled Persons.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted The Clerk shall take the record. question there are 73 'aye', 34 'no'. This Bil1 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared *passed*. The Chair will now announce that the Bills the Consent Calendar all passed. And that those 3ills having received a Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Mr. McPike is recognized for a Motion. Mr. McPike."

- McPike: "Thank you. If the Clerk will read the Motion, I'll move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Motion. There are 3

 Motions, Mr. McPike. Read them all, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion, I move to suspend the provisions of House Rule 37(g) as they pertain to House Bills 41 and 2804 so that consideration of such Bills may be continued through the last day of the Fall 1987 Veto Session."
- McPike: "I move for the adoption of the Motion."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Motion. Those in... those in favor of the Motion signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? This Motion relates to House Bills 41 and 2804. And on that question, the Clerk shall take the record. There are 97 'ayes', 5 'nos'. The Motion is adopted. Mr. McPike."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 37 with regard to the following agency budget, House Bills 482, 483, 777, 73, 777, 778, 783, 784, 789, 791, 792, 799, 1275, 1276, 1897 so that the Third Reading Deadline of these Bills is extended through Friday, May 29, 1987."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I should add that it's an understanding between the leadership of both sides that any

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Amendments filed to these Appropriation Bills after today's date will not be viewed favorably. I move for the adoption of the Hotion."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Motion. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 97 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, read the last Motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 37 with regards to House
 Bill 876, 963, 1202, 1409, 1774 and 2075 so that the Third
 Reading Deadline of these Bills is extended through Friday,
 Hay 29, 1987."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike?"

McPike: "I move for the adoption of the Motion."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record.

 On this question there are 94 'ayes', 2 'nos'. The Motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 85. Resolved, by the House of Representatives the 85th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourn on Friday, May 22, 1987, it stands adjourned until Thursday, May 28, 1987 at 12:00 noon. And when the Senate adjourns on Friday, May 22, 1987, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, May 27, 1987, 12:00 noon."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, repeat that. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "When the House adjourns on Friday, they return on
Thursday, May 28th. When the Senate adjourns on Friday,
they return on Wednesday, May 27th."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the Motion and Mr. McPike

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

moves to adopt the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'aves' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Before you walk out the door nlease be advised that we shall stand in Perfunctory Session for a short time tonight to accept Motions to place Bills on the Interim Study Calendar. To also read Messages from the Senate. Also the Members who are advised to become the Sponsors of Senate Bills in the next few days, so that we can start moving the Senate Bills House process. And as you heard in the Resolution, we will return on Thursday, May 28th at 12:00 noon. The Chair would like to thank all Members for their excellent through the last two weeks. cooperation These weeks have been very difficult. There have been, numerous Bills been a heightening of the tension given the failure of certain Bills and the inability to get other Bills called. So. I am very thankful to all of you for your outstanding work and your outstanding patience. holiday weekend and please drive wish you a very happy carefully and we'll see you next Thursday. So, on that. Mr. McPike. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, you're invited to Bensenville for the
Parade on Memorial Day. And then after that you can slip
over to Itasca and then meet us in Elmhurst after that.
Have a good Memorial Day."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you."

Daniels: "You're welcome."

Speaker Madigan: "The Motion is that we stand adjourned until Thursday at 12:00 noon. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does stand adjourned until next Thursday at 12:00 noon. Thank you again."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 387,

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Act to amend the Unified Code of Bi11 for an Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Didrickson. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 956. Brunsvolda Bill for an Act in relation to the calculation of State Aid School Districts. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1096, Martinez, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1222. McAuliffe. a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 713, Didrickson, a Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Educational Relations Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 714, Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in Civic Centers. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 716. Giglio, a Bill for an Act to amend the School First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 717, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 720, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend Industry Fair Dealing Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 736, Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bil1 760. Jones, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Senate Bill 762, Tate, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to development of the Agricultural Enterprise. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 767. Ryder. a Bi 1 1 for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 776, Kubik, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Blood Bank First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 790, Sieben, a Bill an Act to amend the Public Utilities Acta First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 794, Matijevich, a Bi 11 an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. for First

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 798, Mautino, a Bill for Act to amend the Illinois Dental Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 814, Hannig, a Bi 11 an Act to improve delivery of health care services in rural areas in Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 820. Saltsman. a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Fire Protection Districts. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 822. Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of Bill 831. Dunn. а dill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading o f 8111. Senate Bill 833, Currie, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of Senate 9i11 834. Saltsman. a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 841, Levin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 848, DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act the Quad Cities Regional Economic Development create First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 854. Bi 1 1 for an Act relating to Truancy. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 855, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading οf Bill. Senate Bill 856, Bowman, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bi11 861. В. Pederson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to create the Pension Laws Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 873, Keane, a Bill for an Act relating to fees for the privilege of applying for or holding the license practice law. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 875, Satterthwaite, a Bill for an Act to provide for the Illinois College Savings

52nd Legislative Day

May 22, 1987

First Reading of the Bill. Bonds. Senate Bill 883. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 884, Hannig. a 3i11 Act to amend the County Cooperative Extension Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 886, Hannig, a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of Health Care Systems Unit within the University of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 896, Satterthwaite, Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 897, White, a Bill for an Act the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 898, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 903, Hojcik. an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to Bi11 for First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 905, Regan, labor. a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. First Senate Bill 907, Olson, a Bill for an Reading of the Bill. to amend the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 909. Regan. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 910. McCracken. for an Act to amend an Act to standardize definition of Criminal History Record Information. First Reading Bill. Senate Bill 914, Daniels, a Bill for an Act in relation to Superconducting Super Collider Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 917, Mays, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Seed Law. First Reading o f the Bill. Senate Bill 924, W. Peterson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. First Reading of the Bill 926, Ryder, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Illinois Department of State Police. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 931, Peterson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection

52nd Legislative Day

May 22. 1987

First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 935, Olson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 937, DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 938. Preston. a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Nursing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 939, Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Care Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate 8111 Flowers. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate **Bill** 952, Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 957. Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regulatory Sunset Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bi11 a Bill for an Act to amend the Beer Industry Fair Dealing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Johnson. a Bill for an Act in relation to the finances of the University of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 972, Martinez, a Bill for an Act to amend an Senate Act concerning rights of medical patients. First Reading Senate Bill 977, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Act School Code. First Reading of the Bill. the Senate Bill 982, O'Connell, a Bill for an Act to amend First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 986. Township Law. a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. First Reading of the Bill 988. White. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 990, Deleo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bank Holding Company Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 995, White, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1001, Johnson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

HB-0003	THIRD READING	PAGE	277
	THIRD READING	PAGE	281
HB-0029	THIRD READING	PAGE	321
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	318
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	206
	THIRD READING	PAGE	228 207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	217
	SECOND READING	PAGE	269
HB-0213	THIRD READING	PAGE	270
H3-0252	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
HB-0259	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	284
HB-0275	THIRD READING	PAGE	245
	RECALLED	PAGE	220
	THIRD READING	PAGE	220
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	RECALLED	PAGE	247
	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE	247
	SECOND READING	PAGE Page	249 246
	THIRD READING	PAGE	247
	THIRD READING	PAGE	251
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
HB-0361	RECALLED	PAGE	38
HB-0361	THIRD READING	PAGE	38
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	RECALLED	PAGE	37
	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE Page	207 207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	THIRD READING	PAGE	164
	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
	RECALLED	PAGE	98
HB-0486	THIRD READING	PAGE	99
HB-0504	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
HB-0505	THIRD READING	PAGE	284
	THIRD READING	PAGE	268
	RECALLED	PAGE	39
	THIRD READING	PAGE	39
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0525 HB-0526	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	208 208
	THIRD READING	PAGE	144
	THIRD READING	PAGE	46
	THIRD READING	PAGE	285
	THIRD READING	PAGE	141
		-	

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

HB-0605	RECALLED	PAGE	279
HB-0605	THIRD READING	PAGE	279
HB-0627	THIRD READING	PAGE	47
HB-0627	THIRD READING	PAGE	50
H3-0627	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	47
HB-0643	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0653	THIRD READING	PAGE	320
HB-0669	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0681	RECALLED	PAGE	204
	THIRD READING	PAGE	204
	THIRD READING	PAGE	205
HB-0696	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0727	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0736	THIRD READING	PAGE	47
HB-0745	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0746	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0747	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	THIRD READING	PAGE	202
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	SECOND READING	PAGE	306
	THIRD READING	PAGE	306
	RECALLED	PAGE	40
	THIRD READING	PAGE	40
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	SECOND READING	PAGE	295
	THIRD READING	PAGE	295
	THIRD READING	PAGE	326
	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
	THIRD READING	PAGE	129
	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
	RECALLED	PAGE	45
	THIRD READING	PAGE	45
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
HB-0968	SECOND READING	PAGE	232
	THIRD READING	PAGE	233
	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-0979	THIRD READING	PAGE	309
HB-0982	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-0994	THIRD READING	PAGE	327
HB-1000	THIRD READING	PAGE	163
HB-1002	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
H3-1003	SECOND READING	PAGE	285
H3-1003	THIRD READING	PAGE	285
HB-1023	THIRD READING	PAGE	286
HB-1025	SECOND READING	PAGE	286
HB-1025	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	287
HB-1030	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1034	RECALLED	PAGE	250
HB-1034	THIRD READING	PAGE	249
HB-1035	THIRD READING	PAGE	278
HB-1040	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
	THIRD READING	PAGE	173
HB-1047	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1048	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1050	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
	THIRD READING	PAGE	319
	THIRD READING	PAGE	209
	THIRD READING	PAGE	198
HB-1096		PAGE	209
HB-1109	THIRD READING	PAGE	209

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

HB-1113 SECOND READING	PAGE	17
H8-1113 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1145 THIRD READING	PAGE	320
HB-1147 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1154 THIRD READING	PAGE	117
HB-1168 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1170 THIRD READING	PAGE	287
HB-1176 THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1187 SECOND READING	PAGE	253
HB-1187 THIRD READING	PAGE	253
HB-1205 THIRD READING	PAGE	309
HB-1206 THIRD READING	PAGE	161
HB-1218 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1225 THIRD READING	PAGE	51
HB-1225 THIRD READING	PAGE	57
HB-1225 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	51
HB-1228 SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-1228 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1229 THIRD READING	PAGE	136
HB-1242 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1253 SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1253 THIRD READING	PAGE	209
HB-1268 THIRD READING	PAGE	51
HB-1278 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1281 RECALLED	PAGE	271
HB-1281 THIRD READING	PAGE	271
HB-1281 THIRD READING	PAGE	271
HB-1290 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1295 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1297 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1302 THIRD READING	PAGE	161
HB-1304 SECOND READING	PAGE	87
HB-1304 THIRD READING	PAGE	90
HB-1309 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1310 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1312 THIRD READING HB-1320 RECALLED	PAGE	85
HB-1320 THIRD READING	PAGE	256
HB-1320 THIRD READING	PAGE Page	256
HB-1341 THIRD READING	PAGE	257 210
HB-1353 SECOND READING	PAGE	222
HB-1353 THIRD READING	PAGE	225
HB-1355 THIRD READING	PAGE	262
HB-1359 RECALLED	PAGE	325
H3-1359 THIRD READING	PAGE	326
HB-1365 THIRD READING	PAGE	182
H3-1384 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1386 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1391 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1392 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1392 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1415 THIRD READING	PAG€	52
HB-1420 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1421 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
H3-1428 THIRD READING	PAGE	174
HB-1429 THIRD READING	PAGE	174
HB-1430 SECOND READING	PAGE	175
HB-1430 THIRD READING	PAGE	175
HB-1431 THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-1432 THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-1433 THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-1434 SECOND READING HB-1434 THIRD READING	PAGE	178
	PAGE	178
HB-1435 THIRD READING HB-1446 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	179
IID TAAD IIITUD VEWDTIAD	FAGE	183

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4

MAY 22. 1987

HB-1461 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1463 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
H3-1463 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1471 THIRD READING	PAGE	54
HB-1484 THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1490 THIRD READING	PAGE	264
HB-1502 THIRD READING HB-1513 SECOND READING	PAGE Page	128
HB-1513 THIRD READING	PAGE	20 210
HB-1526 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
H3-1528 RECALLED	PAGE	307
HB-1528 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	308
HB-1531 THIRD READING	PAGE	272
HB-1537 SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1537 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-1538 SECOND READING	PAGE	289
HB-1538 THIRD READING	PAGE	289
HB-1556 SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1556 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1567 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1574 SECOND READING HB-1574 THIRD READING	PAGE	310
HB-1583 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	310 211
HB-1585 THIRD READING	PAGE	324
HB-1590 SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1590 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1592 SECOND READING	PAGE	100
HB-1592 THIRD READING	PAGE	107
HB-1597 THIRD READING	PAGE	307
HB-1623 THIRD READING	PAGE	53
HB-1632 SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-1632 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1656 THIRD READING HB-1665 THIRD READING	PAGE	234
HB-1672 THIRD READING	PAGE Page	267
HB-1679 SECOND READING	PAGE	211 299
HB-1679 THIRD READING	PAGE	302
HB-1684 THIRD READING	PAGE	116
HB-1699 RECALLED	PAGE	290
HB-1699 THIRD READING	PAGE	290
HB-1702 SECOND READING	PAGE	275
HB-1702 THIRD READING	PAGE	275
HB-1706 SECOND READING	PAGE	311
HB-1706 THIRD READING	PAGE	312
HB-1735 SECOND READING HB-1735 THIRD READING	PAGE	22
HB-1740 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	211 211
HB-1744 SECOND READING	PAGE	23
HB-1744 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
H3-1748 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1768 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1770 DISCUSSED	PAGE	23
HB-1780 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1793 THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1806 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1812 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1814 SECOND READING	PAGE	234
HB-1814 THIRD READING HB-1818 THIRD READING	PAGE Page	235 211
HB-1828 SECOND READING	PAGE	23
HB-1828 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1839 THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-1852 THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-1867 RECALLED	PAGE	41
HB-1867 THIRD READING	PAGE	41

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 5

HB-1867	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	212
	THIRD READING	PAGE	25 l
HB-1912	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-1919	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	24
	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-1937	THIRD READING	PAGE	162
	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	212
	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-1998	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-2006	THIRD READING	PAGE	288
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			212
	THIRD READING	PAGE	281
HB-2034	THIRD READING	PAGE	109
HB-2043	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	THIRD READING	PAGE	60
	THIRD READING	PAGE	65
HB-2093	RECALLED	PAGE	148
HB-2093	THIRD READING	PAGE	148
	THIRD READING	PAGE	149
	THIRD READING	PAGE	291
HB-2105	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-2105	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	RECALLED	PAGE	42
	THIRD READING	PAGE	42
HB-2113	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-2116	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-2116	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
	SECOND READING	PAGE	313
HB-2165	THIRD READING	PAGE	313
HB-2173	THIRD READING	PAGE	252
	THIRD READING	PAGE	253
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	221
	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-2203	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
HB-2206	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
	THIRD READING	PAGE	212
	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2207	THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2219	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
	THIRD READING	PAGE	265
	THIRD READING		215
		PAGE	
	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2241	SECOND READING	PAGE	151
HB-2241	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
	THIRD READING	PAGE	313
HB-2269	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2274	THIRD READING	PAGE	67
	THIRD READING	PAGE	67
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	213
	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-2315	DISCUSSED	PAGE	213
	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
	DISCUSSED	PAGE	213
	SECOND READING	PAGE	276
HB-2320	THIRD READING	PAGE	277
HB-2321	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	THIRD READING	PAGE	213
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			27
HB-2327	THIRD READING	PAGE	213

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 6

HB-2328 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2331 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2339 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2350 THIRD READING	PAGE	314
HB-2363 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2364 RECALLED	PAGE	188
HB-2364 THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-2364 THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2373 SECOND READING	PAGE	28
HB-2373 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2376 SECOND READING	PAGE	29
HB-2376 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2377 SECOND READING	PAGE	29
HB-2377 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
H8-2384 RECALLED	PAGE	190
HB-2384 THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2384 THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2410 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2421 RECALLED	PAGE	316
HB-2433 THIRD READING HB-2436 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2437 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	214 254
HB-2444 THIRD READING		214
HB-2445 THIRD READING	PAGE Page	214
HB-2453 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2458 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2465 SECOND READING	PAGE	322
HB-2465 THIRD READING	PAGE	323
HB-2507 SECOND READING	PAGE	255
HB-2507 THIRD READING	PAGE	255
HB-2511 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2512 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
H8-2514 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2516 SECOND READING	PAGE	328
HB-2516 THIRD READING	PAGE	328
HB-2526 SECOND READING	PAGE	5
HB-2526 THIRD READING	PAGE	8
HB-2526 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	8
HB-2540 SECOND READING	PAGE	30
H3-2540 THIRD READING	PAGE	274
HB-2560 SECOND READING	PAGE	31
HB-2560 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2580 RECALLED	PAGE	137
HB-2580 THIRD READING	PAGE	138
H3-2583 THIRD READING	PAGE	143
HB-2584 SECOND READING	PAGE	145
HB-2584 THIRD READING HB-2585 SECOND READING	PAGE	145
	PAGE	150
HB-2585 THIRD READING HB-2591 SECOND READING	PAGE	151 32
HB-2591 THIRD READING	PAGE Page	214
HB-2594 RECALLED	PAGE	74
HB-2594 THIRD READING	PAGE	74
HB-2594 THIRD READING	PAGE	75
HB-2606 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2607 SECOND READING	PAGE	266
HB-2607 THIRD READING	PAGE	266
HB-2609 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2624 THIRD READING	PAGE	315
HB-2636 SECOND READING	PAGE	32
HB-2636 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
H6-2645 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2655 RECALLED	PAGE	43
HB-2655 THIRD READING	PAGE	43
HB-2655 THIRD READING	PAGE	214

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 7

HB-2656 SECOND READING	PAGE	257
HB-2656 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	258
HB-2674 SECOND READING	PAGE	33
HB-2674 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2682 THIRD READING	PAGE	76
HB-2698 THIRD READING	PAGE	258
HB-2710 SECOND READING	PAGE	4
HB-2710 SECOND READING		-
	PAGE	168
HB-2710 THIRD READING	PAGE	169
HB-2710 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	4
HB-2711 SECOND READING	PAGE	170
HB-2711 THIRD READING	PAGE	170
HB-2712 SECOND READING	PAGE	170
HB-2712 THIRD READING	PAGE	171
HB-2713 THIRD READING	PAGE	171
HB-2714 SECOND READING	PAGE	172
HB-2714 THIRD READING	PAGE	172
HB-2715 THIRD READING	PAGE	172
H9-2721 SECOND READING	PAGE	156
HB-2721 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
HB-2728 THIRD READING		
	PAGE	196
HB-2734 THIRD READING	PAGE	318
HB-2745 THIRD READING	PAGE	206
HB-2746 SECOND READING	PAGE	33
HB-2746 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2746 THIRD READING	PAGE	263
HB-2746 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	264
HB-2768 SECOND READING	PAGE	96
HB-2768 THIRD READING	PAGE	97
HB-2790 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2791 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2803 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2804 SECOND READING	PAGE	141
HB-2804 THIRD READING	PAGE	164
H8-2804 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	164
HB-2805 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2821 RECALLED	PAGE	44
HB-2821 THIRD READING	PAGE	44
HB-2821 THIRD READING	PAGE	
HB-2825 SECOND READING		215
HB-2825 THIRD READING	PAGE	36
	PAGE	215
HB-2836 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2846 THIRD READING	PAGE	203
HB-2850 SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-2850 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2851 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-2853 SECOND READING	PAGE	238
HB-2853 THIRD READING	PAGE	240
HB-2862 SECOND READING	PAGE	243
SB-0041 FIRST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0219 RECALLED	PAGE	166
SB-0219 THIRD READING	PAGE	166
SB-0219 THIRD READING	PAGE	167
SB-0243 THIRD READING	PAGE	167
SB-0278 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0384 FIRST READING	PAGE	ž
SB-0387 FIRST READING	PAGE	331
SB-0441 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0460 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0467 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0488 FIRST READING		2
	PAGE	
SB-0493 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-0548 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0596 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0641 FIRST READING	PAGE	2

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 8

SB-0713 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0714 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0716 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0717 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0720 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0736 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0760 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0762 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0767 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0776 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0780 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0790 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0794 FIRST READING SB-0798 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
	PAGE	333
SB-0814 FIRST READING SB-0820 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0822 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0831 FIRST READING	PAGE Page	333
SB-0833 FIRST READING	PAGE	333 333
SB-0834 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0841 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0848 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0854 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0855 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0856 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0861 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0865 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0873 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0875 FIRST READING	PAGE	333
SB-0883 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0884 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0886 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0896 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0897 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0898 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0903 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0905 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0907 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0908 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0909 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0910 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0914 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0917 FIRST READING SB-0923 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0923 FIRST READING SB-0924 FIRST READING	PAGE PAGE	2 334
SB-0926 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0931 FIRST READING	PAGE	334
SB-0935 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0937 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0938 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0939 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0947 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0952 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0956 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-0957 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0962 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0968 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0972 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0977 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0982 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0986 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0988 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0990 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-0995 FIRST READING	PAGE	335
SB-1001 FIRST READING	PAGE	335

12/09/87 10:46.

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 9

MAY 22, 1987

SB-1013 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1043 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1047 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1050 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1076 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1089 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1096 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-1101 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1103 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1115 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1123 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1125 FIRST READING	PAGE	336
SB-1129 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-1137 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-1154 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-1155 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-1159 FIRST READING	PAGE	337
SB-1222 FIRST READING	PAGE	332
SB-1223 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-1439 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-1484 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
HR-0085 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	330
HR-0242 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	79
HR-0312 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	244
HR-0360 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	83
HR-0440 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	3

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER-REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER-REVEREND WENNEBORG	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	3
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	5
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	8
GENERAL RESOLUTION	PAGE	8
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	8
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	215
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	331
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	331
PERFUNCTORY SESSION-ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	337